Mock modularity and refinement: from BPS black holes to Vafa-Witten theory

Sergei Alexandrov

Laboratoire Charles Coulomb, CNRS, Montpellier

S.A., B.Pioline arXiv:1808.08479 S.A., J.Manschot, B.Pioline arXiv:1910.03098

> S.A. arXiv:2005.03680 arXiv:2006.10074

S.A., S.Nampuri arXiv:2009.01172

Workshop on Black Holes: BPS, BMS and Integrability September 10, 2020

Motivation

The main object of interest:

BPS indices $\Omega(\gamma)$ — (signed) number of BPS states in theories with extended SUSY

- degeneracies of BPS black holes
- spectrum of states in supersymmetric gauge theories
- weights of instanton corrections to the effective action
- Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau manifolds
- Vafa-Witten invariants of complex surfaces topologically twisted SYM

It is useful to study generating functions

Sometimes they possess non-trivial *modular* properties:

they can be *modular forms, mock modular forms, higher depth mock modular forms...*

$$h_{\dots}(\tau) = \sum_{q_0 > 0} \Omega(\gamma) e^{2\pi i q_0 \tau}$$
$$\tau \mapsto \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$h\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^w h(\tau)$$

Motivation

The goal: understand modular properties of the generating functions of BPS indices $\Omega(\gamma)$

- Can be used to extract the asymptotic behavior of BPS indices to compare with the macroscopic entropy of BPS black holes
- Can be used to find them exactly!
- They encode a non-trivial geometry of the quantum corrected moduli spaces affected by BPS instantons

The plan of the talk

- 1. D4-D2-D0 black holes in Type IIA/CY and their BPS indices
- 2. Modularity of the generating functions of (*refined*) BPS indices and their modular completions
- **3.** Applications:
 - a) BPS dyons in N=4 string theory
 - b) Vafa-Witten theory on projective surfaces
 - c) Holomorphic anomaly for BPS partition function
 - d) Quantization of the moduli space
- 4. Conclusions

D4-D2-D0 black holes in Type IIA/CY

These are ½ BPS black holes in 4d N=2 SUGRA with electro-magnetic charge

$$\gamma = (0, p^a, q_a, q_0)$$
 $a = 1, \dots, b_2(CY)$
label 4- and 2-dim cycles
wrapped by D4 and D2-branes



Natural generating function
$$h_{p^a,q_a}^{\mathrm{DT}}(au) = \sum_{q_0>0} \Omega(\gamma) e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} q_0 au}$$

- **but:** the generating function depends on too many charges
 - DT invariants depend on CY moduli: $\Omega(\gamma; z^a) wall$ -crossing (BPS bound states can form or decay)

no nice modular properties expected

MSW invariants

Solution: consider *MSW invariants* count states in SCFT constructed in Maldacena,Strominger,Witten '97

Properties:

- independent of CY moduli
- invariant under *spectral flow symmetry*

 $\Omega_{\gamma}^{\text{MSW}} = \Omega_{p}(\hat{q}_{0})$ $\hat{q}_{0} \equiv q_{0} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa^{ab} q_{a} q_{b} - \text{invariant charge}$ bounded from above

$$\Omega_{\gamma}^{
m MSW} = \Omega(\gamma, z^a_{\infty}(\gamma))
onumber \ z^a_{\infty}(\gamma) =$$

large volume attractor point $= \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} (-q^a + i\lambda p^a)$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{spectral flow} \\ q_a \mapsto q_a - \kappa_{ab} \epsilon^b \\ q_0 \mapsto q_0 - \epsilon^a q_a + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ab} \epsilon^a \epsilon^b \\ \kappa_{ab} = \kappa_{abc} p^c \quad - \text{quadratic form, given} \\ \textbf{by intersection numbers of 4-cycles,} \\ \textbf{of indefinite signature} \quad (1, b_2 - 1) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{c} \text{generating function} \\ \text{of MSW invariants} \\ h_p(\tau) = \sum_{\hat{q}_0 \leq \hat{q}_0^{\max}} \bar{\Omega}_p(\hat{q}_0) \, e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i} \hat{q}_0 \tau} \end{array} \end{array}$

where

$$ar{\Omega}(\gamma) := \sum_{d|\gamma} rac{1}{d^2} \,\Omega(\gamma/d)$$
rational invariants



Refinement

There is a *refined* version of BPS indices $\Omega(\gamma, y) \sim \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}}(-y)^{2J_3}$

y – refinement parameter conjugate to the angular momentum

$$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{generating function of refined}\\ \mbox{MSW invariants}\\ h_p^{\rm ref}(\tau,y) = \sum_{\hat{q}_0 \leq \hat{q}_0^{\rm max}} \frac{\bar{\Omega}_p(\hat{q}_0,y)}{y-y^{-1}} \, e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i} \hat{q}_0 \tau} \\ \mbox{single order}\\ \mbox{pole at } y \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

The claim: the unrefined construction of the modular completion $\hat{h}_p(\tau, \bar{\tau})$ has a natural generalization to the refined case provided the (log of the) refinement parameter transforms as Jacobi elliptic variable:

If
$$y = e^{2\pi i w}$$
 then $w \mapsto \frac{w}{c\tau + d}$
or $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ for $w = \alpha - \tau \beta$

The unrefined construction can be obtained by taking the limit $y \rightarrow 1$

Modular completion

S.A., Manschot, Pioline '19



Modular completion – unrefined limit

The unrefined limit $y \to 1$

It is *well-defined* because the sum over charges produces a zero of order *n*-1 which cancels the poles of the refined generating functions

The unrefined construction differs only by the factors assigned to vertices of the trees which now involve sums of *derivatives* of the generalized error functions

Example:
$$n = 2$$

 $R_2^{\text{ref}} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma_{12}) \operatorname{Erfc}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi\tau_2}{(pp_1p_2)}} |\gamma_{12}|\right)$
 $R_2 = -\frac{|\gamma_{12}|}{8\pi} \beta_{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{2\tau_2\gamma_{12}^2}{(pp_1p_2)}\right)$
where $(pp_1p_2) = \kappa_{abd} p^a p_1^b p_2^c$
 $\beta_{\frac{3}{2}}(x^2) = \frac{2}{|x|} e^{-\pi x^2} - 2\pi \operatorname{Erfc}(\sqrt{\pi}|x|)$

The origin of the completion



Indefinite theta series

 $\vartheta_{p}(\tau, z) = \sum_{(-1)^{q \cdot p}} e^{\pi i \tau q^{2} + 2\pi i q \cdot z}$ If the quadratic form q^{2} is *positive d*-dim. lattice

definite, the theta series is known to be a Jacobi modular form

$$\vartheta_{p}\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}, \frac{\boldsymbol{z}}{c\tau+d}\right) \sim \vartheta_{p}(\tau, \boldsymbol{z})$$

What if the quadratic form is *indefinite*? the theta series diverges! But one can make it convergent by restricting to the wedge where $q^2 > 0$ **Example:** Lorentzian signature (d-1,1) $\vartheta_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\tau, \boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} (-1)^{\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}} \left[\operatorname{sgn}((\boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{b}) \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) - \operatorname{sgn}((\boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{b}) \cdot \boldsymbol{v}') \right] e^{\pi i \tau \boldsymbol{q}^2 + 2\pi i \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}}$ $z = c - \tau b$ $q \in \Lambda + \frac{1}{2}p$

Converges if v^2 , v'^2 , $v \cdot v' < 0$. But the sign functions spoil modularity! Can it be cured? — Yes!

The modular completion of $\vartheta_{p}(\tau, z)$ is obtained by replacement

This is an example of mock modular form

Important property: $\operatorname{Erf}\left(\sqrt{\pi} \, \frac{\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}}{|\boldsymbol{v}|}\right) \xrightarrow[|\boldsymbol{v}| \to 0]{} \operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) \longrightarrow$

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v})
ightarrow \operatorname{Erf}\left(\sqrt{\pi}\, \frac{\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}}{|\boldsymbol{v}|}
ight)$$

 $\boldsymbol{x} = \sqrt{2 au_2}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{b})$

null vectors don't require completion

Mock modularity



S.A., Nampuri '20

Helicity supertraces



BPS indices counting $\frac{1}{r}$ -BPS states in theory with *N* extended supersymmetry are all *different*

the first non-vanishing supertrace Helicity supertrace soaks up 2K \longrightarrow $K = \frac{2N}{r}(r-1)$ $B_K(\mathcal{R}) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}}\left[(-1)^{2J_3} J_3^K \right]$ fermionic zero modes $(\frac{1}{2}y\partial_y)^K B|_{y=1}$ $\Omega^{(N|r)}(\gamma) = \frac{B_K(\mathcal{H}^N_{\gamma,j})}{B_K(\mathcal{R}_{K,i})}$ center of mass contribution Helicity generating function $B(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{y}) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}}(-\mathbf{y})^{2J_3}$ All BPS indices can be expressed through the refined index on $\mathcal{R}_{K,j}$ has zero of order K at y = 1 $\Omega^{(N|r)}(\gamma) = \frac{\partial_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{K-2} \Omega(\gamma, \boldsymbol{y})|_{\boldsymbol{y}=1}}{(K-2)!}$ Refined BPS index $\Omega(\gamma, \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{B(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma, j}, \boldsymbol{y})}{B(\mathcal{R}_{2, i}, \boldsymbol{y})} \sim \frac{\boldsymbol{y} B(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma, j}, \boldsymbol{y})}{(\boldsymbol{y} - 1)^2}$

Holomorphic anomaly

Conjecture: h_p^{ref} is a mock Jacobi form of weight $w_{\text{ref}} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank}(\kappa_{AB})$ with the completion satisfying a similar holomorphic anomaly equation in string compactifications with any amount of SUSY

$$(y-y^{-1})\partial_{\bar{\tau}}\hat{h}_{p}^{\mathrm{ref}} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\gamma_{i}=\gamma}}\Theta_{n}(\{\gamma_{i}\};y)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\Omega(\gamma_{i},y)$$

$$\kappa_{AB} = \kappa_{ABC} p^C$$

where $A = 1, \ldots, b_2 + 2b_1$ and κ_{ABC} generalizes intersection numbers

 κ_{AB} can be degenerate!

The unrefined limit to get $\Omega^{(N|r)}$:

apply $(y\partial_y)^{K-2}$ and set y = 1 $\longrightarrow h_p^{(N|r)}$ is a mock modular form of weight $w = K - 3 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{rank} \kappa_{AB}$ \longrightarrow # of derivatives: $K - 2 = 2N - 2 - 2N/r \le n(N-2)$ for $n, N \ge 2$ for N > 2 equality holds only for r = N, n = 2

For all r < N, the generating functions of $\frac{1}{r}$ -BPS states are usual modular forms

Only bound states of *two half-BPS* states contribute to the anomaly



Relation to Vafa-Witten

Consider a CY given by an elliptic fibration over a projective surface S and take a *local limit* where the elliptic fiber becomes large



Check: for $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ the modular completions have been explicitly computed for *N*=2 and 3 (*only!*). They perfectly coincide with our predictions!

Rank N Vafa-Witten invariants

The formula for the completion allows to find the VW invariants themselves!

Example: N = 2

$$\widehat{h}_2 = h_2 + \frac{h_1^2}{2} \sum_{k \in \Lambda_S} \left(\operatorname{Erf}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\pi\tau_2}{K_S^2}} \left(K_S \cdot k + \beta K_S^2\right)\right) - \operatorname{sgn}(K_S \cdot k) \right) q^{-k^2} y^{2K_S \cdot k}$$

where for all surfaces

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{\theta_1(\tau, 2z) \,\eta(\tau)^{b_2(S)-1}}$$

modularity requires the kernel $\operatorname{Erf}(\sqrt{\tau_2}v \cdot (k + \beta K_S)) - \operatorname{Erf}(\sqrt{\tau_2}v' \cdot (k + \beta K_S))$

S.A. 2005.03680

2006.10074

Rank N Vafa-Witten invariants

The formula for the completion allows to find the VW invariants themselves!

Example: N = 2 $\hat{h}_2 = h_2 + \frac{h_1^2}{2} \sum_{k \in \Lambda_S} \left(\operatorname{Erf} \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi \tau_2}{K_S^2}} \left(K_S \cdot k + \beta K_S^2 \right) \right) - \operatorname{sgn}(K_S \cdot k) \right) q^{-k^2} y^{2K_S \cdot k}$ where for all surfaces modularity requires the kernel

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{\theta_1(\tau, 2z) \,\eta(\tau)^{b_2(S) - 1}}$$

$$\operatorname{Erf}(\sqrt{\tau_2}v \cdot (k + \beta K_S)) - \operatorname{sgn}(v_0 \cdot (k + \beta K_S))$$

holomorphic! $v_0^2 = 0$

S.A. 2005.03680

2006.10074

$$h_{2,-K_S} = H_2 + \frac{h_1^2}{2} \sum_{k \in \Lambda_S} \left(\operatorname{sgn}(K_S \cdot k) - \operatorname{sgn}(v_0 \cdot (k + \beta K_S)) \right) q^{-k^2} y^{2K_S \cdot k}$$

holomorphic & modular H_2 is found by requiring well-defined unrefined limit $h_1^{-2}h_2$ must have a zero at $y = \pm 1$ Explicit expressions for generating functions of refined VW invariants and their completions for all N $H_2 \sim \frac{i\eta(\tau)}{\theta_1(\tau, 4z)\theta_1(\tau, 2z)^2}$

Rank N Vafa-Witten invariants

The formula for the completion allows to find the VW invariants themselves!

Example: N = 2 $\widehat{h}_2 = h_2 + \frac{h_1^2}{2} \sum_{k \in \Lambda_S} \left(\operatorname{Erf} \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi \tau_2}{K_S^2}} \left(K_S \cdot k + \beta K_S^2 \right) \right) - \operatorname{sgn}(K_S \cdot k) \right) q^{-k^2} y^{2K_S \cdot k}$ where for all surfaces $h_1 = \frac{i}{\theta_1(\tau, 2z) \eta(\tau)^{b_2(S)-1}}$ $\operatorname{Erf}(\sqrt{\tau_2} v \cdot (k + \beta K_S)) - \operatorname{sgn}(v_0 \cdot (k + \beta K_S))$

holomorphic! $v_0^2 = 0$

S.A. 2005.03680

2006.10074

$$h_{2,J} = H_2 + \frac{h_1^2}{2} \sum_{k \in \Lambda_S} \left(\operatorname{sgn}((-J) \cdot k) - \operatorname{sgn}(v_0 \cdot (k + \beta K_S)) \right) q^{-k^2} y^{2K_S \cdot k}$$

holomorphic & modular H_2 is found by requiring well-defined unrefined limit $h_1^{-2}h_2$ must have a zero at $y = \pm 1$ Explicit expressions for generating functions of refined VW invariants and their completions for all N and all J $H_2 \sim \frac{i\eta(\tau)}{\theta_1(\tau, 4z)\theta_1(\tau, 2z)^2}$

Comments

- This construction requires only two ingredients:
 - 1) a *unimodular* charge (second homology) lattice Λ_S
 - 2) a *null* vector $v_0 \in \Lambda_S$

What if there are several null vectors in the lattice?

- The null vectors satisfying $v_0 \cdot v'_0 = 1$ & $v_0 \cdot K_S = v'_0 \cdot K_S$ give the same generating functions \checkmark example of fiber-base duality Requires very non-trivial identities between theta functions! $v_0 \cdot K_S = v'_0 \cdot K_S$ example of fiber-base duality Katz,Mayr,Vafa '97 simplest example $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$
- Other null vectors give different generating functions \longrightarrow simplest example $S = \mathbb{F}_1$
- What if the lattice does not have a null vector? Then one can extend the lattice by multiplying the formula for the completion by a theta series

Explicit results at all N for $S = \mathbb{P}^2$

An improved version of the blow-up formula new

invariants?

simplest example $S = \mathbb{P}^2$

Holomorphic anomaly of VW partition function

The formula for the completion allows to prove an old conjecture for U(N)Vafa-Witten theory (checked before for $S = \frac{1}{2}K3$ and \mathbb{P}^2 up to $N \leq 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{D}}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{N} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\tau_{2}}}{32\pi \mathrm{i}} \sum_{N_{1}+N_{2}=N} N_{1}N_{2} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{N_{1}} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{N_{2}} \\ \text{Minahan,Nemeschansky,} \\ \text{Vafa,Warner '98} \end{aligned} \\ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{N} &= \sqrt{2\tau_{2}} \\ N_{1}+N_{2}=N \\ N_{1}N_{2} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{N_{1}} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{N_{2}} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{N_{2}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{N_{2}} \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{N} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}} (\partial_{c_{+}} + 2\pi \mathrm{i}b_{+})^{2} \\ (\partial_{\overline{\tau}} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4\pi} (\partial_{c_{+}} + 2\pi \mathrm{i}b_{+})^{2} \\ \mathrm{such that} \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}} \partial_{N}^{\mathrm{SN}} &= 0 \\ \mathrm{due to \ collinearity \ of \ charges \ } p^{a} &= Np_{0}^{a} \end{aligned} \\ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{N} &= \frac{\sqrt{p_{0}^{3}}}{\sqrt{N}} \widehat{h}_{N}(\tau) \vartheta_{N}^{\mathrm{SN}}(\tau, v) \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{N} &= \frac{\sqrt{p_{0}^{3}}}{4\pi} (\partial_{c_{+}} + 2\pi \mathrm{i}b_{+})^{2} \\ \mathrm{such \ that} \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}} \partial_{N}^{\mathrm{SN}} &= 0 \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{N} &= 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Siegel-Narain} \\ \mathrm{theta \ series} \\ \mathrm{series} \\ \mathrm{such \ that} \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}} \partial_{N} &= 0 \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{N} &= 0 \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{N} &= 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Siegel-Narain} \\ \mathrm{theta \ series} \\ \mathrm{series} \\ \mathrm{serie$$

A similar anomaly equation for the refined partition function can be written only using a non-commutative star product!

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= f \exp \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\tilde{c}_{a}} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\tilde{c}_{a}} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{a} \right) \right] g \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} + \bar{w} \partial_{\bar{v}^{a}} \\
= \alpha \partial_{c^{a}} + \beta \partial_{b^{a}} \\
\tilde{c}_{a}, c^{a} - \text{RR-fields coupled to} \\
\text{D4 and D2-brane charges} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} + \bar{w} \partial_{\bar{v}^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} + \bar{w} \partial_{\bar{v}^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= \alpha \partial_{c^{a}} + \beta \partial_{b^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} + \bar{w} \partial_{\bar{v}^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} + \bar{w} \partial_{\bar{v}^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= \alpha \partial_{c^{a}} + \beta \partial_{b^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} + \bar{w} \partial_{\bar{v}^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} + \bar{w} \partial_{\bar{v}^{a}} \\
\mathcal{D}_{a} &= w \partial_{v^{a}} + \bar{w$$

Instanton generating potential & TBA equations

The geometry of the moduli space is encoded in $H_{\gamma}(z)$, *Darboux coordinates* on the twistor space of \mathcal{M} satisfying a *TBA-like equation*

large volume limit of the integral equation of Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke for N=2 SYM / S¹

$$H_{\gamma}(z) = H_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{cl}}(z) \, \exp\left[\sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma_{+}} \int_{\ell_{\gamma'}} \mathrm{d}z' \, K_{\gamma\gamma'}(z, z') \, H_{\gamma'}(z')\right]$$

where $H_{\gamma}^{\text{cl}} \sim \bar{\Omega}(\gamma), \ K_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} = 2\pi \Big(\kappa_{abc} t^a p_1^b p_2^c + \frac{\mathrm{i} \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle}{z_1 - z_2} \Big)$

Instanton generating potential:

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{+}} \int_{\ell_{\gamma}} dz \, H_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Gamma_{+}} \int_{\ell_{\gamma_{1}}} dz_{1} \int_{\ell_{\gamma_{2}}} dz \, K_{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}} \, H_{\gamma_{1}} H_{\gamma_{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{2}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{p_{i}} \hat{h}_{p_{i}}(\tau) \right] \hat{\theta}_{p}(\tau, v)$$
refinement
$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{+}} \int_{\ell_{\gamma}} dz \, H_{\gamma}^{\text{ref}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{2}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{p_{i}} \hat{h}_{p_{i}}^{\text{ref}}(\tau, v) \right] \hat{\theta}_{p}^{\text{ref}}(\tau, v, y) = \mathcal{G}^{\text{ref}}(y)$$
Refined potential
$$H_{\gamma}^{\text{ref}}(z) = H_{\gamma}^{\text{ref}, \text{cl}}(z) \star \left[1 + \sum_{\gamma'} \int_{\ell_{\gamma'}} \frac{dz'}{z - z'} H_{\gamma'}^{\text{ref}}(z') \right]$$
The refinement effectively
quantizes the moduli space
consistently with S-duality

Conclusions

Main result: *Explicit* form of the *modular completion* of the generating function of (refined) black hole degeneracies (DT invariants) at large volume attractor point for *arbitrary* divisor of CY

 $\longrightarrow h_p(\tau)$ – higher depth mock modular form

Numerous applications: N=4 dyons, VW invariants for arbitrary rank, fiber-base duality, blow-up formula, holomorphic anomaly, quantization of the moduli space consistent with S-duality....

Open problems:

- Extension of this technique to evaluation of DT invariants for *compact* CYs
- Understanding the non-commutative geometry of the refined moduli space and relations to previous constructions

-----> relation to *twistorial topological string*? [Ceccotti-Neitzke-Vafa '14]

- Geometric or physical meaning of the (refined) instanton generating potential
- Geometric of physical meaning of the invariants generated by "wrong" null vectors
- Compactifications with N=8 supersymmetry