

Strong gap theorems via Yang-Mills flow

Alex Waldron

University of Wisconsin, Madison

Geometria em Lisboa

June 6th, 2023

Based on joint work in progress with Anuk Dayaprema (UW-Madison)

M^n , compact oriented manifold

M^n , compact oriented manifold

G , compact Lie group

M^n , compact oriented manifold

G , compact Lie group

$P \rightarrow M$, principal G -bundle (vector bundle)

M^n , compact oriented manifold

G , compact Lie group

$P \rightarrow M$, principal G -bundle (vector bundle)

A , connection on P

M^n , compact oriented manifold

G , compact Lie group

$P \rightarrow M$, principal G -bundle (vector bundle)

A , connection on P

$F_A \stackrel{loc}{=} dA + A \wedge A$, curvature.

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_P$, space of all connections on P , affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_P$, space of all connections on P , affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$

$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_P = \Gamma(P \times_{\text{Ad}} G)$, group of gauge transformations (global automorphisms) of P

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_P$, space of all connections on P , affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$

$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_P = \Gamma(P \times_{\text{Ad}} G)$, group of gauge transformations (global automorphisms) of P

$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, Banach manifold away from fixed points (reducible connections).

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_P$, space of all connections on P , affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$

$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_P = \Gamma(P \times_{\text{Ad}} G)$, group of gauge transformations (global automorphisms) of P

$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, Banach manifold away from fixed points (reducible connections).

Fix a metric g and define the *Yang-Mills functional*

$$\mathcal{YM}(A) = \frac{1}{2} \int |F_A|_g^2 dV_g : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

Yang-Mills connections

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_P$, space of all connections on P , affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$

$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_P = \Gamma(P \times_{\text{Ad}} G)$, group of gauge transformations (global automorphisms) of P

$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, Banach manifold away from fixed points (reducible connections).

Fix a metric g and define the *Yang-Mills functional*

$$\mathcal{YM}(A) = \frac{1}{2} \int |F_A|_g^2 dV_g : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

Definition

A is a *Yang-Mills connection* if

$$D_A^* F_A = 0.$$

Yang-Mills connections

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_P$, space of all connections on P , affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$

$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_P = \Gamma(P \times_{\text{Ad}} G)$, group of gauge transformations (global automorphisms) of P

$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, Banach manifold away from fixed points (reducible connections).

Fix a metric g and define the *Yang-Mills functional*

$$\mathcal{YM}(A) = \frac{1}{2} \int |F_A|_g^2 dV_g : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

Definition

A is a *Yang-Mills connection* if

$$D_A^* F_A = 0.$$

2nd-order PDE in A , elliptic modulo gauge.

Instantons ($n = 4$)

Suppose $M = M^4$.

Instantons ($n = 4$)

Suppose $M = M^4$.

$$* : \Lambda_{\pm}^2 \xrightarrow{\pm 1} \Lambda_{\pm}^2$$

Instantons ($n = 4$)

Suppose $M = M^4$.

$$* : \Lambda_{\pm}^2 \xrightarrow{\pm 1} \Lambda_{\pm}^2$$

$$\Lambda_M^2 := \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2.$$

Instantons ($n = 4$)

Suppose $M = M^4$.

$$* : \Lambda_{\pm}^2 \xrightarrow{\pm 1} \Lambda_{\pm}^2$$

$$\Lambda_M^2 := \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2.$$

Definition ($n = 4$)

A is an *instanton* if $F_A^+ = 0$ (or $F_A^- = 0$).

Instantons ($n = 4$)

Suppose $M = M^4$.

$$* : \Lambda_{\pm}^2 \xrightarrow{\pm 1} \Lambda_{\pm}^2$$

$$\Lambda_M^2 := \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2.$$

Definition ($n = 4$)

A is an *instanton* if $F_A^+ = 0$ (or $F_A^- = 0$).

1st-order PDE in A , elliptic modulo gauge.

Instantons are minimizers

Write $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(M)}$. We have

$$2\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{M}(A) = \|F_A\|^2 = \|F_A^+\|^2 + \|F_A^-\|^2.$$

Instantons are minimizers

Write $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(M)}$. We have

$$2\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{M}(A) = \|F_A\|^2 = \|F_A^+\|^2 + \|F_A^-\|^2.$$

Also, by Chern-Weil, we have

$$8\pi^2\kappa(P) = \int \text{Tr } F_A \wedge F_A = - \int \langle F_A, *F_A \rangle dV = \|F_A^-\|^2 - \|F_A^+\|^2.$$

Instantons are minimizers

Write $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(M)}$. We have

$$2\mathcal{YM}(A) = \|F_A\|^2 = \|F_A^+\|^2 + \|F_A^-\|^2.$$

Also, by Chern-Weil, we have

$$8\pi^2\kappa(P) = \int \text{Tr } F_A \wedge F_A = - \int \langle F_A, *F_A \rangle dV = \|F_A^-\|^2 - \|F_A^+\|^2.$$

Subtracting, we obtain

$$\mathcal{YM}(A) = 4\pi^2\kappa(P) + \|F_A^+\|^2$$

Instantons are minimizers

Write $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(M)}$. We have

$$2\mathcal{YM}(A) = \|F_A\|^2 = \|F_A^+\|^2 + \|F_A^-\|^2.$$

Also, by Chern-Weil, we have

$$8\pi^2\kappa(P) = \int \text{Tr } F_A \wedge F_A = - \int \langle F_A, *F_A \rangle dV = \|F_A^-\|^2 - \|F_A^+\|^2.$$

Subtracting, we obtain

$$\mathcal{YM}(A) = 4\pi^2\kappa(P) + \|F_A^+\|^2$$

\Rightarrow instantons minimize \mathcal{YM} , in particular are Yang-Mills.

Instantons are minimizers

Write $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(M)}$. We have

$$2\mathcal{YM}(A) = \|F_A\|^2 = \|F_A^+\|^2 + \|F_A^-\|^2.$$

Also, by Chern-Weil, we have

$$8\pi^2\kappa(P) = \int \text{Tr } F_A \wedge F_A = - \int \langle F_A, *F_A \rangle dV = \|F_A^-\|^2 - \|F_A^+\|^2.$$

Subtracting, we obtain

$$\mathcal{YM}(A) = 4\pi^2\kappa(P) + \|F_A^+\|^2$$

\Rightarrow instantons minimize \mathcal{YM} , in particular are Yang-Mills.

Remarks.

- $\mathcal{M} = \{[A] \in \mathcal{B} \mid F_A^+ = 0\}$ is typically noncompact

Instantons are minimizers

Write $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(M)}$. We have

$$2\mathcal{YM}(A) = \|F_A\|^2 = \|F_A^+\|^2 + \|F_A^-\|^2.$$

Also, by Chern-Weil, we have

$$8\pi^2\kappa(P) = \int \text{Tr } F_A \wedge F_A = - \int \langle F_A, *F_A \rangle dV = \|F_A^-\|^2 - \|F_A^+\|^2.$$

Subtracting, we obtain

$$\mathcal{YM}(A) = 4\pi^2\kappa(P) + \|F_A^+\|^2$$

\Rightarrow instantons minimize \mathcal{YM} , in particular are Yang-Mills.

Remarks.

- $\mathcal{M} = \{[A] \in \mathcal{B} \mid F_A^+ = 0\}$ is typically noncompact
- Can have $\mathcal{M} = \emptyset$!

Instantons ($n \geq 4$)

Suppose that (M, g) carries an $(n - 4)$ -calibration Φ (Harvey-Lawson '82).

Instantons ($n \geq 4$)

Suppose that (M, g) carries an $(n - 4)$ -calibration Φ (Harvey-Lawson '82).

Definition (Corrigan, Devchand, Fairlie, and Nuyts '83, Tian '02)

A is a (λ) -instanton if

$$F_A + \lambda * (\Phi \wedge F_A) = 0$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Instantons ($n \geq 4$)

Suppose that (M, g) carries an $(n - 4)$ -calibration Φ (Harvey-Lawson '82).

Definition (Corrigan, Devchand, Fairlie, and Nuyts '83, Tian '02)

A is a (λ) -instanton if

$$F_A + \lambda * (\Phi \wedge F_A) = 0$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

In special-holonomy cases, instantons with $\lambda = 1$ minimize \mathcal{YM} .

Instantons ($n \geq 4$)

Suppose that (M, g) carries an $(n - 4)$ -calibration Φ (Harvey-Lawson '82).

Definition (Corrigan, Devchand, Fairlie, and Nuyts '83, Tian '02)

A is a (λ) -instanton if

$$F_A + \lambda * (\Phi \wedge F_A) = 0$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

In special-holonomy cases, instantons with $\lambda = 1$ minimize \mathcal{YM} .

Reyes-Carrión '98:

$$\Lambda^2 = \underbrace{\text{LieHol}(g)}_{\text{Instanton}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \cdots .$$

"Compatible"

Example 1 (4-manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$$

Example 1 (4-manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$$

$$M = M^4, \text{Hol}(g) \subset \text{SO}(4), \Phi = 1$$

Example 1 (4-manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$$

$$M = M^4, \text{Hol}(g) \subset \text{SO}(4), \Phi = 1$$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_-^2}_{\text{ASD inst.}} \oplus \Lambda_+^2.$$

Instantons ($n \geq 4$), cont.

Example 1 (4-manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$$

$$M = M^4, \text{Hol}(g) \subset \text{SO}(4), \Phi = 1$$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_-^2}_{\text{ASD inst.}} \oplus \Lambda_+^2.$$

Example 2 (G_2 -manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^7 \cong \mathfrak{so}(7) \cong \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$$

Instantons ($n \geq 4$), cont.

Example 1 (4-manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$$

$$M = M^4, \text{Hol}(g) \subset \text{SO}(4), \Phi = 1$$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_-^2}_{\text{ASD inst.}} \oplus \Lambda_+^2.$$

Example 2 (G_2 -manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^7 \cong \mathfrak{so}(7) \cong \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$$

$$M = M^7, \text{Hol}(g) \subset G_2, \Phi = \phi, \text{defining 3-form.}$$

Example 1 (4-manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$$

$$M = M^4, \text{Hol}(g) \subset \text{SO}(4), \Phi = 1$$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_-^2}_{\text{ASD inst.}} \oplus \Lambda_+^2.$$

Example 2 (G_2 -manifolds)

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^7 \cong \mathfrak{so}(7) \cong \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$$

$$M = M^7, \text{Hol}(g) \subset G_2, \Phi = \phi, \text{defining 3-form.}$$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_{14}^2}_{G_2\text{-inst.}} \oplus \Lambda_7^2.$$

Example 3 (Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)}^{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \oplus \dots$$

Example 3 (Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)}^{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \oplus \cdots$$
$$M = M^{2n}, \text{ Hol}(g) \subset U(n), \Phi = \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}$$

Example 3 (Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)}^{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \oplus \dots$$

$$M = M^{2n}, \text{ Hol}(g) \subset U(n), \quad \Phi = \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}$$

Compat. w. $\bar{\partial}_A$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_0^{1,1} \oplus \langle \omega \rangle}_{\text{HYM}} \oplus \left(\Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} \right)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Example 3 (Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)}^{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \oplus \cdots$$

$$M = M^{2n}, \text{ Hol}(g) \subset U(n), \quad \Phi = \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}$$

Compat. w. $\bar{\partial}_A$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_0^{1,1} \oplus \langle \omega \rangle}_{\text{HYM}} \oplus \left(\Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} \right)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Example 4 (Quaternion-Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(4n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)}^{\text{Lie}(\text{Sp}(n)\text{Sp}(1))} \oplus \cdots$$

Example 3 (Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)}^{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \oplus \cdots$$

$$M = M^{2n}, \text{Hol}(g) \subset U(n), \Phi = \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}$$

Compat. w. $\bar{\partial}_A$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_0^{1,1} \oplus \langle \omega \rangle}_{\text{HYM}} \oplus \left(\Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} \right)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Example 4 (Quaternion-Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(4n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)}^{\text{Lie}(\text{Sp}(n)\text{Sp}(1))} \oplus \cdots$$

$$M = M^{4n}, \text{Hol}(g) \subset \text{Sp}(n)\text{Sp}(1), \Phi \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} * \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i \wedge \omega_i$$

Example 3 (Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(2n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)}^{\mathfrak{u}(n)} \oplus \dots$$

$$M = M^{2n}, \text{Hol}(g) \subset U(n), \Phi = \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}$$

Compat. w. $\bar{\partial}_A$

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_0^{1,1} \oplus \langle \omega \rangle}_{\text{HYM}} \oplus \left(\Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} \right)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Example 4 (Quaternion-Kähler manifolds)

$$\mathfrak{so}(4n) \cong \overbrace{\mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)}^{\text{Lie}(\text{Sp}(n)\text{Sp}(1))} \oplus \dots$$

$$M = M^{4n}, \text{Hol}(g) \subset \text{Sp}(n)\text{Sp}(1), \Phi \stackrel{\text{loc}}{=} * \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i \wedge \omega_i$$

Pseudohol.

$$\Lambda_M^2 = \underbrace{\Lambda_-^2 \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_{\perp}^2}_{\text{QK inst.}}$$

Instanton \Rightarrow Yang-Mills

Instanton \Rightarrow Yang-Mills

$\stackrel{?}{\Leftarrow}$

Instanton \Rightarrow Yang-Mills

$\stackrel{?}{\Leftarrow}$

False in general...

Instanton \Rightarrow Yang-Mills

$\stackrel{?}{\Leftarrow}$

False in general...

Sibner, Sibner, and Uhlenbeck (1989) constructed Yang-Mills connections on the trivial $SU(2)$ -bundle on S^4 (\mathbb{R}^4) with arbitrarily large energy.

Bourguignon, Lawson, and Simons ('79) proved two types of converse results:

Bourguignon, Lawson, and Simons ('79) proved two types of converse results:

Stability theorems: YM connection with nonnegative second variation must be an instanton.

Bourguignon, Lawson, and Simons ('79) proved two types of converse results:

Stability theorems: YM connection with nonnegative second variation must be an instanton.

E.g. $M = S^n$, $G = \text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SU}(3)$.

Bourguignon, Lawson, and Simons ('79) proved two types of converse results:

Stability theorems: YM connection with nonnegative second variation must be an instanton.

E.g. $M = S^n$, $G = \text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SU}(3)$.

Gap theorems: (compatible) YM connection with appropriately small F^+ must be an instanton.

Bourguignon, Lawson, and Simons ('79) proved two types of converse results:

Stability theorems: YM connection with nonnegative second variation must be an instanton.

E.g. $M = S^n$, $G = \text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SU}(3)$.

Gap theorems: (compatible) YM connection with appropriately small F^+ must be an instanton.

Energy gap: YM connection with small $\|F^+\|_{L^2}$ (i.e. close to minimum energy) must be an instanton.

Bourguignon, Lawson, and Simons ('79) proved two types of converse results:

Stability theorems: YM connection with nonnegative second variation must be an instanton.

E.g. $M = S^n$, $G = \text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SU}(3)$.

Gap theorems: (compatible) YM connection with appropriately small F^+ must be an instanton.

Energy gap: YM connection with small $\|F^+\|_{L^2}$ (i.e. close to minimum energy) must be an instanton.

Disclaimer. No (known) relation to millenium prize problem.

Theorem (Bourguignon-Lawson-Simons '79)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^\infty} < \sqrt{3}$; then $F_A^+ \equiv 0$.

Theorem (Bourguignon-Lawson-Simons '79)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^\infty} < \sqrt{3}$; then $F_A^+ \equiv 0$.

Theorem (Min-Oo '82)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^2}^2 < \delta_0$, a positive universal constant; then $F_A^+ = 0$.

Energy gap on S^4

Theorem (Bourguignon-Lawson-Simons '79)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^\infty} < \sqrt{3}$; then $F_A^+ \equiv 0$.

Theorem (Min-Oo '82)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^2}^2 < \delta_0$, a positive universal constant; then $F_A^+ = 0$.

Theorem (Gursky-Kelleher-Streets '17)

For structure group $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, we can take $\delta_0 = 8\pi^2$.

Energy gap on S^4

Theorem (Bourguignon-Lawson-Simons '79)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^\infty} < \sqrt{3}$; then $F_A^+ \equiv 0$.

Theorem (Min-Oo '82)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^2}^2 < \delta_0$, a positive universal constant; then $F_A^+ = 0$.

Theorem (Gursky-Kelleher-Streets '17)

For structure group $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, we can take $\delta_0 = 8\pi^2$.

Note: $4\pi^2(\kappa + 2) =$ lowest energy at which a self-dual instanton could bubble off.

Energy gap on S^4

Theorem (Bourguignon-Lawson-Simons '79)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^\infty} < \sqrt{3}$; then $F_A^+ \equiv 0$.

Theorem (Min-Oo '82)

Suppose that A is Yang-Mills on $P \rightarrow S^4$ and $\|F_A^+\|_{L^2}^2 < \delta_0$, a positive universal constant; then $F_A^+ = 0$.

Theorem (Gursky-Kelleher-Streets '17)

For structure group $G = \text{SU}(2)$, we can take $\delta_0 = 8\pi^2$.

Note: $4\pi^2(\kappa + 2) =$ lowest energy at which a self-dual instanton could bubble off.

Question. What is the *optimal* gap value on (the trivial bundle on) S^4 ? I.e., what is the lowest nonzero energy of a Yang-Mills connection?

Energy gap on general compact 4-manifolds?

Parker '82, Gerhard '10 generalized to 4-manifolds with appropriate positivity condition.

Energy gap on general compact 4-manifolds?

Parker '82, Gerhard '10 generalized to 4-manifolds with appropriate positivity condition.

T. Huang '17, Kähler surfaces with positive scalar curvature (no compatibility condition).

Energy gap on general compact 4-manifolds?

Parker '82, Gerhard '10 generalized to 4-manifolds with appropriate positivity condition.

T. Huang '17, Kähler surfaces with positive scalar curvature (no compatibility condition).

Questions.

- Does every $P \rightarrow (M^4, g)$ have an energy gap?

Energy gap on general compact 4-manifolds?

Parker '82, Gerhard '10 generalized to 4-manifolds with appropriate positivity condition.

T. Huang '17, Kähler surfaces with positive scalar curvature (no compatibility condition).

Questions.

- Does every $P \rightarrow (M^4, g)$ have an energy gap?
- More generally: is the set of critical values of \mathcal{YM} discrete?

Energy gap on general compact 4-manifolds?

Parker '82, Gerhard '10 generalized to 4-manifolds with appropriate positivity condition.

T. Huang '17, Kähler surfaces with positive scalar curvature (no compatibility condition).

Questions.

- Does every $P \rightarrow (M^4, g)$ have an energy gap?
- More generally: is the set of critical values of \mathcal{YM} discrete?
- Does every $P \rightarrow (M^4, g)$ carry at least one (non-minimal) Yang-Mills connection?

Theorem (T. Huang '15 (withdrawn), Feehan '17 (corrigendum), '19)

Suppose that A is a smooth Yang-Mills connection on $P \rightarrow M^n$, compact, with $\mathcal{YM}(A) < \varepsilon_0$. Then A is flat.

Theorem (T. Huang '15 (withdrawn), Feehan '17 (corrigendum), '19)

Suppose that A is a smooth Yang-Mills connection on $P \rightarrow M^n$, compact, with $\mathcal{YM}(A) < \varepsilon_0$. Then A is flat.

Desirable to have gap theorems which apply on bundles $P \rightarrow M^n$, $n \geq 4$, with

$$\inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{YM}(A) > 0.$$

Theorem (T. Huang '15 (withdrawn), Feehan '17 (corrigendum), '19)

Suppose that A is a smooth Yang-Mills connection on $P \rightarrow M^n$, compact, with $\mathcal{YM}(A) < \varepsilon_0$. Then A is flat.

Desirable to have gap theorems which apply on bundles $P \rightarrow M^n$, $n \geq 4$, with

$$\inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{YM}(A) > 0.$$

No firm results in the G_2 or $\text{Spin}(7)$ cases. However:

Gap in higher dimensions, cont.

Theorem (T. Huang '15 (withdrawn), Feehan '17 (corrigendum), '19)

Suppose that A is a smooth Yang-Mills connection on $P \rightarrow M^n$, compact, with $\mathcal{YM}(A) < \varepsilon_0$. Then A is flat.

Desirable to have gap theorems which apply on bundles $P \rightarrow M^n$, $n \geq 4$, with

$$\inf_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{YM}(A) > 0.$$

No firm results in the G_2 or $\text{Spin}(7)$ cases. However:

Theorem (T. Taniguchi '98)

Given a quaternion-Kähler manifold M with positive scalar curvature, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ as follows. Suppose that A is a pseudo-holomorphic, Yang-Mills connection with $\|F_A^{\text{sp}(1)}\|_{L^{n/2}} < \delta_0$; then A is an instanton.

Strong gap theorems

Drawback of gap theorems: A must be Yang-Mills *a priori*.

Drawback of gap theorems: A must be Yang-Mills *a priori*.

Natural to approach the gap question from the perspective of Yang-Mills *flow*:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -D_A^* F_A. \quad (*)$$

Strong gap theorems

Drawback of gap theorems: A must be Yang-Mills *a priori*.

Natural to approach the gap question from the perspective of Yang-Mills *flow*:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -D_A^* F_A. \quad (*)$$

A **strong gap theorem** states that (*) gives a deformation retraction from the set of all (compatible) connections in \mathcal{B} with appropriately small F^+ onto \mathcal{M} .

Drawback of gap theorems: A must be Yang-Mills *a priori*.

Natural to approach the gap question from the perspective of Yang-Mills *flow*:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -D_A^* F_A. \quad (*)$$

A **strong gap theorem** states that $(*)$ gives a deformation retraction from the set of all (compatible) connections in \mathcal{B} with appropriately small F^+ onto \mathcal{M} .

We can improve most of the above to strong gap theorems.

Drawback of gap theorems: A must be Yang-Mills *a priori*.

Natural to approach the gap question from the perspective of Yang-Mills *flow*:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -D_A^* F_A. \quad (*)$$

A **strong gap theorem** states that (*) gives a deformation retraction from the set of all (compatible) connections in \mathcal{B} with appropriately small F^+ onto \mathcal{M} .

We can improve most of the above to strong gap theorems.

Remarks:

- Strong gap \Rightarrow gap

Drawback of gap theorems: A must be Yang-Mills *a priori*.

Natural to approach the gap question from the perspective of Yang-Mills *flow*:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -D_A^* F_A. \quad (*)$$

A **strong gap theorem** states that (*) gives a deformation retraction from the set of all (compatible) connections in \mathcal{B} with appropriately small F^+ onto \mathcal{M} .

We can improve most of the above to strong gap theorems.

Remarks:

- Strong gap \Rightarrow gap
- Locally, Łojasiewicz-Simon inequality \Rightarrow strong gap; but strong gap can fail globally even while gap holds

Drawback of gap theorems: A must be Yang-Mills *a priori*.

Natural to approach the gap question from the perspective of Yang-Mills *flow*:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = -D_A^* F_A. \quad (*)$$

A **strong gap theorem** states that (*) gives a deformation retraction from the set of all (compatible) connections in \mathcal{B} with appropriately small F^+ onto \mathcal{M} .

We can improve most of the above to strong gap theorems.

Remarks:

- Strong gap \Rightarrow gap
- Locally, Łojasiewicz-Simon inequality \Rightarrow strong gap; but strong gap can fail globally even while gap holds
- Good reasons to want strong gap.

- Semi-parabolicity:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_{j\beta}^\alpha &= \nabla^i F_{ij}^\alpha{}_\beta \\ &= g^{ik} (\partial_i \partial_k A_{j\beta}^\alpha - \partial_i \partial_j A_{k\beta}^\alpha) + \partial A \# A + A \# A \# A + \Gamma \# \partial A + \Gamma \# A \# A.\end{aligned}$$

Basic properties of (*)

- Semi-parabolicity:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_{j\beta}^\alpha &= \nabla^i F_{ij}^\alpha{}_\beta \\ &= g^{ik} (\partial_i \partial_k A_{j\beta}^\alpha - \partial_i \partial_j A_{k\beta}^\alpha) + \partial A \# A + A \# A \# A + \Gamma \# \partial A + \Gamma \# A \# A.\end{aligned}$$

Donaldson's DeTurck trick: let $A(t) = A_0 + a(t)$, and solve

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} a_j = \nabla^i F_{ij} + \nabla_j (\nabla^i a_i). \quad (\dagger)$$

Basic properties of (*)

- Semi-parabolicity:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_{j\beta}^\alpha &= \nabla^i F_{ij}^\alpha{}_\beta \\ &= g^{ik} (\partial_i \partial_k A_{j\beta}^\alpha - \partial_i \partial_j A_{k\beta}^\alpha) + \partial A \# A + A \# A \# A + \Gamma \# \partial A + \Gamma \# A \# A.\end{aligned}$$

Donaldson's DeTurck trick: let $A(t) = A_0 + a(t)$, and solve

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} a_j = \nabla^i F_{ij} + \nabla_j (\nabla^i a_i). \quad (\dagger)$$

Then (\dagger) is gauge-equivalent to $(*)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} a_j &= g^{ik} (\partial_i \partial_k a_j - \partial_i \partial_j a_k + \partial_j \partial_i a_k) + \partial a \# a + a \# a \# a + \text{etc.} \\ &= g^{ik} \partial_i \partial_k a_j + \text{etc.}\end{aligned}$$

So (\dagger) is strictly parabolic \Rightarrow short-time solutions exist.

- Global energy identity:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{YM}(A(t)) = - \int_M |D_A^* F_A|^2 dV$$

$$\mathcal{YM}(A(T)) + \int_0^T \int_M |D^* F|^2 dV dt = \mathcal{YM}(A(0)).$$

- Global energy identity:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{YM}(A(t)) = - \int_M |D_A^* F_A|^2 dV$$

$$\mathcal{YM}(A(T)) + \int_0^T \int_M |D^* F|^2 dV dt = \mathcal{YM}(A(0)).$$

- “Built-in” Coulomb gauge:

$$D^* (\partial_t A) = -D^* D^* F = [F_{ij}, F_{ij}] = 0.$$

- Global energy identity:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{YM}(A(t)) = - \int_M |D_A^* F_A|^2 dV$$

$$\mathcal{YM}(A(T)) + \int_0^T \int_M |D^* F|^2 dV dt = \mathcal{YM}(A(0)).$$

- “Built-in” Coulomb gauge:

$$D^* (\partial_t A) = -D^* D^* F = [F_{ij}, F_{ij}] = 0.$$

- (*) preserves compatibility (Donaldson '85, Oliveira-Waldron '20).

- Pointwise curvature evolution:

$$\frac{\partial F_A}{\partial t} = D \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = D(-D^*F) - D^* \overbrace{DF}^{=0}$$

by the second Bianchi Identity. This gives

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_A \right) F_A = 0$$

where Δ is the Hodge Laplacian.

- Pointwise curvature evolution:

$$\frac{\partial F_A}{\partial t} = D \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = D(-D^*F) - D^* \overbrace{DF}^{=0}$$

by the second Bianchi Identity. This gives

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_A \right) F_A = 0$$

where Δ is the Hodge Laplacian.

Bochner formula:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F = \llbracket F, F \rrbracket + Rm_g \# F.$$

- Pointwise curvature evolution:

$$\frac{\partial F_A}{\partial t} = D \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = D(-D^*F) - D^* \overbrace{DF}^{=0}$$

by the second Bianchi Identity. This gives

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Delta_A \right) F_A = 0$$

where Δ is the Hodge Laplacian.

Bochner formula:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F = \llbracket F, F \rrbracket + Rm_g \# F.$$

Split Bochner formula in 4D:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^+ = \llbracket F^+, F^+ \rrbracket + Rm_g \# F^+.$$

- **Hamilton's monotonicity formula ('93):** Let

$$\Phi_x(A; R) = \frac{R^{4-n}}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int |F_A(y)|^2 e^{-\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{2R}\right)^2} dV_y.$$

For a solution of (*), we have

$$\Phi_x \left(A(t - R^2); R \right) \searrow.$$

- **Hamilton's monotonicity formula** ('93): Let

$$\Phi_x(A; R) = \frac{R^{4-n}}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \int |F_A(y)|^2 e^{-\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{2R}\right)^2} dV_y.$$

For a solution of (*), we have

$$\Phi_x \left(A(t - R^2); R \right) \searrow.$$

- **ε -regularity** (Struwe, Chen-Shen '94): If $\Phi_x(R, t - R^2) < \varepsilon_0$, then

$$\sup_{\substack{t-R^2/2 \leq s \leq t \\ y \in B_{R/2}(x)}} |\nabla^{(k)} F(y, s)| < \frac{C_k}{R^{2+k}}.$$

Main difficulties for (*):

- Finite-time singularities ($n > 4$ only. **Conjecture** ([Waldron](#), Calc. Var. '23): defect measure vanishes in all dimensions)

Main difficulties for (*):

- Finite-time singularities ($n > 4$ only. **Conjecture** ([Waldron](#), Calc. Var. '23): defect measure vanishes in all dimensions)
- Infinite-time convergence with singularities (a.k.a. uniqueness of Uhlenbeck limits)

Main difficulties for (*):

- Finite-time singularities ($n > 4$ only. **Conjecture** ([Waldron](#), Calc. Var. '23): defect measure vanishes in all dimensions)
- Infinite-time convergence with singularities (a.k.a. uniqueness of Uhlenbeck limits)
- Special holonomy: separate control of curvature components.

Theorem 1

Let A_0 be a smooth connection on an $SU(2)$ -bundle $P \rightarrow S^4$, with energy

$$\mathcal{YM}(A_0) < 4\pi^2 |\kappa(E)| + 8\pi^2. \quad (1)$$

If $A(t)$ solves $(*)$ with $A(0) = A_0$, then $A(t)$ converges smoothly and exponentially to an instanton on P under the flow.

Moreover, the map $A_0 \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t)$ is a deformation retraction from the space of gauge equivalence classes satisfying (1) onto the moduli space of instantons.

Theorem 1

Let A_0 be a smooth connection on an $SU(2)$ -bundle $P \rightarrow S^4$, with energy

$$\mathcal{YM}(A_0) < 4\pi^2 |\kappa(E)| + 8\pi^2. \quad (1)$$

If $A(t)$ solves $(*)$ with $A(0) = A_0$, then $A(t)$ converges smoothly and exponentially to an instanton on P under the flow.

Moreover, the map $A_0 \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t)$ is a deformation retraction from the space of gauge equivalence classes satisfying (1) onto the moduli space of instantons.

Corollary (Taubes '84)

Suppose $G = SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$ and $M = S^4$. For $k \geq 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k of charge- k instantons is path-connected.

Proof of Theorem 1

Step 1. Apply GKS on both bubbles and body $\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\| = \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} < \delta$ for t sufficiently large.

Proof of Theorem 1

Step 1. Apply GKS on both bubbles and body $\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\| = \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} < \delta$ for t sufficiently large.

Step 2. Bochner formula with $W^+ = 0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^+ = \llbracket F^+, F^+ \rrbracket - RF^+.$$

Proof of Theorem 1

Step 1. Apply GKS on both bubbles and body $\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\| = \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} < \delta$ for t sufficiently large.

Step 2. Bochner formula with $W^+ = 0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^+ = \llbracket F^+, F^+ \rrbracket - R F^+.$$

Integrate against F^+ & use Hölder:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \|\nabla F^+\|^2 \leq \int |F^+|^3 - \int R |F^+|^2 \leq \delta \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 - \inf R \|F^+\|^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 1

Step 1. Apply GKS on both bubbles and body $\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\| = \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} < \delta$ for t sufficiently large.

Step 2. Bochner formula with $W^+ = 0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^+ = \llbracket F^+, F^+ \rrbracket - R F^+.$$

Integrate against F^+ & use Hölder:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \|\nabla F^+\|^2 \leq \int |F^+|^3 - \int R |F^+|^2 \leq \delta \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 - \inf R \|F^+\|^2.$$

Step 3. Sobolev & Kato: $\|F^+\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|\nabla |F^+|\| \lesssim \|\nabla F^+\|$

Proof of Theorem 1

Step 1. Apply GKS on both bubbles and body $\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\| = \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} < \delta$ for t sufficiently large.

Step 2. Bochner formula with $W^+ = 0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^+ = \llbracket F^+, F^+ \rrbracket - R F^+.$$

Integrate against F^+ & use Hölder:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \|\nabla F^+\|^2 \leq \int |F^+|^3 - \int R |F^+|^2 \leq \delta \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 - \inf R \|F^+\|^2.$$

Step 3. Sobolev & Kato: $\|F^+\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|\nabla |F^+|\| \lesssim \|\nabla F^+\|$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{C_S} - \delta \right) \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 \leq -12 \|F^+\|^2$$

Proof of Theorem 1

Step 1. Apply GKS on both bubbles and body $\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\| = \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} < \delta$ for t sufficiently large.

Step 2. Bochner formula with $W^+ = 0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^+ = \llbracket F^+, F^+ \rrbracket - R F^+.$$

Integrate against F^+ & use Hölder:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \|\nabla F^+\|^2 \leq \int |F^+|^3 - \int R |F^+|^2 \leq \delta \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 - \inf R \|F^+\|^2.$$

Step 3. Sobolev & Kato: $\|F^+\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|\nabla |F^+|\| \lesssim \|\nabla F^+\|$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{C_S} - \delta \right) \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 \leq -12 \|F^+\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1

Step 1. Apply GKS on both bubbles and body $\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\| = \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} < \delta$ for t sufficiently large.

Step 2. Bochner formula with $W^+ = 0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^+ = \llbracket F^+, F^+ \rrbracket - R F^+.$$

Integrate against F^+ & use Hölder:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \|\nabla F^+\|^2 \leq \int |F^+|^3 - \int R |F^+|^2 \leq \delta \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 - \inf R \|F^+\|^2.$$

Step 3. Sobolev & Kato: $\|F^+\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|\nabla |F^+|\| \lesssim \|\nabla F^+\|$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{C_S} - \delta \right) \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 \leq -12 \|F^+\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct}.$$

Step 4. Moser: $\|F^+(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|F^+(t-1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct}$

Proof of Theorem 1

Step 1. Apply GKS on both bubbles and body $\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\| = \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} < \delta$ for t sufficiently large.

Step 2. Bochner formula with $W^+ = 0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^+ = \llbracket F^+, F^+ \rrbracket - R F^+.$$

Integrate against F^+ & use Hölder:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \|\nabla F^+\|^2 \leq \int |F^+|^3 - \int R |F^+|^2 \leq \delta \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 - \inf R \|F^+\|^2.$$

Step 3. Sobolev & Kato: $\|F^+\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|\nabla |F^+|\| \lesssim \|\nabla F^+\|$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F^+\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{C_S} - \delta \right) \|F^+\|_{L^4}^2 \leq -12 \|F^+\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|F^+(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct}.$$

Step 4. Moser: $\|F^+(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|F^+(t-1)\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{-ct}$

\Rightarrow smooth convergence. □

Yang-Mills flow on special-holonomy manifolds

Theorem (Oliveira and Waldron, Adv. Math. '20)

Assume that M has special holonomy and $A(0)$ is compatible. For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and

$$R_1^2 - R_2^2 = \gamma^2 (t_2 - t_1),$$

we have the following “extended” version of Hamilton’s monotonicity formula:

$$\Phi_x(R_2, t_2) \leq \Phi_x(R_1, t_1) + C(1 - \gamma) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|F^+(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} dt,$$

where

$$F^+ = \begin{cases} F^+ & M = M^4 \\ F^7 & \text{Hol}(M) = G_2 \text{ or } \text{Spin}(7) \\ \Lambda F & \text{Hol}(M) = U(n/2) & (\text{type } (1, 1) \text{ conn.}) \\ F^{\text{sp}(1)} & \text{Hol}(M) = \text{Sp}(n/4)\text{Sp}(1) & (\text{pseudoholomorphic conn.}). \end{cases}$$

Yang-Mills flow on special-holonomy manifolds

Theorem (Oliveira and Waldron, Adv. Math. '20)

Assume that M has special holonomy and $A(0)$ is compatible. For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and

$$R_1^2 - R_2^2 = \gamma^2 (t_2 - t_1),$$

we have the following “extended” version of Hamilton’s monotonicity formula:

$$\Phi_x(R_2, t_2) \leq \Phi_x(R_1, t_1) + C(1 - \gamma) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|F^+(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} dt,$$

where

$$F^+ = \begin{cases} F^+ & M = M^4 \\ F^7 & \text{Hol}(M) = G_2 \text{ or } \text{Spin}(7) \\ \Lambda F & \text{Hol}(M) = U(n/2) & (\text{type } (1, 1) \text{ conn.}) \\ F^{\text{sp}(1)} & \text{Hol}(M) = \text{Sp}(n/4)\text{Sp}(1) & (\text{pseudoholomorphic conn.}) \end{cases}$$

Corollary

If $\|F^+(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}$ remains bounded, then (YM) exists for all time. Moreover, the infinite-time singular set is calibrated.

Deformation to G_2 -instantons?

For a solution of $(*)$ on a G_2 -manifold, we may let

$$F_{A(t)} = \overbrace{f^7(t)}^{F^+ = F^7} \lrcorner \phi + F^{14}(t),$$

where $f^7(t) \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$ and $F^{14}(t) \in \Omega_{14}^2(\mathfrak{g}_P)$. These evolve by:

Deformation to G_2 -instantons?

For a solution of (*) on a G_2 -manifold, we may let

$$F_{A(t)} = \overbrace{f^7(t)}^{F^+ = F^7} \lrcorner \phi + F^{14}(t),$$

where $f^7(t) \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$ and $F^{14}(t) \in \Omega_{14}^2(\mathfrak{g}_P)$. These evolve by:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) f^7(t) &= [f^7 \times f^7] + 2 [F^{14} \cdot f^7] \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^{14}(t) &= \llbracket F^{14}, F^{14} \rrbracket - 3 [f^7 \wedge_{14} f^7] + \text{Rm}_g \# F^{14}. \end{aligned}$$

Deformation to G_2 -instantons?

For a solution of (*) on a G_2 -manifold, we may let

$$F_{A(t)} = \overbrace{f^7(t)}^{F^+ = F^7} \lrcorner \phi + F^{14}(t),$$

where $f^7(t) \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_P)$ and $F^{14}(t) \in \Omega_{14}^2(\mathfrak{g}_P)$. These evolve by:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) f^7(t) &= [f^7 \times f^7] + 2 [F^{14} \cdot f^7] \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla \right) F^{14}(t) &= \llbracket F^{14}, F^{14} \rrbracket - 3 [f^7 \wedge_{14} f^7] + \text{Rm}_g \# F^{14}. \end{aligned}$$

Question. Under what conditions does $\|f^7\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \|f^7\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\delta$?

On a quaternion-Kähler manifold, these cross-terms do not appear!

On a quaternion-Kähler manifold, these cross-terms do not appear!

Theorem 2

Let M be a compact quaternion-Kähler manifold. There exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$, depending only on the geometry of M , as follows.

Suppose that A_0 is a pseudoholomorphic connection on $P \rightarrow M$, with

$$\|F_{A_0}^{\text{sp}(1)}\|_{M^{2,4}} < \delta_0.$$

Then the solution of Yang-Mills flow with $A(0) = A_0$ exists for all time.

Moreover, if M has positive scalar curvature, then $A(t)$ converges smoothly to an instanton as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

On a quaternion-Kähler manifold, these cross-terms do not appear!

Theorem 2

Let M be a compact quaternion-Kähler manifold. There exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$, depending only on the geometry of M , as follows.

Suppose that A_0 is a pseudoholomorphic connection on $P \rightarrow M$, with

$$\|F_{A_0}^{\text{sp}(1)}\|_{M^{2,4}} < \delta_0.$$

Then the solution of Yang-Mills flow with $A(0) = A_0$ exists for all time.

Moreover, if M has positive scalar curvature, then $A(t)$ converges smoothly to an instanton as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Problem. Construct pseudoholomorphic connections (e.g. on $\mathbb{H}P^n$) with $\|F^{\text{sp}(1)}\|_{M^{2,4}}$ small but nonzero.

Thank you!

Application: path-connectedness of \mathcal{M}_k (Taubes)

Theorem (Taubes '84)

Suppose $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and $M = S^4$. For $k \geq 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k of charge- k instantons is path-connected.

Application: path-connectedness of \mathcal{M}_k (Taubes)

Theorem (Taubes '84)

Suppose $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and $M = S^4$. For $k \geq 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k of charge- k instantons is path-connected.

Proof sketch. Base case: $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{pt\}$.

Application: path-connectedness of \mathcal{M}_k (Taubes)

Theorem (Taubes '84)

Suppose $G = \text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SU}(3)$ and $M = S^4$. For $k \geq 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k of charge- k instantons is path-connected.

Proof sketch. Base case: $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{\text{pt}\}$.

Induction step: Insert instanton-anti-instanton pair, keeping energy below $k + 2$.

Deform to $\mathcal{M}_k \rightsquigarrow$ path from $A \in \mathcal{M}_k$ to concentrated connection $A' \in \mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$.

Application: path-connectedness of \mathcal{M}_k (Taubes)

Theorem (Taubes '84)

Suppose $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and $M = S^4$. For $k \geq 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k of charge- k instantons is path-connected.

Proof sketch. Base case: $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{pt\}$.

Induction step: Insert instanton-anti-instanton pair, keeping energy below $k + 2$.

Deform to $\mathcal{M}_k \rightsquigarrow$ path from $A \in \mathcal{M}_k$ to concentrated connection $A' \in \mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$.

$\mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$ path-connected by assumption. □

Application: path-connectedness of \mathcal{M}_k (Taubes)

Theorem (Taubes '84)

Suppose $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and $M = S^4$. For $k \geq 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k of charge- k instantons is path-connected.

Proof sketch. Base case: $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{pt\}$.

Induction step: Insert instanton-anti-instanton pair, keeping energy below $k + 2$.

Deform to $\mathcal{M}_k \rightsquigarrow$ path from $A \in \mathcal{M}_k$ to concentrated connection $A' \in \mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$.

$\mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$ path-connected by assumption. □

Corollary (Atiyah-Drinfeld-Hitchin-Manin '78)

The ADHM construction gives all instantons in \mathcal{M}_k .

Application: path-connectedness of \mathcal{M}_k (Taubes)

Theorem (Taubes '84)

Suppose $G = \text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SU}(3)$ and $M = S^4$. For $k \geq 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k of charge- k instantons is path-connected.

Proof sketch. Base case: $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{\text{pt}\}$.

Induction step: Insert instanton-anti-instanton pair, keeping energy below $k + 2$.

Deform to $\mathcal{M}_k \rightsquigarrow$ path from $A \in \mathcal{M}_k$ to concentrated connection $A' \in \mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$.

$\mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$ path-connected by assumption. □

Corollary (Atiyah-Drinfeld-Hitchin-Manin '78)

The ADHM construction gives all instantons in \mathcal{M}_k .

Questions. • Other base manifolds?

Application: path-connectedness of \mathcal{M}_k (Taubes)

Theorem (Taubes '84)

Suppose $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and $M = S^4$. For $k \geq 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k of charge- k instantons is path-connected.

Proof sketch. **Base case:** $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{\mathrm{pt}\}$.

Induction step: Insert instanton-anti-instanton pair, keeping energy below $k + 2$.

Deform to $\mathcal{M}_k \rightsquigarrow$ path from $A \in \mathcal{M}_k$ to concentrated connection $A' \in \mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$.

$\mathcal{M}_{k-1} \# \mathcal{M}_1$ path-connected by assumption. □

Corollary (Atiyah-Drinfeld-Hitchin-Manin '78)

The ADHM construction gives all instantons in \mathcal{M}_k .

Questions. • Other base manifolds?

- Higher homotopy groups? (Atiyah-Jones conjecture)