

Generalisations To Infinity In Finitary 2-Representation Theory

James Macpherson

February 9, 2023

Categorification

- Basic ethos: create a 'higher level' n -category that encodes a structure of interest.
- Use the extra machinery of the n -category to derive new information about the lower level structure.
- First known example: [Kho00], categorified Jones polynomials (invariants in knot theory) as the Euler characteristics of complexes of modules (i.e. elements of some category).
- Problem: higher level structures are more complicated and harder to study.
- Solution: Representation Theory.

2-Representation Theory

- This leads to ‘2-Representation Theory’ - that is, the representation theory of 2-categories.
- Various authors have approached this in different ways:
 - Etingof–Ostrik: 2-representations of tensor categories.
 - Khovanov–Lauda and Rouquier: 2-representations in Lie theory.
 - Mazorchuk–Miemietz: finitary 2-representation theory.

Talk Structure

- I will first introduce finitary 2-categories and their 2-representations.
- A particular focus on ‘external vs. internal’ results.
- Second part of the talk will introduce wide finitary 2-categories.
- Include results regarding internal 2-representations in this setup.

Finitary Categories

- Basic idea: A finitary (2-)category is a (2-)category with a significant degree of additive/linear structure.
- Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field.
- Always working with strict 2-categories in this talk.
- Mostly drawn from initial papers by Mazorchuk–Miemietz.

Definition

A *finitary category* is an additive \mathbb{k} -linear idempotent complete category with:

- finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects;
 - finite dimensional hom-spaces.
-
- We denote the 2-category of finitary categories, additive \mathbb{k} -linear functors and natural transformations by $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^f$.

Basic Example

Consider the category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{fd}}(G)$ of finite dimensional representations of a finite group G over \mathbb{k} .

- A module category over the group algebra, so additive and \mathbb{k} -linear.
- Schur's Lemma shows that the category is idempotent complete.
- Up to isomorphism, set of irreducible (i.e. indecomposable) representations in bijection with conjugacy classes of G , and so finitely many isoclasses of indecomposable objects.
- Hom-spaces between finite dimensional vector spaces are finite dimensional.

Finitary 2-Categories

Definition

A *finitary 2-category* is a 2-category \mathcal{C} with finitely many objects such that:

- For any two objects i, j of \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{C}(i, j)$ is a finitary category.
- Horizontal composition is biadditive and \mathbb{k} -linear.
- For each object i , the identity 1-morphism $\mathbb{1}_i$ is indecomposable.

Internal Adjunctions

- Often desire extra structure for finitary 2-categories.

Definition

A finitary 2-category \mathcal{C} is a *quasi-fiat* 2-category if it has internal adjoints. More formally, for each 1-morphism $F : i \rightarrow j$ of \mathcal{C} there is a 1-morphism $F^* : j \rightarrow i$ along with 2-morphisms $\epsilon : FF^* \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_j$ and $\eta : \mathbb{1}_i \rightarrow F^*F$ which obey certain axioms.

- ϵ and η follow the standard axioms for an adjunction.

Definition

A quasi-fiat 2-category \mathcal{C} is *fiat* if $F^{**} \cong F$ for any 1-morphism F .

2-Representations

- What are our analogues of vector spaces in classical representation theory?
- Answer: Finitary or abelian 2-representations.
- Let $\mathbf{Ab}_{\mathbb{k}}$ denote the 2-category of \mathbb{k} -linear abelian categories, \mathbb{k} -linear additive functors and natural transformations.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a finitary 2-category.

- A *finitary* 2-representation of \mathcal{C} is a strict 2-functor $\mathbf{M} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^f$.
- A *abelian* 2-representation of \mathcal{C} is a strict 2-functor $\mathbf{M} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

2-Representations in Detail

- In more detail:
- Let \mathcal{C} be a finitary 2-category. A *finitary 2-representation* \mathbf{M} of \mathcal{C} consists of the following:
 - For each object i of \mathcal{C} , a finitary category $\mathbf{M}(i)$.
 - For each 1-morphism $F : i \rightarrow j$ of \mathcal{C} , a \mathbb{k} -linear additive functor $\mathbf{M}(F) : \mathbf{M}(i) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(j)$.
 - For each 2-morphism $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ of \mathcal{C} , a natural transformation $\mathbf{M}(\alpha) : \mathbf{M}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(G)$.
- Two 2-representations \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} are *equivalent* if there exists a 2-natural transformation from \mathbf{M} to \mathbf{N} that induces an equivalence of categories for every i .

Basic Example: Principal 2-Representations

- The most straightforward example of a finitary 2-representation is the principal 2-representation \mathbf{P}_i for some object i of \mathcal{C} :
 - $\mathbf{P}(j) = \mathcal{C}(i, j)$;
 - For $F \in \mathcal{C}(j, k)$, $\mathbf{P}(F) = F \circ - : \mathcal{C}(i, j) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(i, k)$;
 - For a 2-morphism $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ and a 1-morphism $H \in \mathbf{P}(j) = \mathcal{C}(i, j)$,

$$\mathbf{P}(\alpha)_G = \alpha \circ_H \text{id}_H : FH \rightarrow GH.$$

Simple Transitive 2-Representations

- In modular representation theory, simple modules (a.k.a. irreducible representations) play an important role.
- Equivalent concept is *simple transitive 2-representations*.
- *Simple*: the (finitary) 2-representation has no proper \mathcal{C} -stable ideals (analogous to simple rings, simple modules).
- *Transitive*: for any $X \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{i})$, $Y \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{j})$, there exists some 1-morphism $F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ such that Y is a direct summand of $\mathbf{M}(F)(X)$.
- Slogan: Any object in a transitive 2-representation generates the whole 2-representation (up to equivalence) under the action of \mathcal{C} .
- Legitimate analogue of simple modules, e.g. there exists a weak Jordan-Hölder Theorem.

Internal Vs. External

- In representation theory, we often want to reduce 'external' problems to 'internal' ones.
- Classical example: in characteristic 0, for a group G , there are a lot of vector spaces to try constructing irreducible representations on.
- But representations are determined up to isomorphism by their characters, which are in bijection with conjugacy classes of G .
- Reduced 'external' problem of classifying representations to 'internal'(ish) problem of finding a known number of class functions on G .
- A lot of powerful theorems and concepts in 2-representation theory do similar things.
- I will detail two examples: cell 2-representations, and comodule 2-representations.

Cells in 2-Categories

- Based on Green's cells in semigroups from 1951.
- Given (isomorphism classes of) indecomposable 1-morphisms F and G of a finitary 2-category \mathcal{C} , say $F \leq_{\mathcal{L}} G$ (resp. $F \leq_{\mathcal{R}} G$, $F \leq_{\mathcal{J}} G$) if there exists some 1-morphism H with G a direct summand of HF (FH , HFH resp.).
- Equivalence classes of these pre-orders are \mathcal{L} -cells (resp. \mathcal{R} -cells, \mathcal{J} -cells).
- Useful fact: Given an \mathcal{L} -cell \mathcal{L} , every $X \in \mathcal{L}$ has the same source object.

Cell 2-Representations

- We will define a specific type of simple transitive 2-representation that categorify cell modules.
- Let \mathcal{C} be a finitary 2-category and let \mathcal{L} be a left cell of \mathcal{C} with domain \mathbf{i} . We define a 2-representation $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$ of \mathcal{C} as follows:
 - $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{j})$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ generated by $\text{add}\{FX \mid X \in \mathcal{L}, X : \mathbf{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}, F \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j})\}$.
 - The action of 1- and 2-morphisms is the same as in the principal 2-representation $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

Proposition (Mazorchuk, Miemietz '16)

$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$ has a unique simple transitive quotient 2-representation $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$, called the cell 2-representation associated to \mathcal{L} .

The First Big Theorem

- Cell 2-representations are 'internal' structures - entirely defined by information from \mathcal{C} .
- When can we use them to classify 'external' 2-representations?
- One example is *strongly regular* fiat 2-categories, which are fiat 2-categories where the cells form a particularly pleasant structure.

Theorem (Mazorchuk, Miemietz '16)

Any simple transitive 2-representation of a strongly regular fiat 2-category is equivalent to a cell 2-representation.

Coalgebra 1-Morphisms

- Given a fiat 2-category \mathcal{C} with transitive 2-representation \mathbf{M} , we use the notation $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{j \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{M}(j)$ and $\mathcal{C}_i = \coprod_{j \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(i, j)$.
- Let $X \in \mathbf{M}(i)$. There is an ‘evaluation at X ’ functor $ev_X : \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, given by $ev_X(F) = \mathbf{M}(F)X$, $ev_X(\alpha) = \mathbf{M}(\alpha)_X$.
- We would like this functor to have a left adjoint. To do this, we need a larger ‘enveloping’ 2-category for \mathcal{C} .

Abelianisation I

Definition

Let \mathcal{B} be an additive category. We define its *injective Freyd abelianisation* $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ as follows:

- Objects of $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ are morphisms of \mathcal{B} .
- Morphisms are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 g \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y'
 \end{array}$$

modulo

'homotopy' - i.e. modulo those diagrams where there exists a morphism $q : Y \rightarrow X'$ such that $g = qf$.

Abelianisation I

Theorem (Freyd '66)

If \mathcal{B} has weak kernels, then $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ is an abelian category, and any additive functor $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is an abelian category, extends uniquely to a left exact functor $\underline{F} : \underline{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. In addition, \mathcal{B} embeds into $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ as the full subcategory of injective objects.

- For our purposes, can extend the definition of abelianisation to finitary 2-categories and 2-representations.

Lemma (Mackaay, Mazorchuk, Miemietz, Tubbenhauer '16)

The left exact functor $\underline{\text{ev}}_X : \underline{\mathcal{C}}_i \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ has a left adjoint $[X, -] : \underline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}_i$.

The Second Big Theorem

Lemma (MMMT)

$[X, X]$ has the structure of a coalgebra 1-morphism (i.e. it has counit and comultiplication 2-morphisms).

- The category of comodule 1-morphisms over $[X, X]$, $\mathbf{comod}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}([X, X])$ can be given a natural structure of an abelian \mathcal{C} 2-representation.
- Let $\mathbf{inj}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}([X, X])$ denote the sub-2-representation of $\mathbf{comod}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}([X, X])$ generated by its injective objects.

Theorem (MMMT '16)

There is an equivalence of 2-representations of \mathcal{C} between $\underline{\mathbf{M}}$ and $\mathbf{comod}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}([X, X])$, which restricts to an equivalence of 2-representations between \mathbf{M} and $\mathbf{inj}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}([X, X])$.

Finiteness Conditions Revisited

- Let's recall the finiteness conditions in the definition of a finitary 2-category:
 - Finitely many objects;
 - Finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable 1-morphisms;
 - Finite dimensional hom-spaces of 2-morphisms
- How can we relax these restrictions?

Wide Finitary Categories

Definition

A category \mathcal{C} is *wide finitary* if it is an additive \mathbb{k} -linear Krull-Schmidt category with countably many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects and where the morphism sets are \mathbb{k} -vector spaces of countable dimension. Define the 2-category $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{wf}$ to have as objects wide finitary categories, as 1-morphisms \mathbb{k} -linear additive functors, and as 2-morphisms natural transformations.

- Why Krull-Schmidt?
 - Retains any 1-morphism being a finite sum of indecomposable 1-morphisms.
 - A lot of theory (e.g. being able to define cell 2-representations) heavily uses endomorphism rings of indecomposable 1-morphisms being local.

Basic Example

- Consider $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, the category of finite dimensional representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . It is a standard result that there is a unique indecomposable representation of dimension n for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.
- Consequently, there are infinitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects.
- However, the hom-spaces retain a sufficiently pleasant structure that the category is at least wide finitary.

Locally Wide Finitary 2-Categories

Definition

A 2-category \mathcal{C} is *locally wide finitary* if:

- \mathcal{C} has countably many objects.
- For any objects $i, j \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}(i, j) \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{wf}$.
- Horizontal composition is biadditive and \mathbb{k} -linear.
- For each object $i \in \mathcal{C}$, the identity 1-morphism $\mathbb{1}_i$ is indecomposable.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a locally wide finitary 2-category. A *wide finitary* 2-representation of \mathcal{C} is a strict 2-functor from \mathcal{C} to $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{wf}$.

Things Get Complicated

- Certain concepts do generalise to this setting - e.g. locally wide (quasi-)finitary 2-categories, (simple) transitive 2-representations, cells, cell 2-representations, ideals of 2-categories and 2-representations.
- However, there are a lot of concepts from (locally) finitary 2-representation theory that break when naïvely generalised.
- The remainder of this talk will focus on fixing these generalisation problems with regards to the comodule 2-representations.

Breaking Freyd Abelianisation

- Reminder: for injective Freyd abelianisation to produce an abelian (2-)category, we need weak kernels.
- Problem: in general, the hom-categories of locally wide finitary 2-categories do not have weak kernels.
- Need to find a more general abelianisation process.

Adelman Abelianisation

- Solution given by Adelman in a 1973 paper.

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category. The *Adelman abelianisation* $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is a category with:

- Objects are pairs of morphisms $X_2 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3$.
- Morphisms are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_3 \\
 \downarrow h_2 & & \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_3 \\
 Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Y_3
 \end{array}$$

modulo 'homotopy' - i.e. modulo

those diagrams where there exist morphisms $q_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ and $q_2 : X_3 \rightarrow Y_1$ such that $g_1 q_1 + q_2 f_2 = h_1$.

Adelman Abelianisation

Theorem (Adelman '73)

If \mathcal{C} is an additive category, then $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is an abelian category. Any additive functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is an abelian category, extends uniquely to an exact functor $\widehat{F} : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

- We can extend the definition of abelianisation to locally wide finitary 2-categories and 2-representations.
- However, it turns out we need to do more.

The Problem

- Reminder: in finitary case, we construct the coalgebra 1-morphism using the left adjoint of the (abelian) evaluation functor $\underline{\text{ev}}_X : \underline{\mathcal{C}}_i \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}}$.
- But in the wide finitary case, we have no guarantee that $\widehat{\text{ev}}_X : \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_i \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ has such an adjoint.
- There is a solution: pro-(2-)categories.

Pro-2-Categories

- Pro-categories (and their dual, ind-categories) were first introduced by Grothendieck and Verdier in the depths of SGA (specifically [GV72]).
- Roughly, the pro-category $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ of a category \mathcal{C} is the free completion of \mathcal{C} under cofiltered limits.
- Can (carefully) generalise the definition to 2-categories (taking pro-categories of the hom-categories).
- Important result from SGA:

Proposition (Grothendieck, Verdier '72)

A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is right exact if and only if $\text{Pro}(F) : \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{D})$ has a left adjoint.

The Final Big Result

- It follows that $\text{Pro}(\widehat{\text{ev}}_X) : \text{Pro}(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_i) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ has a left adjoint, which we denote $[X, -]$.
- The image of \mathcal{M} under $[X, -]$ has the structure of a 2-representation of \mathcal{C} , which we notate as $[X, \mathbf{M}]$.

Theorem (M '22)

There is an equivalence of 2-representations of \mathcal{C} between \mathbf{M} and $[X, \mathbf{M}]$.



Murray Adelman.

Abelian categories over additive ones.

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 3(2):103–117, 1973.



A Grothendieck and JL Verdier.

Exposé 1: Prefaisceaux.

In *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*.

Springer, 1972.



Mikhail Khovanov.

A categorification of the Jones polynomial.

Duke Mathematical Journal, 101(3):359 – 426, 2000.