## Traces and higher structures

#### Christoph Schweigert

Mathematics Department Hamburg University

Based on work with Julian Farnsteiner, Jürgen Fuchs, Gregor Schaumann and Lukas Woike

May 22, 2024

"lisbon", 22.5.2024

Traces and higher shuchers



Traces and higher shuchers









1.3 Rigid monoidal catigonis : left and right duals



1.3 Rigid monoidal catigories : left and right duals



two braces

two braces

1.5 (Trace) spherical : both braces coincide ~ evaluation og sphere

Are traces best considered in 2 d setting?

2. Traces and the Nahayama functor  
(In times adgebre, heading traces w/o tensor product. Barro used.)  
Now, let A be a finite lines catigory  
2.1 Nahayama functor  

$$N_{A}^{r}$$
:  $A \rightarrow A$   
 $N_{A}^{r}$  (-) =  $\int_{acA}^{acA} Hom(-, a) \otimes a$   $\in Pex(A, A)$   
 $A \otimes A^{ap}$   
 $Rex(A, A) \longrightarrow dex(A, A)$   
 $N_{F}^{r}$  id  $\longrightarrow N_{c}^{2}$ 

(

2.1 Nahayama fuctor  

$$N_{A}^{T} : A \rightarrow A$$
  
 $N_{A}^{T} (-) = \int Hom(-, a)^{*} \otimes a \in Pex(A, A)$   
Remaths  
•  $A = A - mod_{g.d.}, A \{J.J. k-algebra
Right exact  $\rightarrow$  Eilenbeg-Walts then implies  
 $N_{A}^{T} (-) = N^{T} (A) \otimes_{A} -$   
•  $N_{A}^{T} (A) = \int Hom_{A} (A, y)^{*} \otimes y = \int y^{*} \otimes y = A^{*}$   
Richt exact  $A = \int Hom_{A} (A, y)^{*} \otimes y = \int y^{*} \otimes y = A^{*}$$ 

cohumt with composition.

$$N_{g}^{e} \circ F \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{eea} \circ N_{A}^{e}$$

cohumt with composition.

2.3 Modified braces on finite categories  
A first calculation: P projective:  
Hom 
$$(p, N^{T}(x)) = \int_{x}^{y \in A} Hom (p, Hom (x, y)^{x} y)$$
  
 $= \int_{x}^{y \in A} Hom (x, y)^{\infty} Hom (p, y) \cong Hom (x, p)^{*}$   
 $\sum_{x}^{y \in A} Hom (x, y)^{\infty} Hom (p, y) \cong Hom (x, p)^{*}$ 

2.3 Modified braces on finite categories  
Hom 
$$(p, N^{\dagger}(x)) \cong Hom (x, p)^{\dagger}$$

Bilmier form (p, q projecture);

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$$
:  $Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(p,q) \otimes Hom(p, N(p)) \cong Hom(q, N(p)) \otimes Hom(q, N(p)) \longrightarrow k$ 

$$Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(p, N^{\dagger}(x)) \cong Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(x, p)^{*}$$

Bilmier form 
$$(p,q) \notin tron(p, N(p)) \cong Hom(q, N(p)) \longrightarrow k$$

$$\frac{D_{i}}{f_{i}} = t_{p} = lton(p, N(p)) \rightarrow k \qquad modified have f_{i} \rightarrow (id, f)$$

$$\frac{D_{i}f_{i}}{f_{i}} = \frac{t_{p}}{t_{p}} = \frac{1}{t_{p}} \frac{1}{v_{p}} \frac{1}{v_{p}}$$

$$\frac{P_{np}[SW]}{1. Cydic} : t_q(q \xrightarrow{9} p \xrightarrow{F} N_q) = t_q(g \cdot g)$$

$$= t_q(p \xrightarrow{7} N_q \xrightarrow{N_q} N_p) = t_p(N_g \cdot f)$$
2. Non-deg.
$$Q: Are braces bot considered in a 1d setting?$$

2.4. Modified braces on finte tersor categories

Thus 
$$\text{TFSS}$$
 (Radford S<sup>4</sup>)  
 $A, B$  finte tursos calegonies,  $M$  an  $A-B$  bimodule  
 $N_{H}^{\ell}(a.m.b) \cong a^{\vee} \cdot N_{H}^{\ell}(m) \cdot {}^{\vee}b$ 

2.4. Modified braces on finte tersor categories

Thus tFSS] (Radford S<sup>4</sup>)  

$$A, B$$
 finde tursos calegonis, M an A-B bimodule  
 $N_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell}(a.m.b) \cong a^{\vee} \cdot N_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell}(m) \cdot {}^{\vee}b$ 

Dyl. [publicd hace]  

$$hr_{x}^{\times}$$
: Itam (p x, N(p x))  $\stackrel{\sim}{=}$  Itam(p x, N(p) x<sup>VV</sup>)  
 $\rightarrow$  Itam(p, N(p))



no peustal shuder on l'needed

2.4. Modified braces on finte tensor cabegories

Thus 
$$tFSS$$
 (Radford S<sup>4</sup>)  
 $A, B$  finde transos calegories, M an A-B bimodule  
 $N_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell}(a.m.b) \cong a^{\vee} \cdot N_{\mathcal{H}}^{\ell}(m) \cdot {}^{\vee}b$ 

Dy. [public brace]  

$$tr_{x}^{\times}$$
: Itom (p x, N(px))  $\stackrel{\sim}{=}$  Hom(px, N(p)x<sup>VV</sup>)  
 $\rightarrow$  (tom(p, N(p))

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

no peustal shuder on l'needed

Prop [SW] 
$$t(g) = t_p(tr_f)$$
 partial trace property

Eiluby: Watts  
Eiluby: Watts  
balaned Vilique product  
Note: 
$$N_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{V}} \in \operatorname{Pex}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B})}^{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \stackrel{i}{=} 2_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$$
  
 $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A} \text{ proobal} \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$   
Drinfild cents is bounded : it is profibelle to cansider  
traces in a 3d setting!

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

State-sum models with defects

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

### State-sum models with defects

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

Closed oriented 3-manifold with skeleton

 $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  spherical fusion categories  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  bimodule categories Edges: balanced Deligne products

X & N & P & 3 & A A

A Q A Q = Z(A)

<□▶ <□▶ < 三▶ < 三▶ < 三 ● のへで

## State-sum models with defects

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

Closed oriented 3-manifold with skeleton

 $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  spherical fusion categories  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  bimodule categories Edges: balanced Deligne products

Z(A)= Eul(A) Ala

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

State-sum models with defects OO

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Chapter 4

Extruded graphs

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆○ ◆○ ◆

# Extruded graphs

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

State-sum models with defects OO

Extruded graphs

#### Extruded graphs

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

Artin, Theory of braids (1947):

Projection [..] which is an excellent tool for intuitive investigations is a very clumsy one for proofs. This has lead me to abandon projections altogether.

State-sum models with defects  $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

### Node labels

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $x \in \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{K} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}}$  $U(x) \in \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N} \boxtimes \mathcal{K}$  $f: m \boxtimes n \boxtimes n \boxtimes k \to U(x)$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへで

State-sum models with defects OO

## Sanity check: silent rays

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$U(\Phi) = \bigoplus_{\mu} \mu \boxtimes \overline{\mu}$$

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}\boxtimes\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(m\boxtimes\overline{m'},\bigoplus\mu\boxtimes\overline{\mu})$ 

 $= \bigoplus_{\mu} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(m,\mu) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mu,m')$ 

$$= \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(m, m')$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 < つ < ○</li>

### Core label

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

- $T^{p}$  preblock space, depends on skeleton
- T block spaces, independent
- $T \subset T^p$
- Node labels combine to element in  $T^p$  $\varphi(\alpha)$
- Core label  $\varphi \in T^*$

Use pivotal structure to exhibit T as a retract,  $T^{p} \rightarrow T$ 

### Core label

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

- $T^{p}$  preblock space, depends on skeleton
- *T* block spaces, independent
- $T \subset T^p$
- Node labels combine to element in T<sup>p</sup>

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖▶ ▲ 圖 - のへで

• Core label  $arphi \in \mathcal{T}^*$ 

Use pivotal structure to exhibit T as a retract,  $T^{p} \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{N}}$ 

(πA)

Prototype:  $U : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ 

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})}(z,z') \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Uz,Uz')$ 

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### Local moves

### The contraction move

## The edge fusion move

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへで

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

Theorem: Performing these changes locally in an extruded graph leaves the evaluation invariant.

### Simplifying extruded graphs using moves

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Simplifying extruded graphs using moves

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

◆□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

# Simplifying extruded graphs using moves

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

◆□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

#### Simplifying extruded graphs using moves

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Simplifying extruded graphs using moves

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

Lift the core label to the coat, leave a silent ray.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三 ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

### Example for an evaluation

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Back to Nakayama functors

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Back to Nakayama functors

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Cyclicity

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

f

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Conclusions

#### Summary

• Traces are not for endomorphisms and secretely higher structure.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

#### Conclusions

#### Summary

- Traces are not for endomorphisms and secretely higher structure.
- There is a natural "mildly three-dimensional" graphical calculus for Turaev Viro theories with defects.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Conclusions

#### Summary

- Traces are not for endomorphisms and secretely higher structure.
- There is a natural "mildly three-dimensional" graphical calculus for Turaev Viro theories with defects.

#### Outlook

• The calculus can be derived in the case of Dijkgraaf-Witten theories from field theory.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

#### Conclusions

#### Summary

- Traces are not for endomorphisms and secretely higher structure.
- There is a natural "mildly three-dimensional" graphical calculus for Turaev Viro theories with defects.

#### Outlook

- The calculus can be derived in the case of Dijkgraaf-Witten theories from field theory.
- There are other interesting higher-dimensional graphical calculi.