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- 1980's: Super Riemann surfaces (SRS) are introduced by string theorist as the worldsheets of propagating superstrings. Many important quantities in superstring theory are defined as integrals over the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ of SRSs, called supermoduli space, e.g., the $g$-superstring scattering amplitude,

$$
\int_{\mathfrak{M}_{g}} \mu_{g},
$$

the $\mu_{g}$ is called the supermeasure.

- 2002: D'Hoker + Phong compute $g=2$ scattering amplitude, writing down $\mu_{2}$ using an analytic formula for the $g=2$ super period matrix. Basic idea: Write down super period matrix, observe it defines a projection $\mathfrak{M}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{2}^{+}$, compose with the forgetting map $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2}$, to get the map

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{S M}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2}\left(=\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)
$$

and then integrate the usual string-measure over $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ followed by an integration over the fibers.

- 2014: Donagi and Witten prove that $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is not projected for $g \geq 5$. Thus the key fact in D'Hoker and Phong's calculation for $g=2$ amplitude, namely that $\mathfrak{M}_{2}$ is projected does not generalize.
- 2013: Witten generalizes D'Hoker and Phong's analytic formula for the super period matrix to higher genus. His formulas show possible poles in the super period matrix, implying poles in $\mu_{g}$, along a certain locus $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$, now called the bad locus (divisor). He conjectures that poles only appear after genus twelve.
- 2020: Proof that super period matrix is regular for $g \leq 11$, verifying Witten's conjecture.
- Today: Supermoduli space is projected away from $\mathcal{B}$ for all genus $g$. Furthermore, at least for $g=2$ and $g=3$ the super period matrix defines a projection from the complement, $U_{g}$, of $\mathcal{B}$ onto is bosonic reduction $U_{g, \text { bos }} \subset \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{g}$, and using the regularity result above, the projection extends to a projection $\mathfrak{M}_{3}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{S M}_{3}^{+}$, and similarly for $g=2$. In particualr, we find that $\mathfrak{M}_{3}^{+}$is projected. This is joint work with Ron Donagi.
- Super vector space: A vector space $V$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading,

$$
V=V_{0} \oplus V_{1}
$$

Example: $\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1}$ : Take $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and a basis $e_{1}, e_{2}$. Then $e_{1}, \Pi e_{2}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1}$. Here $\Pi$ is the parity-reversing functor: If $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ generate $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then $\Pi e_{1}, \ldots, \Pi e_{n}$ generate $\mathbb{C}^{0 \mid n}$

- Superalgebra: A super vector space $V$ with a multiplication, $V \otimes V \rightarrow V$, such that $v \otimes w=(-1)^{|v||w|} w \otimes v$.

Grassmann algebra: Let $V$ be an ordinary $k$-vector space, and let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be a basis, and set $\theta_{i}:=\Pi e_{i}$. Then,

$$
G(V):=\wedge^{\bullet} \Pi V \cong k\left[\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right]
$$

where $\theta_{i} \theta_{j}:=\theta_{i} \wedge \theta_{j}$, and

$$
G(V)_{0}=\wedge^{2 n} \Pi V, \quad G(V)_{1}=\wedge^{2 n+1} \Pi V
$$

- A superringed space is a pair $X=\left(|X|, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, a sheaf of superalgebras $\mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{X, 0} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X, 1}$ on a topological space $|X|$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ is a superalgebra for all open subsets $U \subset|X|$.
- $\mathbb{C}^{m \mid n}$ is the top space $\left|\mathbb{C}^{m}\right|$ with the sheaf

$$
\mathcal{O}: U \subset \mathbb{C}^{m} \mapsto \operatorname{hol}(U) \otimes G_{n}(U)
$$

Here $G_{n}$ is the Grassmann algebra generated by a global frame for the trivial bundle of rank $n$ on $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. The coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{m \mid n}$ are for example $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$.

- Complex supermanifold: A superring space $X=\left(|X|, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ locally isomorphic to the local model, $\mathbb{C}^{m \mid n}$.


## Reductions

Let $J:=\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{X, 1}\right\rangle \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$. Then

- Bosonic truncation: $X_{e v}=\left(|X|, \mathcal{O}_{X, 0}\right)$, and $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$ induces a projection $X \rightarrow X_{e v}$
- Bosonic reduction: $X_{\text {bos }}=\left(|X|, \mathcal{O}_{X} / J\right)$, and the morphism $\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} / J$ induces an embedding $X_{\text {bos }} \subset X$.
- $X$ is said to be projected if the embedding has a section, i.e., a projection $X \rightarrow X_{\text {bos }}$, and split if the projection has linear fibers. Specifically, $X$ is split if it is isomorphic to the normal bundle $N_{X_{\text {bos }} / X}$ to $X_{\text {bos }} \subset X$.

REMARK: The bosonic reduction is an ordinary manifold, and the bosonic truncation is an ordinary scheme. For example, the bosonic reduction of $\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 2}$ is $\mathbb{C}^{1}$, the bosonic truncation is isomorphic to the non-reduced scheme Spec $\mathbb{C}\left[z, \theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right]$.
REMARK: The bosonic reduction and truncation are the same for $m \mid 1$-dimensional supermanifolds.

## Supercurve

A supercurve $X$ is a compact, connected 1|1-dimensional complex supermanifold. Properties:

- $X$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1} \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[z, \theta]$.
- The bosonic reduction (=bosonic truncation) of $X$ is an ordinary curve (Riemann surface), $C:=X_{\text {bos }}$.


## Examples:

- $\mathbb{C}^{1 \mid 1}$ (not compact)
- $\mathbb{P}^{1 \mid 1}$ (genus $g=0$ supercurve).
- $\mathbb{W} \mathbb{P}^{1 \mid 1}(1,1 \mid m)$, weighted superprojective spaces, (genus $g=0$ supercurve)
- A branched cover of a genus $g_{0}$ supercurve is a supercurve with genus $g$ determined by the usual Hurwitz formula, a function of $g_{0}$, and the number of branch and ramification points.


## Calculus

A derivation, or vector field, on a supercurve $X$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear morphism $\delta: \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}$ satisfying the super Leibniz rule,

$$
\delta(f g)=\delta(f) g+(-1)^{|\delta||f|} f \delta(g)
$$

The tangent bundle $T_{X}$ on $X$ is of rank $1 \mid 1$ and thus locally generated by one even and one odd derivation. For example, in local coordinates $(z, \theta)$,
(1) $\partial_{z}, \partial_{\theta}$
(2) $\partial_{z}, \partial_{\theta}+\theta \partial_{z}$

To check the parity is as claimed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{z}(z)=1, \quad \partial_{z}(\theta)=0 \\
& \partial_{\theta}(z)=0, \quad \partial_{\theta}(\theta)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculus

The tangent bundle $T_{X}$ comes has a Lie bracket,

$$
[,]: T_{X} \otimes T_{X} \rightarrow T_{X}
$$

satisfying $[V, W]=V W-(-1)^{|V||W|} W V$. If $[V, W] \neq 0$, then $|V|=|W|=1$. For example,

$$
\left[\partial_{\theta}+\theta \partial_{z}, \partial_{\theta}+\theta \partial_{z}\right]=\partial_{z}
$$

Compare this to the Lie bracket on the tangent bundle on an ordinary curve, which is always equal to zero.

This gives rise to a special structure on a supercurve, not seen in the ordinary case, called a superconformal structure.

## Super Riemann surfaces

A superconformal structure $\mathcal{D}$ on a supercurve $X$ is a rank $0 \mid 1$ sub-bundle $\mathcal{D}$ of $T_{X}$ which is maximally non-integrable in the sense that the supercommutator defines an isomorphism

$$
[,]: \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \cong T_{X} / \mathcal{D}
$$

In local coordinates, $(z, \theta), \mathcal{D}$ is generated by the odd vector field, $\partial_{\theta}+\theta \partial_{z}$, and

$$
\left[\partial_{\theta}+\theta \partial_{z}, \partial_{\theta}+\theta \partial_{z}\right]=\partial_{z}
$$

from which we get the saying that $\mathcal{D}$ is a square-root of the derivative.
A super Riemann surface is a pair $(X, \mathcal{D})$ of a supercurve $X$ and a superconformal structure $\mathcal{D}$ on $X$.

## Super Riemann surface

We can also take the bosonic reduction of the superconformal structure $\mathcal{D}$ by taking quotient with $J=\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{X, 1}\right\rangle$, the result,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\text {bos }}:=\mathcal{D} / J \mathcal{D}
$$

is a $\mathcal{O}_{C}=\mathcal{O}_{X} / \mathrm{J}$-module, or a rank $0 \mid 1$-vector bundle on $C$. In particular, the parity-reversal $L_{\Pi}:=\Pi \mathcal{D}_{\text {bos }}$ is a line bundle on $C$.

It is a standard exercise to show that $L:=L_{\Pi}^{V}$ is a spin structure on $C$, i.e., $L$ is a line bundle with an identification

$$
L^{2}=\Omega_{C}^{1} .
$$

Furthermore, the super Riemann surface $X$ can be recovered from the spin curve, $(C, L)$, as the total space of $\Pi L$. This gives rise to the well-known one-to-one correspondence

$$
\{\text { spin curves }\}=\{\text { super Riemann surfaces }\}
$$

BUT... this correspondence does not hold for families of super Riemann surfaces.

A family of super Riemann surface is a morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ of supercurves, with a superconformal structure $\mathcal{D}$ now a rank $0 \mid 1$ subbundle of the relative tangent bundle $T_{X / S}$.

FACT: If $S$ is purely bosonic, then $X \rightarrow S$ is the total space of a spin structure on a family of spin curves, and we recover the one-to-one correspondence in the previous slide, i.e., for an ordinary scheme $S$,
$\{$ fams of SRSs over $S\}=\{$ fams of spin curves over $S\}$.

FACT: If $S$ has odd coordinates, then $X \rightarrow S$ is, in general, not a family of spin curves. In general,

$$
\{\text { fams of spin curves }\} \subset\{\text { fams of } S R S\}
$$

The geometric object parameterizing families of genus $g$ super Riemann surfaces is called supermoduli space, $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$. We assume that $g \geq 2$, so that we can treat $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ as a supermanifold (it is really a smooth Deligne-Mumford superstack, representing the moduli functor

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{g}: \text { sSch } \rightarrow \text { groupoid, }
$$

. we treat $g=0,1$ separately because it is only an Artin superstack).

The one-to-one correspondence between spin curves and super Riemann surfaces over ordinary schemes identifies $\left(\mathfrak{M}_{g}\right)_{\text {bos }}$ : Sch $\rightarrow$ groupoid with the moduli space of genus $g$ spin curves, $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{g}$,

$$
\left(\mathfrak{M}_{g}\right)_{\text {bos }}=\mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{g} .
$$

and so $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{g} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g}$. This expresses the inclusion in the previous slide.

There is a forgetting map $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$ making $\mathcal{S M}_{g}$ into a finite, étale cover of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$, the ordinary moduli space of genus $g$ curves. With the natural embedding $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{g} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g}$, we get a diagram
$\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is projected if there exists a projection $\mathfrak{M}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{g}$.

## projectedness of supermoduli space

What we know about the projectedness of supermoduli space for $g \geq 2$ :

- $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is not projected for $g \geq 5$. In other words, for genus $g \geq 5$, there is no projection $\mathfrak{M}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{g}$.
- $\mathfrak{M}_{2}^{+}$is projected. In other words, there is a projection $\mathfrak{M}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \mathcal{M}_{2}^{+}$. In fact, this projection comes from the super period matrix which appears in DHP's definition of the supermeasure.
- Theorem (Donagi, O.): $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is projected away from the so-called bad divisor $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g}$ for all genus $g$.
- Theorem (Donagi, O.): $\mathfrak{M}_{3}^{+}$is projected.

To define the bad divisor, need to recall some stuff living on supermoduli space:

- The universal curve $\pi: \mathfrak{X}_{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g}$ on supermoduli space. Recall that every family $X \rightarrow T$ of SRS s is a pullback of $\mathfrak{X}_{g}$ by a unique map $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g}$.
- the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega:=\operatorname{Ber}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{g} / \mathfrak{M}_{g}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{X}_{g}$, this thing is the super version of the canonical bundle-we mostly care about $\pi_{*} \omega$.
- The fiber of $\pi_{*} \omega$ at a point $X$ (a SRS) in $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is isomorphic to $H^{0}(X, \operatorname{Ber}(X))$.
- and there is an identification

$$
H^{0}(X, \operatorname{Ber}(X))=H^{0}\left(C, \Omega_{C}^{1}\right) \oplus \Pi H^{0}(C, L)
$$

where $(C, L)$ is the spin curve determined by $X$. oh no!: $\pi_{*} \omega$ is not a vector bundle on $\mathfrak{M}_{g}: \ldots$

Why is $\pi_{*} \omega$ not a vector bundle?
Grauert theorem (in general): A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $Y$ is locally free if and only if the dimension of the fibers $\gamma(y)=\left.\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{F}\right|_{y}$ is constant on $Y$.

Applying to $\pi_{*} \omega$ : the dimension of the fiber $\left.\pi_{*} \omega\right|_{X}$ at a point $X$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is the dimension of the super vector space $H^{0}\left(C, \Omega_{C}^{1}\right) \oplus \Pi H^{0}(C, L)$.
Generically, $H^{0}(C, L)=0$, so $\pi_{*} \omega$ is not locally free over the locus in $\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ where $h^{0}(L)>0$. This locus is a divisor, called the bad divisor and denoted by $\mathcal{B}$.

We let $U_{g} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g}$ denote the complement of the bad divisor $\mathcal{B}$.

We assume $g \geq 2$.

## Theorem (Donagi, O,)

$\mathfrak{M}_{g}$ is projected away from the so-called bad divisor $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{g}$ for all genus $g$, i.e., $U_{g}$ is projected for all genus $g$.

## Proof sketch.

- Main point: every smooth and affine superscheme is projected (and also split). So we will show that $U_{g}$ is smooth and affine. The only non-trivial part is affineness.
- From AG: The complement of a very ample (positive) divisor is affine. So we will show that $\mathcal{B}$ is very ample. Suffices to show that the bosonic reduction $\mathcal{B}_{\text {bos }}$ in $\mathcal{S M}_{g}$ is very ample.
- Classical geometry: $\mathcal{B}_{\text {bos }}$ is the theta-null divisor in $\mathcal{S M}_{g}$, and this is known to be very ample (still looking for a reference...)

The measure for the ordinary scattering amplitude is defined in two steps:

- Mumford isomorphism: $\mu_{g}: \operatorname{det}\left(\pi_{*} \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{g} / \mathcal{M}_{g}}\right)^{13} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{g}}$. Set $\Omega:=\pi_{*} \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{g} / \mathcal{M}_{g}}$.
- We make $\mu_{g} \otimes \bar{\mu}_{g}$ into a volume form on $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ by trivializing $\Omega$ with the Hermitian metric $\frac{i}{2} \int_{C} w \wedge \bar{v}$ and then taking determinant and products.
- Side remark: This metric can be expressed as the imaginary part of the period matrix.
Supermeasure: We have a super Mumford isomorphism

$$
\mu_{g}: \operatorname{Ber}\left(\pi_{*} \omega\right)^{5} \cong \operatorname{Ber}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{g}\right)
$$

where recall $\pi_{*} \omega=\pi_{*} \operatorname{Ber}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{g} / \mathfrak{M}_{g}\right)$.
Problem: (1) $\pi_{*} \omega$ is not locally free, and (2) there is no analog of $\int_{C} w \wedge \bar{v}$
Resolution: Work away from the bad divisor, so on $U_{g}$ where $\pi_{*} \omega$ is locally free, and then go directly to expressing the hermitian metric in terms of the super period matrix.

## Super period map and projectedness

Next, we will investigate a connection between the super period map and the projectedness. We will do the following:

- Define periods on super Riemann surfaces, called super period, and define the super period map for a single super Riemann surface, and then extend the definition to families of super Riemann surfaces, and in particular for the universal family $\pi: \mathfrak{X}_{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g}$.
- Define the super period map (better would be super Torelli map), from first principles, and find that its domain of definition is $U_{g}$.
Our main result is:


## Theorem

In genus $g=2$ and $g=3$, the super period map defines a projection $U_{g} \rightarrow U_{g, \text { bos }}$.

## Ordinary Periods

Let $C$ be a curve (Riemann surface).
For any global section $\omega$ of $H^{0}\left(C, \Omega_{C}^{1}\right)$, integration along loops determines a map $\pi_{1}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is abelian, this map determines an element in $H^{1}(C, \mathbb{C})$, and we get a map

$$
H^{0}\left(C, \Omega_{C}^{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(C, \mathbb{C})
$$

called the period map for $C$.

From the derived exponential sequence and Serre duality the period map can be defined for families of curves, and, in particular, for the universal family $\pi: \mathcal{C}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$ on moduli space,

$$
\pi_{*} \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_{g} / \mathcal{M}_{g}}^{1} \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{g}}
$$

The fiber of this map at a point $C$ in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is the period map for $C$.

## Super periods

Let $X$ be a super Riemann surface. A global section $\omega$ of $\operatorname{Ber}(X)$ can be integrated over any smooth submanifold of $X$ of real codimension $1 \mid 0$, called integration cycles. Every loop $\alpha$ on the ordinary curve $C$ underlying $X$ can be thickened into an integration cycle $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $X$, in a way that is unique up to homology.

By integrating along thickenings of loops, any global section $\omega$ of $\operatorname{Ber}(X)$ gives rise to a map $\pi_{1}(X)=\pi_{1}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This map determines an element in $H^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})=H^{1}(C, \mathbb{C})$ since $\mathbb{C}$ is abelian, and so we get a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(X): H^{0}(X, \operatorname{Ber}(X)) \rightarrow H^{1}(X, \mathbb{C}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the super period map for $X$.

The super period map for a super Riemann surface $X$ in $U_{g}$ is equal to the period map for $C=X_{\text {bos }}$.

## Super periods cont.

We can define the super period map for a family of super Riemann surface, and, in particular, for the universal curve $\pi: \mathfrak{X}_{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g}$ using the derived exponential sequence and super Serre duality,

$$
\pi_{*} \omega \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g}}
$$

where $\omega:=\operatorname{Ber}\left(\mathfrak{X}_{g} / \mathfrak{M}_{g}\right)$.
But this is not a morphism of vector bundles because $\pi_{*} \omega$ is not locally free, so we restrict to $U_{g}$, and let

$$
\pi: X_{g}=\mathfrak{X}_{g} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g}} U_{g} \rightarrow U_{g}
$$

so that we get a morphism of vector bundles,

$$
\left.\pi_{*} \omega\right|_{U_{g}} \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U_{g}}
$$

and $\omega:=\omega$

Ordinary torelli map: a morphism $\mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g}$ sending a curve $C$ to its Jaocbian $J(C)$, which is a ppav of dimension equal to the genus of $C$.

1 Recall period map for the universal curve over ordinary moduli space,

$$
\pi_{*} \omega \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{g}}
$$

2 Locally, on $\mathcal{M}_{g}$, choose symplectic $\phi: R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2 g}$, and get a map

$$
\pi_{*} \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2 g}
$$

that determines a map $\mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{Grass}(g, 2 g)$ depending on $\phi$.
3 We go to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$ parameterzing $\phi \mathrm{s}$, and there get a map

$$
\pi_{*} \tilde{\omega} \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g}}
$$

that dermines a morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{Grass}(g, 2 g)$.
4 the Riemann bilinear relations then tell us that this map factors through $\mathcal{H}_{g}$. Furthermore, the action of mapping class group on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ factors through the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 g, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{H}_{g}$ to get the period map, $\mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{g} / \operatorname{Sp}(2 g, \mathbb{Z})=\mathcal{A}_{g}$.

Properties of the ordinary torelli map $\mathcal{M g}_{g} \rightarrow a g$ :

- is an isomorphism for $g=2$ and $g=3$,
- for $g \geq 4$, it is an embedding away from the hyperelliptic locus in $\mathcal{M g}_{\mathrm{g}}$.
The Schottky locus $J_{g}$ is the image of the period map. It has the following properties,
- $J_{g}=\mathcal{A}_{g}$ for $g=2,3$.
- In $g=4, J_{g}$ is a divisor in $\mathcal{A}_{g}$, cut out by one equation, a modular form of weight 8.
- Open problem: Find all equations for the Schottky locus in higher genus.

Unlike the ordinary period map, the super torelli map is only a rational morphism

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{g}---->\mathcal{A}_{g}
$$

with the following properties:

- Its domain of definition is $U_{g}$, the complement of the bad divisor, i.e.,

$$
U_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g} .
$$

The super Schottky locus $\mathfrak{J}_{g}$ is the image of the super period map:

- $\mathfrak{J}_{g} \supset J_{g}$ is a nilpotent thickening of the usual Schottky locus.
- $\mathfrak{J}_{g}=J_{g}\left(=\mathcal{M}_{g}=\mathcal{A}_{g}\right)$ if $g=2,3$.
- Theorem(FKP, 2021): If $g \leq 11$, then the super period map extends to a regular morphism $\mathfrak{M}_{g}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g}$, i.e., the extension over $\mathcal{B}^{+}$is regular

Super torelli map:
1 Recall super period map for $\pi: X_{g} \rightarrow U_{g}$,

$$
\pi_{*} \omega \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{u_{g}}
$$

2 Locally, on $U_{g}$, choose symplectic $\phi: R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2 g}$, and get a map

$$
\pi_{*} \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{g}}^{\oplus 2 g}
$$

that determines a map $\mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{Grass}(g, 2 g)$ depending on $\phi$.
3 We go to $\tilde{U}_{g} \rightarrow U_{g}$ parameterzing $\phi$ s, and there get a map

$$
\pi_{*} \tilde{\omega} \rightarrow R^{1} \pi_{*} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_{g}}
$$

that dermines a morphism $\tilde{U}_{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{Grass}(g, 2 g)$.
4 Sicne the super period map restricts on each $X$ in $U_{g}$ to the ordinary period map, we can again use the Riemann bilinear relations to conclude that this map factors through $\mathcal{H}_{g}$. The mapping class group is the same and still factors to get the map,

$$
U_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{g} / \operatorname{Sp}(2 g, \mathbb{Z})=\mathcal{A}_{g}
$$

## Theorem (Donagi, O.)

In genus $g=2$ and $g=3$, the super period map is a projection $U_{g} \rightarrow U_{g, \text { bos }}$

## Proof.

The ordinary period map is an isomorphism in genus $g=2$ and $g=3$, and we can use it to identify $\mathcal{A}_{g}=J_{g}=\mathcal{M}_{g}$, and the torelli map with $U_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$, which we can lift to map $U_{g} \rightarrow U_{g \text {,bos }}$ using the fact that the forgetting map is étale.

## Corollary (Donagi, O.)

In genus $g=2$ and $g=3$, the projection $U_{g} \rightarrow U_{g, \text { bos }}$ extends to a projection $\mathfrak{M}_{g}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{S M}_{g}^{+}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{M}_{3}^{+}$is projected.

