Localization anisotropy and the complex geometry of 2-dimensional insulators

B. Mera

Instituto Superior Técnico, University of Lisbon SQIG – Instituto de Telecomunicações

8th April, 2020



Outline

Insulators and metals

The geometry of threading a flux through the system in the case of band insulators

The quantum metric in twist-angle space, the localization tensor and the complex structure $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

Relation to the low-energy theory near a quantum phase transition and the geometric character of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

Example: A modified massive Dirac model

Conclusions and outlook

Insulators and metals

Insulators versus metals (band theory)



Figure: A band insulator (left) and metal (right). The ground state is obtained by filling all the states below E_F . In the insulator there is an energy gap to excite the system, while on the metal there isn't.

Phenomenology of the insulating state

- Intuitively, the insulating character of a system of electrons has to do with the way the electrons are organized in space.
- If the electrons are free to move in every direction, then by turning on an external electric field, we will generate a longitudinal current. This is the case of a metal.
- On the contrary, if the electrons are localized, applying an electric field will produce no longitudinal current.

Phenomenology of the insulating state (cont.)

- The property of a system of electrons being in an insulating state is related to the conductivity tensor σⁱ_i.
- When we apply a uniform external electric field Eⁱ, we have a current

$$\langle j^i \rangle = \sigma^i_j E^j + \mathcal{O}(||E||^2).$$

- An insulator has a zero direct current (symmetric part of) conductivity at zero temperature, while a metal has a non-zero direct current conductivity.
- The anti-symmetric part of the conductivity describes the transverse conductivity and it is responsible for the anomalous Hall effect.

 The intuition concerning electron localization is right, as the conductivity tensor can be explicitly related to the localization tensor

$$G^{\mu
u} = \langle X^{\mu}X^{
u}
angle - \langle X^{\mu}
angle \langle X^{
u}
angle,$$

where X^{μ} , $\mu = 1, ..., d$, denotes the center of mass position operator and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the ground state expectation value.

The quantity G^{μν} is finite for an insulator and it diverges for metals, in accordance with intuition. The geometry of threading a flux through the system in the case of band insulators

Setup

- ▶ We have a system of fermions on a 2-dimensional lattice with periodic boundary conditions. The period on each direction is N.
- Later, we will take the $N \rightarrow \infty$ thermodynamic limit.



Figure: Position space.



Figure: Topologically, the positions of the fermions take values in a two-torus.

- We can thread fluxes associated with the generators of the fundamental group of the torus, i.e., those loops which are not contractible to a point.
- It means that the fermions get phases when they are adiabatically moved around these loops.
- This does not introduce a magnetic field, it is equivalent to introducing "twisted" boundary condition on the wave-functions.



Figure: Twisted boundary conditions.

In the thermodynamic limit the real space becomes the lattice Z² and the allowed momenta live in the Brillouin zone, which itself is topologically a torus B.Z. = ℝ²/2πZ²:

$$e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K})\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$
, for $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\mathbf{K} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2$.

 With the standard trivial boundary conditions, the allowed momenta for the fermions are

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi}{N}\mathbf{m}$$
, with $\mathbf{m} \in \{0, ..., N-1\}^2$,

which should be understood as taking values in B.Z. In some appropriate sense, we recover B.Z. as $N \to \infty$.

When we thread a flux through the system,

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi}{N}\mathbf{m} + \frac{\phi}{N}$$
, with $\mathbf{m} \in \{0, ..., N-1\}^2$.

• Again, we will recover the Brillouin zone when $N \to \infty$.



Figure: Observe that as we change the fluxes ϕ_1, ϕ_2 from 0 to 2π , we cover the whole Brillouin zone. Moreover, the allowed momenta for ϕ and $\phi + 2\pi(m, n)$ are the same for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Tight binding free fermion models

 Simplest example: 1d chain with no internal degrees of freedom with nearest neighbour hoppings,

$$H = -t \sum_{x} (|x+1\rangle\langle x| + |x\rangle\langle x+1|) - \mu I$$
$$= \sum_{k} (-2t\cos(k) - \mu)|k\rangle\langle k|.$$

In second quantization language this corresponds to

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k} (-2t\cos(k) - \mu)\psi_{k}^{\dagger}\psi_{k},$$

with ψ_k, ψ_k^{\dagger} fermionic annihilation and creation operators.

Tight binding free fermion models (cont.)

 More generally, in the translation invariant, charge preserving setting, in 2 spatial dimensions,

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) \psi_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where now $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} = [\psi_{\mathbf{k},1}^{\dagger}...\psi_{\mathbf{k},n}^{\dagger}]$ is an array of fermion creation operators, accounting for internal degrees of freedom and $H(\mathbf{k})$ is an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix yielding the action of \mathcal{H} in the single particle sector.

▶ If the hoppings in real space decay fast enough, the map $B.Z. \ni \mathbf{k} \mapsto H(\mathbf{k})$ is smooth.

- Assume that we are in a band insulating state, so that there are bands below the Fermi level and bands above.
- The valence band projector

$$P(\mathbf{k}) = \Theta(E_F - H(\mathbf{k}))$$

is smooth and defines a vector bundle, the Bloch bundle $E \rightarrow B.Z.$, over the Brillouin zone.

- ▶ Over each k ∈ B.Z., we take the vector space of eigenvectors with energy below E_F, i.e., E_k = ImP(k).
- Smoothness of *P* guarantees smoothness of *E*.



Figure: The Bloch bundle $E \to B.Z$. defined by the valence band projector $\mathbf{k} \mapsto P(\mathbf{k})$. Notice that the $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ is naturally a subspace of a fixed vector space \mathbb{C}^n since $H(\mathbf{k})$ is an $n \times n$ matrix.

Berryology: microscopic Berry connection

- Since each space E_k ⊂ Cⁿ, we can define a parallel transportation rule.
- Namely, we have a connection/ covariant derivative on E → B.Z., ∇Ψ(k) = P(k)dΨ(k), for single particle wave functions Ψ on E (sections of E).
- This connection is a microscopic Berry connection as it is seen by single particle wave-functions in the valence band in momentum space.

Berryology: microscopic Berry connection (cont.)

 Given a local orthonormal basis for *E* provided by wave functions {Ψ_i}^r_{i=1}, the associated U(r) gauge field, known as the Berry gauge field, is given by

$$A = [A_{ij}] = [\langle \Psi_i | d | \Psi_j \rangle] = A_\mu dk^\mu.$$

The Berry curvature is given by

$$F = dA + A \wedge A = [F_{ij}] = \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}dk^{\mu} \wedge dk^{\nu},$$

with $F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial A_{\nu}}{\partial k^{\mu}} - \frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial k^{\nu}} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}].$

Ground state

- Now it we are considering the finite system with periodic boundary conditions, we are sampling H(k) at points k = (2π/N)m, with m ∈ {0,..., N − 1}².
- ► The ground state is obtained by filling the bands below *E_F*.
- This state is constructed as follows.

Ground state (cont.)

► Forgetting about the periodicity of H(k) in k, we obtain a family of matrices in ℝ². Since ℝ² is contractible, we can find global assignments

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \ni \mathbf{k} \mapsto s_i(\mathbf{k}) = (a_i^1(\mathbf{k}), ..., a_i^n(\mathbf{k})) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ i = 1, ..., r,$$

such that for each \mathbf{k} , they form an orthonormal basis of $E_{\mathbf{k}}$. The s_i 's induce, generally, multivalued wave functions over the Brillouin zone.

 The s_i's give rise to creation operators (Bogoliubov-Valatin transformation)

$$\xi_{i,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i^j(\mathbf{k}) \psi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \ i = 1, ..., r.$$

Ground state (cont.)

The many-body ground state is at size for the finite size and periodic boundary conditions is then

$$|GS\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{m}\in\{0,\dots,N-1\}^2} \prod_{i=1}^r \xi_{i,\mathbf{k}=\frac{2\pi\mathbf{m}}{N}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle.$$

By threading a flux/twist-angle φ, we obtain a family of ground states

$$|GS(\phi)\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{m}\in\{0,\dots,N-1\}^2} \prod_{i=1}^r \xi_{i,\mathbf{k}=rac{2\pi\mathbf{m}}{N}+rac{\phi}{N}}^{\dagger}|0
angle$$

Since the theory returns to itself $(H(\mathbf{k}) \text{ is periodic})$ when $\phi \mapsto \phi + 2\pi\gamma$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we get a family of ground states parametrized by the twist-angle torus T^2 .

Berryology: macroscopic Berry connection

- Now at each flux φ ∈ T² we attach the one-dimensional subspace of the many-body Hilbert space generated by |GS(φ)⟩. We get a line bundle L → T².
- The Berry connection (defined through projection) gives us a parallel transportation rule consistent with the adiabatic theorem of quantum mechanics. The associated gauge field is

$$\mathcal{A}=\langle GS(\phi)|d|GS(\phi)
angle=\mathcal{A}_{\mu}d\phi^{\mu}.$$

We refer to this connection as a macroscopic Berry connection as it is a property of the many-body ground state of the full theory.

Berryology: micro-to-macroscopic

▶ The remarkable consequence of the Slater determinant ground state is the relation between the macroscopic connection on $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow T^2$ and the microscopic connection $E \rightarrow B.Z. \cong T^2$, namely,

$$\mathcal{A}(\phi) = rac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \operatorname{Tr} \Big[A_{\mu} (rac{2\pi \mathbf{m}}{N} + rac{\phi}{N}) \Big] d\phi^{\mu},$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) = rac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \mathrm{Tr} \Big[F_{\mu
u} (rac{2\pi\mathbf{m}}{N} + rac{\phi}{N}) \Big] d\phi^{\mu} \wedge d\phi^{
u}.$$

Observable consequences

- One can show that the transverse Hall conductivity is $\sigma_{xy} = ie^2 \mathcal{F}_{12}(\phi = 0).$
- As we take the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$,

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \operatorname{Tr} \Big[F_{\mu\nu} (\frac{2\pi \mathbf{m}}{N} + \frac{\phi}{N}) \Big] d\phi^{\mu} \wedge d\phi^{\nu}$$
$$\rightarrow \Big[\int_{B.Z.} \operatorname{Tr} \Big(\frac{F}{(2\pi)^2} \Big) \Big] d\phi^1 \wedge d\phi^2 = -\frac{ic_1}{2\pi} d\phi^1 \wedge d\phi^2,$$

where c_1 is the 1st Chern number of E, so that $\sigma_{xy} = e^2 c_1/2\pi$, which is the famous result of Thouless for the integer plateaus in the Hall effect.

So the curvature became constant in the thermodynamic limit, what about other quantities? The quantum metric in twist-angle space, the localization tensor and the complex structure τ

Quantum metric in twist-angle space

► The family of quantum states {|GS(φ)⟩}_{φ∈T²}, provides a notion of infinitesimal distance between fluxes:

$$|\langle {\it GS}(\phi)|{\it GS}(\phi+\delta\phi)
angle|^2pprox 1-{\it G}_{\mu
u}(\phi)\delta\phi^\mu\delta\phi^
u.$$

► The quantity G = G_{µν}(φ)dφ^µdφ^ν is the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric on the projective space ℙH,

$$g_{FS} = |d|\Psi
angle - \langle\Psi|d|\Psi
angle|\Psi
angle|^2.$$

Marzari-Vanderbilt theory

• Most remarkably, denoting $\langle \cdot \rangle = \langle GS(\phi) | \cdot | GS(\phi) \rangle$, we have

$$G_{\mu
u}(\phi) = \langle X^{\mu}X^{
u}
angle - \langle X^{\mu}
angle \langle X^{
u}
angle.$$

► The intuition behind the previous formula is that in the thermodynamic limit X^µ = i∂/∂k_µ, and

$$|GS(\phi + \delta \phi)\rangle = \exp(-i\delta \phi_{\mu}X^{\mu})|GS(\phi)\rangle.$$

$$egin{aligned} &\langle GS(\phi)|GS(\phi+\delta\phi)
angle\ &=\langle GS(\phi)|\exp(-i\delta\phi_{\mu}X^{\mu})|GS(\phi)
angle:=Z(\delta\phi). \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \log Z}{\partial \delta \phi_{\mu} \partial \delta \phi_{\nu}}\Big|_{\delta \phi=0} = \langle X^{\mu} X^{\nu} \rangle - \langle X^{\mu} \rangle \langle X^{\mu} \rangle.$$

- Thus, the quantum metric in twist-angle space is a measure of electron localization!
- For a family of quantum states, it is a known result that if the gap closes while changing some parameter, the quantum metric becomes singular.
- ► This is ultimately tied to the fact that if the family {|Ψ₀(x)⟩}_{x∈M} is the ground state of a family {H(x)}_{x∈M} we have the formula

quantum metric =
$$\sum_{j \neq 0} rac{\langle \Psi_0 | dH | \Psi_j
angle \langle \Psi_j | dH | \Psi_0
angle}{(E_j - E_0)^2},$$

resembling perturbation theory (not a coincidence).

As a consequence, if the system became metallic by tunning some external parameter, the localization tensor blows up. Quantum metric in twist-angle space

The Slater determinant induces a similar form for the quantum metric as it did for the Berry curvature:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu
u}(\phi) = rac{1}{N^2}\sum_{\mathbf{m}}g_{\mu
u}(rac{2\pi\mathbf{m}}{N}+rac{\phi}{N})d\phi^\mu d\phi^
u,$$

where $g = g_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k})dk^{\mu}dk^{\nu} = \text{Tr}(PdPdP)$ is the quantum metric in momentum space.

The thermodynamic limit now provides us with a flat metric:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu
u}(\phi)=\int_{B.Z.}rac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2}g_{\mu
u}(\mathbf{k}),$$

clearly independent of ϕ .

• A flat metric in the two torus is described by the Riemannian volume $V = \int_{T^2} \sqrt{detG} d\phi_1 d\phi_2$ and by a complex parameter τ :

$$\tau = \frac{G_{12}}{G_{11}} + i \frac{\sqrt{\det G}}{G_{11}} \in \mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathsf{Im}(z) > 0\},\$$

so that

$$G = \frac{V}{(2\pi)^2 \text{Im}(\tau)} (d\phi_1^2 + 2\text{Re}(\tau) d\phi_1 d\phi_2 + |\tau|^2 d\phi_2^2).$$

• The latter determines a complex coordinate on the torus $\phi = \phi^1 + \tau \phi^2$, so that

$$G \propto |d\phi|^2 = d\bar{\phi}d\phi.$$



Figure: Illustration of the complex torus of twist-angles/fluxes.

Physical interpretation

- ▶ Notice that since $G^{\mu\nu} = \langle X^{\mu}X^{\nu} \rangle \langle X^{\mu} \rangle \langle X^{\nu} \rangle$, τ is naturally related to the anisotropy of position correlations and the Riemannian volume the strength of these correlations.
- ► For instance, the standard $\tau = i$ corresponding to the lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 , gives $G^{12} = 0$ for so that the directions X^1 and X^2 decouple $\langle X^1 X^2 \rangle = \langle X^1 \rangle \langle X^2 \rangle$.

Gauge ambiguity

 \blacktriangleright We have to identify τ and τ' such that

$$au' = rac{a au+b}{c au+d}, ext{ with } \left[egin{array}{c} a & b \ c & d \end{array}
ight] \in \mathsf{GL}(2;\mathbb{Z})$$

- The reason is that this corresponds to an observer choosing a different basis for the lattice Z² in real space X^µ → A^µ_νX^ν, with A ∈ GL(2; Z). Essentially, it is a gauge choice.
- From the math side, this corresponds to choosing a different element in the isomorphism class of the complex torus.
- Thus, in principle, by changing the insulator, we could move around the space of complex structures of the torus.



Figure: The quotient space $\mathcal{H}/SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ has a fundamental domain given by $D = \{\tau \in \mathcal{H} : |\tau| \ge 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(z) \le 1/2\}$. The points $\tau, \tau' \in D$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) = \pm 1/2$ and $\tau' = \tau \pm 1$ or $|\tau| = 1$ and $\tau' = -1/\tau$ are the same in the quotient space.

Relation to the low-energy theory near a quantum phase transition and the geometric character of τ

- Suppose we are given a single-particle Hamiltonian and that two levels cross generically at a critical momentum k_c by tuning a parameter M of the system to a value M_c.
- By a shift of the variables we can assume $\mathbf{k}_c = 0$ and $M_c = 0$.
- ► The two-level crossing can be described, in a neighborhood of (k, M) = 0, by a 2 × 2 low energy Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(\mathbf{k}, M) \approx (ak_1 + bk_2)\sigma_1 + (ck_1 + dk_2)\sigma_2 + M\sigma_3,$$

with $ad - bc \neq 0$.

In the absence of symmetries this case is completely generic, in the sense that other types of crossings, like quadratic band crossing, can be be adiabatically connected to this one. Define new momenta

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{array}\right] = A \left[\begin{array}{c} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{array}\right], \text{ with } A = \left[\begin{array}{c} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right]$$

rendering this block an isotropic Dirac Hamiltonian,

$$q_1\sigma_1+q_2\sigma_2+M\sigma_3.$$

► The new momenta **q** explicitly violate the dual lattice 2πZ², since

$$\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K} \mapsto \mathbf{q} + A\mathbf{K},$$

and if $\mathbf{K} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2$ we will have $A\mathbf{K} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^2$ iff $A \in GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$.

Quantum metric

► To compute the quantum metric in twist-angle space, $G_{\mu\nu} = \int_{B.Z.} g_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}) d^2 k / (2\pi)^2$, we need to compute the quantum metric in momentum space, $g_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k})$, which near the critical point $\mathbf{k} = 0$, assumes the form in the **q** coordinates:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{q_1^2 + M^2}{(\mathbf{q}^2 + M^2)^2} & -\frac{q_1 q_2}{(\mathbf{q}^2 + M^2)^2} \\ -\frac{q_1 q_2}{(\mathbf{q}^2 + M^2)^2} & \frac{q_2^2 + M^2}{(\mathbf{q}^2 + M^2)^2} \end{bmatrix} + \text{regular}$$

- The first term becomes singular at the critical point.
- One can then see that as M→ 0, the most relevant contribution is given by a small neighbourhood of k = 0, which we take {q : |q| < Λ}.</p>
- The transformation q = Ak is linear and has a constant Jacobian, therefore, we can safely perform the integration in q and then go back to the original coordinates (this actually corresponds to changing the \u03c6 coordinates appropriately).

• The quantum metric $G_{\mu\nu} = \int_{B.Z.} g_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}) d^2 k / (2\pi)^2$ will assume the form

$$\widetilde{G} = [G_{\mu\nu}] = C \ln \left(\frac{M^2 + \Lambda^2}{M^2}\right) \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + c^2 & ab + cd \\ ab + cd & b^2 + d^2 \end{bmatrix} + \text{regular}.$$

▶ Through a conformal transformation we can make the regular part vanish as $M \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\tau = \frac{ab + cd + i|\det A|}{a^2 + c^2} = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1},$$

with $\omega_2 = a + ic$ and $\omega_1 = b + id$

Thus, the columns of A = [a b c d] determine the basis for the lattice determining a finite τ at the gapless point M = 0.
If A ∈ GL(2; Z) then we end up with the lattice Z² and τ is equivalent to τ = i.

We also remark that

$$V \sim \log(rac{M^2+\Lambda^2}{M^2}),$$

so that $V \to \infty$ when the system becomes gapless. Hence, the Riemannian volume is responsible for the singularity in the localization tensor when $M \to 0$.

Quantum metric vs Berry curvature

The behaviour of the momentum space quantum metric associated to the Dirac point:

$$[g_{\mu
u}(\mathbf{q})] \sim \left[egin{array}{c} rac{q_1^2+M^2}{(\mathbf{q}^2+M^2)^2} & -rac{q_1q_2}{(\mathbf{q}^2+M^2)^2} \ -rac{q_1q_2}{(\mathbf{q}^2+M^2)^2} & rac{q_2^2+M^2}{(\mathbf{q}^2+M^2)^2} \end{array}
ight]$$

should be compared to the behaviour of the momentum space Berry curvature:

$$F(\mathbf{q})\sim -rac{i}{2}rac{M}{\left(M^2+\mathbf{q}^2
ight)^{3/2}}dq_1\wedge dq_2.$$

- A transition in which M changes sign, known as a band inversion, passing through M = 0, involves a change in topology of the Bloch bundle E as the change in the Chern number is naturally associated with the sign of M.
- ▶ This signature is present in the local form of *F*, it is odd under $M \rightarrow -M$, and it is natural to expect since $c_1 \propto \int_{B_{-Z_1}} F$.
- Since *F* is odd under $M \rightarrow -M$ and *g* is even, we understand that *G* as well as τ do not distinguish between topological phase transitions $\Delta c_1 = +1$ or $\Delta c_1 = -1$.
- Note however that G captures the gap closing point through the Riemannian volume and τ the information about the anisotropy of the local low-energy theory.
- ► This emphasizes the topological character of the flat *F* versus the geometric character of *G*.

Example: A modified massive Dirac model

Modified massive Dirac model

We consider spinless fermions with a pseudo spin internal degree of freedom such that the tight binding model is

$$H(\mathbf{k}, M) = [\sin(k_1) + a\sin(k_2)]\sigma_1 + b\sin(k_2)\sigma_2$$
$$+ [M - \cos(k_1) - \cos(k_2)]\sigma_3,$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$, b > 0.

► The usual massive Dirac model is recovered for a = 0 and b = 1 and it has a low energy theory which is the familiar 2 + 1 dimensional Dirac Hamiltonian with mass M q₁σ₁ + q₂σ₂ + Mσ₃.

Modified massive Dirac model (cont.)

The model has a topological phase diagram independent of a and b:

$$c_1 = egin{cases} 0, \ |M| > 2 \ +1, \ -2 < M < 0 \ -1, \ 0 < M < 2 \end{cases},$$

where c_1 denotes the 1st Chern number of the bundle $E \rightarrow B.Z$. defined previously and it generates transverse Hall conductivity plateaus. $M_c = -2, 0, +2$ are the points of phase transition.

- ► Using the previous results one can show that \(\tau = a + ib\) for M_c = ±2 and \(\tau = -a + ib\) for M_c = 0.
- Observe the usual isotropic massive Dirac model has $\tau = i$.
- Thus, this model allows to swipe the whole space of complex structures.

Conclusions and outlook

Conclusions

- We have shown how in two spatial dimensions the anisotropy of the localization tensor is related to a complex structure τ over the twist-angle torus.
- τ is a geometric quantity and not topological. It is sensitive to
 adiabatic perturbations.

Conclusions

- τ is finite, even when undergoing a phase transition where the gap closes, and thus going through a metallic state.
- τ is intimately related to the anisotropy of the low-energy Dirac theory near the critical points. Indeed, at the critical points of phase transition, τ is determined by the low energy theory.
- The complex structure τ and the Riemannian volume V are physically sensible gauge-invariant observables which completely characterize the localization tensor.

What about interactions?

- τ can be defined in the presence of interactions, provided some gap condition exists and we have a family {|GS(φ)⟩}_{φ∈T²}.
- ► The family {|GS(φ)⟩}_{φ∈T²} cannot be trivial since if it were trivial, i.e., constant, the metric would be automatically degenerate.
- ▶ In the interacting case *G* will not, generically, be flat.

What about interactions? (cont.)

- The procedure to determine \(\tau\) is to determine a flat metric in the conformal class of G, which involves solving a differential equation for the conformal factor which enforces the Ricci scalar to be zero.
- In the presence of translation invariance, this can also be seen as a measure of how interacting the system is.
- Namely, the failure of describing the localization tensor completely through \(\tau\) and \(V\) measures the fluctuations from a quasi-free-fermion description.

If you want to read through details check my paper: Phys. Rev. B, 101:115128, Mar 2020.

A big thanks to João, Zé, Thomas, Nathan, Nikola, Raffaele and Roger for fruitful discussions!

Thank you, and stay safe!