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$$
s_{2}^{\prime}=\chi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{1} s_{3} \quad(\bmod 2)
$$
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0 \times 2^{0}+1 \times 2^{1}+1 \times 2^{2}+0 \times 2^{3}+1 \times 2^{4}+1 \times 2^{5}+0 \times 2^{6}+0 \times 2^{7}=54
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三

TP and C.Mejia-Monasterio, JPA 49, 185003 (2016)
Describe an evolution of probability state vector for $n$-cell automaton

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{p}(t)=U^{t} \mathbf{p}(0) \\
\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{2^{n}-1}\right) \equiv\left(p_{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}} ; s_{j} \in\{0,1\}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$



$U=U_{o} U_{\mathrm{e}}$,

$$
U_{\mathrm{e}}=P_{123} P_{345} \cdots P_{n-3, n-2, n-1} P_{n-1, n}^{\mathrm{R}},
$$

$$
U_{\mathrm{o}}=P_{n-2, n-1, n} \cdots P_{456} P_{234} P_{12}^{\mathrm{L}}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=U_{\mathrm{o}} U_{\mathrm{e}} \\
& U_{\mathrm{e}}=P_{123} P_{345} \cdots P_{n-3, n-2, n-1} P_{n-1, n}^{\mathrm{R}}, \\
& U_{\mathrm{o}}=P_{n-2, n-1, n} \cdots P_{456} P_{234} P_{12}^{\mathrm{L}}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & & & & & & & \\
& & & 1 & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & & & \\
& 1 & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & 1 & \\
& & & & 1 & & & 1 \\
& & & & & 1 & &
\end{array}\right) \\
& P^{\mathrm{L}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 & \beta \\
1-\alpha & 0 & 1-\alpha & 0 \\
0 & 1-\beta & 0 & 1-\beta
\end{array}\right) \\
& P^{\mathrm{R}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\
1-\gamma & 1-\gamma & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta & \delta \\
0 & 0 & 1-\delta & 1-\delta
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=U_{\mathrm{o}} U_{\mathrm{e}}, \\
& U_{\mathrm{e}}=P_{123} P_{345} \cdots P_{n-3, n-2, n-1} P_{n-1, n}^{\mathrm{R}}, \\
& U_{\mathrm{o}}=P_{n-2, n-1, n} \cdots P_{456} P_{234} P_{12}^{\mathrm{L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Some Monte-Carlo to warm up...

$X \longrightarrow$
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Consequence (via Perron-Frobenius theorem): Nonequilibrium steady state (NESS), i.e. fixed point of $U$

$$
U \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}
$$

is unique, and any initial probability state vector is asymptotically (in $t$ ) relaxing to $\mathbf{p}$.

## Holographic ergodicity

## Theorem

The $2^{n} \times 2^{n}$ matrix $U$ is irreducible and aperiodic for generic values of driving parameters, more precisely, for an open set $0<\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta<1$.

Consequence (via Perron-Frobenius theorem): Nonequilibrium steady state (NESS), i.e. fixed point of $U$

$$
U \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}
$$

is unique, and any initial probability state vector is asymptotically (in $t$ ) relaxing to $\mathbf{p}$.

Idea of the proof:
Show that for any pair of configurations $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}^{\prime}$, such $t_{0}$ exists that

$$
\left(U^{t}\right)_{\mathrm{s}, \mathbf{s}^{\prime}}>0, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
$$



Unified matrix ansatz for NESS and decay modes: some magic at work
[TP and B. Buča, JPA 50, 395002 (2017)]
[TP and B. Buča, JPA 50, 395002 (2017)]
Consider a pair of matrices:

$$
W_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\xi & \xi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad W_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \xi & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \omega
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{w}=\binom{W_{0}}{W_{1}}
$$

and $W_{s}^{\prime}(\xi, \omega):=W_{s}(\omega, \xi)$.
[TP and B. Buča, JPA 50, 395002 (2017)]
Consider a pair of matrices:

$$
W_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\xi & \xi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad W_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \xi & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \omega
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{W}=\binom{W_{0}}{W_{1}}
$$

and $W_{s}^{\prime}(\xi, \omega):=W_{s}(\omega, \xi)$. These satisfy a remarkable bulk relation:

$$
P_{123} W_{1} S \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{3}^{\prime}=W_{1} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{3} S
$$

or component-wise

$$
W_{s} S W_{\chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)} W_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}=W_{s} W_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime} W_{s^{\prime \prime}} S
$$

where $S$ is a "delimiter" matrix

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Suppose there exists pairs and quadruples of vectors $\left\langle I_{s}\right|,\left\langle I_{s s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|,\left|r_{s s^{\prime}}\right\rangle,\left|r_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, and a scalar parameter $\lambda$, satisfying the following boundary equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{123}\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{1}\right| \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{3}^{\prime}=\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{12}^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{W}_{3} S, \\
& P_{12}^{\mathrm{R}}\left|\mathbf{r}_{12}\right\rangle=\mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime} S\left|\mathbf{r}_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
& P_{123} \mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{2}\left|\mathbf{r}_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\lambda \mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime} S\left|\mathbf{r}_{23}\right\rangle, \\
& P_{12}^{\mathrm{L}}\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{12}^{\prime}\right|=\lambda^{-1}\left\langle\mathbf{l}_{1}\right| \mathbf{W}_{2} S
\end{aligned}
$$
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& P_{12}^{\mathrm{L}}\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{12}^{\prime}\right|=\lambda^{-1}\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{1}\right| \mathbf{W}_{2} S
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the following probability vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{p} & \equiv \mathbf{p}_{12 \ldots n}=\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{1}\right| \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{3}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{4} \cdots \mathbf{W}_{n-3}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{n-2}\left|\mathbf{r}_{n-1, n}\right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{p}^{\prime} & \equiv \mathbf{p}_{12 \ldots n}^{\prime}=\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{12}^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{W}_{4}^{\prime} \cdots \mathbf{W}_{n-3} \mathbf{W}_{n-2}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{n-1}\left|\mathbf{r}_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfy the NESS fixed point condition

$$
U_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \quad U_{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\mathbf{p}
$$

Suppose there exists pairs and quadruples of vectors $\left\langle I_{s}\right|,\left\langle l_{s s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|,\left|r_{s s^{\prime}}\right\rangle,\left|r_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, and a scalar parameter $\lambda$, satisfying the following boundary equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{123}\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{1}\right| \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{3}^{\prime}=\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{12}^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{W}_{3} S, \\
& P_{12}^{\mathrm{R}}\left|\mathbf{r}_{12}\right\rangle=\mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime} S\left|\mathbf{r}_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
& P_{123} \mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{2}\left|\mathbf{r}_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\lambda \mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime} S\left|\mathbf{r}_{23}\right\rangle, \\
& P_{12}^{\mathrm{L}}\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{12}^{\prime}\right|=\lambda^{-1}\left\langle\mathbf{l}_{1}\right| \mathbf{W}_{2} S .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the following probability vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{p} & \equiv \mathbf{p}_{12 \ldots n}=\left\langle\mathbf{l}_{1}\right| \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{3}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{4} \cdots \mathbf{W}_{n-3}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{n-2}\left|\mathbf{r}_{n-1, n}\right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{p}^{\prime} & \equiv \mathbf{p}_{12 \ldots n}^{\prime}=\left\langle\mathbf{I}_{12}^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{W}_{4}^{\prime} \cdots \mathbf{W}_{n-3} \mathbf{W}_{n-2}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{n-1}\left|\mathbf{r}_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfy the NESS fixed point condition

$$
U_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \quad U_{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\mathbf{p}
$$

Proof: Observe the bulk relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{123} \mathbf{W}_{1} S \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{3}^{\prime} & =\mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{3} \mathbf{S} \\
P_{123} \mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{3}^{\prime} \mathbf{S} & =\mathbf{W}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{S W}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{W}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

to move the delimiter $S$ around, when it 'hits' the boundary observe one of the boundary equations. After the full cycle, you obtain $U_{\mathrm{a}} U_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{p}=\lambda \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{p}$.

This yields a consistent system of equations which uniquely determine the unknown parameters, namely for the left boundary:

$$
\xi=\frac{(\alpha+\beta-1)-\lambda^{-1} \beta}{\lambda^{-2}(\beta-1)}, \quad \omega=\frac{\lambda^{-1}\left(\alpha-\lambda^{-1}\right)}{\beta-1}
$$

and for the right boundary:

$$
\xi=\frac{\lambda(\gamma-\lambda)}{\delta-1}, \quad \omega=\frac{\gamma+\delta-1-\lambda \delta}{\lambda^{2}(\delta-1)}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\frac{(\gamma(\alpha+\beta-1)-\beta)(\beta(\gamma+\delta-1)-\gamma)}{(\alpha-\delta(\alpha+\beta-1))^{2}} \\
& \omega=\frac{(\delta(\alpha+\beta-1)-\alpha)(\alpha(\gamma+\delta-1)-\delta)}{(\gamma-\beta(\gamma+\delta-1))^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and explicit expressions for the boundary vectors..

Can we diagonalize $U$ with a similar ansatz?

Can we diagonalize $U$ with a similar ansatz?
Yes, a good deal of decay modes can be written as a compact MPA with explicitly positionally dependent matrices

$$
\mathbf{W}^{(x)}, \quad \mathbf{W}^{\prime(x)}
$$

depending on $x \in\{2,3, \ldots, n-1\}$ via multiplicative momentum variable $z$, containing linear combinations of

$$
\left\{1, z^{x}, z^{-x}\right\}
$$

Can we diagonalize $U$ with a similar ansatz?
Yes, a good deal of decay modes can be written as a compact MPA with explicitly positionally dependent matrices

$$
\mathbf{W}^{(x)}, \quad \mathbf{W}^{\prime(x)}
$$

depending on $x \in\{2,3, \ldots, n-1\}$ via multiplicative momentum variable $z$, containing linear combinations of

$$
\left\{1, z^{x}, z^{-x}\right\}
$$

For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{W}^{(x)}=\left(e_{11} \otimes \mathbf{W}(\xi z, \omega / z)+e_{22} \otimes \mathbf{W}(\xi / z, \omega z)\right)\left(\mathbb{1}_{8}+e_{12} \otimes \frac{c_{+} z^{x} F_{+}+c_{-} z^{-x} F_{-}}{\xi \omega-1}\right) \\
& F_{+}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & z \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\xi \omega-1}{\omega z^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \xi z^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad F_{-}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\xi^{2} z^{3}} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\xi \omega-1}{\xi z^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega+\frac{1}{\xi}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}-1\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Bethe-like equations for the Markov spectrum

$$
U_{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{p}(z)=\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}(z), \quad U_{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}(z)=\Lambda_{\mathrm{R}} \mathbf{p}(z)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{z(\alpha+\beta-1)-\beta \Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}}{(\beta-1) \Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}=\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{R}}\left(\gamma z-\Lambda_{\mathrm{R}}\right)}{(\delta-1) z} \\
& \frac{z(\gamma+\delta-1)-\delta \Lambda_{\mathrm{R}}}{(\delta-1) \Lambda_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}}=\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\alpha z-\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}\right)}{(\beta-1) z} \\
& z^{2 n-6-4 p}=\frac{(\alpha+\beta-1)^{p}(\gamma+\delta-1)^{p}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{L}}^{4 p} \Lambda_{\mathrm{R}}^{4 p}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Consider a (commutative $C^{*}$ ) algebra of observables on infinite lattice $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.
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Ultralocal basis $\left\{[0]_{\times},[1]_{\times}\right\}$:

$$
[\alpha]_{x}(\mathbf{s})=\delta_{\alpha, s_{x}}, \quad\left([\alpha]_{x}[\beta]_{y}\right)(\mathbf{s})=[\alpha]_{x}(\mathbf{s})[\beta]_{y}(\mathbf{s}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in\{0,1\}, \mathbf{s} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}
$$
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$$

$r$-local basis centred on site $x$ :

$$
\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r}\right]_{x} \equiv\left[\alpha_{1}\right]_{x-\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor}\left[\alpha_{2}\right]_{x-\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor+1} \cdots\left[\alpha_{r}\right]_{x+\left\lfloor\frac{r-1}{2}\right\rfloor} .
$$

Using unit element $\mathbb{1}=[0]_{x}+[1]_{\times}$, we can extend the support of each $r$-local basis element as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r}\right]_{x} \equiv \mathbb{1}_{x-\left\lfloor\frac{r+2}{2}\right\rfloor} \cdot\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r}\right]_{x} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{x+\left[\frac{r+1}{2}\right\rfloor} \equiv} \\
& \quad \equiv\left[0 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r} 0\right]_{x}+\left[0 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r} 1\right]_{x}+\left[1 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r} 0\right]_{x}+\left[1 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r} 1\right]_{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Separable (strongly clustering) states $p$ defined by expectation values $p(x)$ of ultralocal observables

$$
\left\langle\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r}\right]_{x}\right\rangle_{p}=p_{x-\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot p_{x-\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots p_{x+\left\lfloor\frac{r-1}{2}\right\rfloor}\left(\alpha_{r}\right)
$$

Separable (strongly clustering) states $p$ defined by expectation values $p(x)$ of ultralocal observables

$$
\left\langle\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{r}\right]_{x}\right\rangle_{p}=p_{x-\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \cdot p_{x-\left\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots p_{x+\left\lfloor\frac{r-1}{2}\right\rfloor}\left(\alpha_{r}\right)
$$

Two examples of separable states that we consider:
(1) A maximum entropy state

$$
p_{x}(0)=p_{x}(1)=1 / 2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

(2) An inhomogeneous initial state

$$
\begin{cases}p_{x}(0)=p_{x}(1)=1 / 2, & \text { for } x \leq 0 \\ p_{x}(0)=1, \quad p_{x}(1)=0 . & \text { for } x>0\end{cases}
$$

Dynamics: Time automorphism of algebra of observables

$$
a^{t}\left(\mathbf{s}^{0}\right)=a\left(\mathbf{s}^{t}\right)
$$

$$
a^{t}\left(\mathbf{s}^{0}\right)=a\left(\mathbf{s}^{t}\right)
$$

For 3-site observables, dynamical automorphism is defined as

$$
U_{x}[\alpha \beta \gamma]_{y}= \begin{cases}{[\alpha \chi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \gamma]_{y} ;} & x=y \\ {[\alpha \beta \gamma]_{y} ;} & |x-y| \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

while for any r-local observable it is defined as
a $t$-staggered linear homomorphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
a^{t+1}=U(t) a^{t} \\
U(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\prod_{x \in 2 \mathbb{Z}} U_{x} ; & t \equiv 0 & (\bmod 2) \\
\prod_{x \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+1} U_{x} ; & t \equiv 1 & (\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

## Time-dependent matrix product ansatz

Theorem (Klobas et al. CMP (2019)): Time evolution of a local observable [1] ${ }_{x}$ reads

$$
[1]_{x}^{t}=\sum_{\substack{s_{-t}, \ldots, s_{t} \in\{0,1\}}} c_{s_{-t}, \ldots, s_{t}}(t)\left[s_{-t} s_{-t+1} \cdots s_{t}\right]_{x}
$$

where the amplitudes $c_{s_{-t}}, \ldots, s_{t}(t) \in\{0,1\}$ can be represented as MPA

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{s_{-t}}, \ldots s_{t}(t) & =\langle I(t)| V_{s_{-t}} W_{s_{-t+1}} V_{s_{-t+\mathbf{2}}} \cdots W_{s_{t-1}} V_{s_{t}}|r\rangle+ \\
& +\left\langle I^{\prime}\right| V_{s_{-t}}^{\prime} W_{s_{-t+1}}^{\prime} V_{s_{-t+\mathbf{2}}}^{\prime} \cdots W_{s_{t-1}}^{\prime} V_{s_{t}}^{\prime}\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

$V_{s}, W_{s}, V_{s}^{\prime}, W_{s}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}), s \in\{0,1\}$, are linear operators over auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}=\operatorname{lsp}\left\{|c, w, n, a\rangle ; c, w \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, n \in\{0,1,2\}, a \in\{0,1\}\right\}$, and can be explicitly expressed in terms of ladder operators and projectors

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathbf{c}^{+} & =\sum_{c, w, n, a}|c+1, w, n, a\rangle\langle c, w, n, a|, & \mathbf{c}^{-}=\left(\mathbf{c}^{+}\right)^{T}, \\
\mathbf{w}^{+} & =\sum_{c, w, n, a}|c, w+1, n, a\rangle\langle c, w, n, a|, & \mathbf{w}^{-}=\left(\mathbf{w}^{+}\right)^{T}, \\
\mathbf{e}_{c_{2} w_{2} n_{2} a_{2}, c_{1} w_{1} n_{1} a_{1}} & =\left|c_{2}, w_{2}, n_{2}, a_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle c_{1}, w_{1}, n_{1}, a_{1}\right|, & \\
\mathbf{e}_{n_{2} a_{2}, n_{1} a_{1}} & =\sum_{c, w}\left|c, w, n_{2}, a_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle c, w, n_{1}, a_{1}\right|, &
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0} & =\mathbf{e}_{00,00}+\mathbf{e}_{10,00}+\mathbf{e}_{20,00}+\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{10,01}+\mathbf{e}_{01,01}+\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{11,01}+\mathbf{e}_{21,01}+ \\
& +\mathbf{e}_{0001,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0011,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,0001}, \\
V_{1} & =\mathbf{e}_{00,10}+\mathbf{e}_{10,20}+\mathbf{e}_{20,20}+\mathbf{e}_{00,11}+\mathbf{e}_{10,21}+\mathbf{e}_{20,21}+\mathbf{e}_{01,11}+ \\
& +\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{11,21}+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{21,21}+\mathbf{e}_{0001,0011}+\mathbf{e}_{0011,0021}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,0021}, \\
W_{0} & =\mathbf{c}^{-} \mathbf{w}^{+}\left(\mathbf{e}_{00,00}+\mathbf{e}_{10,00}+\mathbf{e}_{20,00}\right)+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{10,01}+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{01,01}+ \\
& +\mathbf{c}^{+}\left(\mathbf{w}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathbf{e}_{11,01}+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{21,01}+\mathbf{e}_{1111,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0001,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0011,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,0001}, \\
W_{1} & =\mathbf{c}^{-} \mathbf{w}^{+}\left(\mathbf{e}_{00,10}+\mathbf{e}_{10,20}+\mathbf{e}_{20,20}\right)+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{01,11}+\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{11,21}+ \\
& +\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{21,21}+\mathbf{e}_{0001,0011}+\mathbf{e}_{0011,0021}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,0021}, \\
V_{0}^{\prime} & =V_{0}^{T}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0001,1111}+\mathbf{e}_{0101,1211}+\mathbf{e}_{0101,1110}\right), \\
V_{1}^{\prime} & =V_{1}^{T} \\
W_{0}^{\prime} & =W_{0}^{T}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0001,1111}+\mathbf{e}_{0000,1211}\right), \\
W_{1}^{\prime} & =W_{1}^{T}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0021,1111}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,1121}+\mathbf{e}_{0121,1211}+\mathbf{e}_{0121,1221}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0} & =\mathbf{e}_{00,00}+\mathbf{e}_{10,00}+\mathbf{e}_{20,00}+\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{10,01}+\mathbf{e}_{01,01}+\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{11,01}+\mathbf{e}_{21,01}+ \\
& +\mathbf{e}_{0001,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0011,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,0001} \\
V_{1} & =\mathbf{e}_{00,10}+\mathbf{e}_{10,20}+\mathbf{e}_{20,20}+\mathbf{e}_{00,11}+\mathbf{e}_{10,21}+\mathbf{e}_{20,21}+\mathbf{e}_{01,11}+ \\
& +\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{11,21}+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{21,21}+\mathbf{e}_{0001,0011}+\mathbf{e}_{0011,0021}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,0021} \\
W_{0} & =\mathbf{c}^{-} \mathbf{w}^{+}\left(\mathbf{e}_{00,00}+\mathbf{e}_{10,00}+\mathbf{e}_{20,00}\right)+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{10,01}+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{01,01}+ \\
& +\mathbf{c}^{+}\left(\mathbf{w}^{+}\right)^{2} \mathbf{e}_{11,01}+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{21,01}+\mathbf{e}_{1111,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0001,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0011,0001}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,0001}, \\
W_{1} & =\mathbf{c}^{-} \mathbf{w}^{+}\left(\mathbf{e}_{00,10}+\mathbf{e}_{10,20}+\mathbf{e}_{20,20}\right)+\mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{01,11}+\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{11,21}+ \\
& +\mathbf{c}^{+} \mathbf{w}^{+} \mathbf{e}_{21,21}+\mathbf{e}_{0001,0011}+\mathbf{e}_{0011,0021}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,0021}, \\
V_{0}^{\prime} & =V_{0}^{T}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0001,1111}+\mathbf{e}_{0101,1211}+\mathbf{e}_{0101,1110}\right) \\
V_{1}^{\prime} & =V_{1}^{T} \\
W_{0}^{\prime} & =W_{0}^{T}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0001,1111}+\mathbf{e}_{0000,1211}\right) \\
W_{1}^{\prime} & =W_{1}^{T}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{0021,1111}+\mathbf{e}_{0021,1121}+\mathbf{e}_{0121,1211}+\mathbf{e}_{0121,1221}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The time-dependent auxiliary space boundary vectors take the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle I(t)| & =\langle 0, t, 0,0| \\
|r\rangle & =|0,0,0,0\rangle+|0,0,0,1\rangle+|0,0,0,2\rangle \\
\left\langle I^{\prime}\right| & =\langle 0,0,0,1|+\langle 0,0,1,1|+\langle 0,0,2,1|+\langle 0,1,0,1|+\langle 0,1,2,1|  \tag{1}\\
\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle & =|0, t+1,0,0\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: 'Real space, real time inverse scattering transform'


The weight of left MPA $\langle I(t)| V_{s_{-t}} W_{s_{-t+1}} V_{s_{-t+\mathbf{2}}} \cdots W_{s_{t-1}} V_{s_{t}}|r\rangle$ is 1 (or 0 ) if the configuration $\left(s_{-t}, s_{-t+1}, \ldots, s_{t}\right)$ can (cannot) be obtained in a light-cone with the left-mover at the origin!

$$
C(x, t)=\left\langle[1]_{x}[1]_{0}^{t}\right\rangle_{p}-\left\langle[1]_{x}\right\rangle_{p}\left\langle[1]_{0}^{t}\right\rangle_{p}=\left\langle[1]_{x}[1]_{0}^{t}\right\rangle_{p}-\frac{1}{4}
$$

$$
C(x, t)=\left\langle[1]_{x}[1]_{0}^{t}\right\rangle_{p}-\left\langle[1]_{x}\right\rangle_{p}\left\langle[1]_{0}^{t}\right\rangle_{p}=\left\langle[1]_{x}[1]_{0}^{t}\right\rangle_{p}-\frac{1}{4}
$$

Using time-dependent MPA:

$$
C(x, t)=\frac{1}{2^{2 t+1}}\left(\langle l(t)| T^{\frac{x+t}{2}} V_{1} \bar{T}^{t-\frac{x+t}{2}}|r\rangle+\left\langle l^{\prime}\right| \bar{T}^{\prime \frac{x+t}{2}} V_{1}^{\prime} T^{\prime t-\frac{x+t}{2}}\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle\right)-\frac{1}{4}
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T=\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right)\left(W_{0}+W_{1}\right), & \bar{T}=\left(W_{0}+W_{1}\right)\left(V_{0}+V_{1}\right), \\
T^{\prime}=\left(W_{0}^{\prime}+W_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(V_{0}^{\prime}+V_{1}^{\prime}\right), & \bar{T}^{\prime}=\left(V_{0}^{\prime}+V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(W_{0}^{\prime}+W_{1}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

For maximum entropy ('infinite temperature') state, tMPA yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(x, t) & =2^{-t-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{t-|x|-2}{2}} 4^{m}\left(2\binom{t-2 m-3}{m}-\binom{t-2 m-2}{m}\right) \\
& \simeq \frac{1}{16 \sqrt{t \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{4}{t}\left(|x|-\frac{t}{2}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Exact solution of inhomogeneous quench problem



$$
\hat{\rho}(x, t)=\left\langle[1]_{x}\right\rangle_{p_{\text {inhom }}^{t}}=\left\langle[1]_{x}^{-t}\right\rangle_{p_{\text {inhom }}}
$$

Exact solution exhibits the following simple asymptotic behavior:

- Quasi-free regime

$$
\hat{\rho}\left(t \geq x \geq-\frac{t}{3}+1, t\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\left\lfloor\frac{t-x+1}{2}\right\rfloor}\right)
$$

- Thermalizing (diffusive) regime

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\rho}\left(-\frac{t}{2}+\zeta \sqrt{t}, t\right)=\frac{1}{12}(5-\operatorname{erf}(2 \zeta))
$$

## Exact large deviations

[B.Buča, J.P.Garrahan, T.Prosen, M.Vanicat, PRE (2019)] Large deviation theory for arbitrary observable of the form:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{T}=\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{x=1}^{N-1}\left[f_{x}\left(s_{x}^{t}, s_{x+1}^{t}\right)+g_{x}\left(s_{x}^{t+1 / 2}, s_{x+1}^{t+1 / 2}\right)\right]
$$

[B.Buča, J.P.Garrahan, T.Prosen, M.Vanicat, PRE (2019)]
Large deviation theory for arbitrary observable of the form:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{T}=\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{x=1}^{N-1}\left[f_{x}\left(s_{x}^{t}, s_{x+1}^{t}\right)+g_{x}\left(s_{x}^{t+1 / 2}, s_{x+1}^{t+1 / 2}\right)\right]
$$

Tilted Markov generator:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{U}(s)=U_{\mathrm{o}} G(s) U_{\mathrm{e}} F(s) \\
F(s)=F_{12}^{(1)} F_{23}^{(2)} F_{34}^{(3)} \ldots F_{N-1, N}^{(N-1)} \text { and } G(s)=G_{12}^{(1)} G_{23}^{(2)} G_{34}^{(3)} \ldots G_{N-1, N}^{(N-1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
F^{(x)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
f_{0,0}^{(x)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & f_{0,1}^{(x)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & f_{1,0}^{(x)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & f_{1,1}^{(x)}
\end{array}\right), \quad f_{s, s^{\prime}}^{(x)} \equiv e^{s f_{x}\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)}
$$

and similar for $G^{(x)}$.

There exist $3 \times 3$ matrices satisying bulk algebraic conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{s s^{\prime}}^{(j-1)} f_{s^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)} W_{s}^{(j-1)} W_{s^{\prime}}^{(j)} X_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j+1)}=X_{s}^{(j-1)} V_{\chi\left(s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{(j)} V_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j+1)}, \\
& g_{s s^{\prime}}^{(j)} g_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)} X_{s}^{(j-2)} V_{s^{\prime}}^{(j-1)} V_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)}=W_{s}^{(j-2)} W_{\chi\left(s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{(j-1)} X_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)},
\end{aligned}
$$

There exist $3 \times 3$ matrices satisying bulk algebraic conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{s s^{\prime}}^{(j-1)} f_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)} W_{s}^{(j-1)} W_{s^{\prime}}^{(j)} X_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j+1)}=X_{s}^{(j-1)} V_{\chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{(j)} V_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j+1)}, \\
& g_{s s^{\prime}}^{(j-2)} g_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j-1)} X_{s}^{(j-2)} V_{s^{\prime}}^{(j-1)} V_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)}=W_{s}^{(j-2)} W_{\chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{(j-1)} X_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and boundary equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{s s^{\prime}}^{(1)} f_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(2)}\left\langle I_{s}\right| W_{s^{\prime}}^{(2)} X_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(3)} & =\left\langle I_{s \chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{\prime}\right| V_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(3)} \\
\sum_{m, m^{\prime}=0,1} R_{s s^{\prime}}^{m m^{\prime}} f_{m m^{\prime}}^{(N-1)}\left|r_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle & =\lambda_{\mathrm{R}} X_{s}^{(N-1)}\left|r_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
\sum_{m, m^{\prime}=0,1} L_{s s^{\prime}}^{m m^{\prime}} g_{m m^{\prime}}^{(1)}\left\langle I_{m m^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| & =\lambda_{\mathrm{L}}\left\langle I_{s}\right| X_{s^{\prime}}^{(2)} \\
g_{s s^{\prime}}^{(N-2)} g_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(N-1)} X_{s}^{(N-2)} V_{s^{\prime}}^{(N-1)}\left|r_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right\rangle & =W_{s}^{(N-2)}\left|r_{\chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right) s^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inhomogeneous matrix ansatz cancellation mechanism

There exist $3 \times 3$ matrices satisying bulk algebraic conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{s s^{\prime}}^{(j-1)} f_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)} W_{s}^{(j-1)} W_{s^{\prime}}^{(j)} X_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j+1)}=X_{s}^{(j-1)} V_{\chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{(j)} V_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j+1)} \\
& g_{s s^{\prime}}^{(j-2)} g_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j-1)} X_{s}^{(j-2)} V_{s^{\prime}}^{(j-1)} V_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)}=W_{s}^{(j-2)} W_{\chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{(j-1)} X_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(j)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and boundary equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{s s^{\prime}}^{(1)} f_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(2)}\left\langle I_{s}\right| W_{s^{\prime}}^{(2)} X_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(3)} & =\left\langle I_{s \chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{\prime}\right| V_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{(3)} \\
\sum_{m, m^{\prime}=0,1} R_{s s^{\prime}}^{m m^{\prime}} f_{m m^{\prime}}^{(N-1)}\left|r_{m m^{\prime}}\right\rangle & =\lambda_{\mathrm{R}} X_{s}^{(N-1)}\left|r_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
\sum_{m, m^{\prime}=0,1} L_{s s^{\prime}}^{m m^{\prime}} g_{m m^{\prime}}^{(1)}\left\langle I_{m m^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| & =\lambda_{\mathrm{L}}\left\langle I_{s}\right| X_{s^{\prime}}^{(2)} \\
g_{s s^{\prime}}^{(N-2)} g_{s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}}^{(N-1)} X_{s}^{(N-2)} V_{s^{\prime}}^{(N-1)}\left|r_{s^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}\right\rangle & =W_{s}^{(N-2)}\left|r_{\chi\left(s s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right) s^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

such that MPA:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N}} & =\left\langle l_{s_{1}}\right| W_{s_{2}}^{(2)} W_{s_{3}}^{(3)} \cdots W_{s_{N-3}}^{(N-3)} W_{s_{N-2}}^{(N-2)}\left|r_{s_{N-1} s_{N}}\right\rangle \\
p_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N}}^{\prime} & =\left\langle l_{s_{1} s_{2}}^{\prime}\right| V_{s_{3}}^{(3)} V_{s_{4}}^{(4)} \cdots V_{s_{N-2}}^{(N-2)} V_{s_{N-1}}^{(N-1)}\left|r_{s_{N}}^{\prime}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

solves the eigenalue equation

$$
\tilde{U}(s) \mathbf{p}=\Lambda(s) \mathbf{p}
$$

and $\Lambda(s)=e^{\theta(s)}$ is a root of third order polynomial.

K. Klobas, M. Vanicat, J. P. Garrahan, TP, arXiv:1912.09742; K. Klobas, TP, arXiv:2004.01671
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- Generalizations (stochastic/unitary branching)? Link to Yang-Baxter integrability missing?
- Testhed for computing diffusive corrections to generalized hydroduynamics. See e.g.: S. Gopalakrishnan, D. Huse, V. Khemani, R. Vasseur, PRB 98, 220303 (2018)
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