Partial orders on contactomorphism groups and their Lie algebras joint work with Y. Eliashberg and L. Polterovich

Kai Cieliebak

University of Augsburg

Kai Cieliebak

**Hofer 1990,** ...: The group  $Ham(W, \omega)$  of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms carries a natural bi-invariant metric (Hofer's metric) for every symplectic manifold  $(W, \omega)$ .

Eliashberg–Polterovich 1999, Eliashberg–Kim–Polterovich 2006: The (universal cover of the) group  $Cont(M, \xi)$  of compactly supported contactomorphisms carries a natural bi-invariant partial order for some contact manifolds  $(M, \xi)$ , e.g. for  $\mathbb{R}P^{2n-1}$  but **not** for its 2-1 cover  $S^{2n-1}$ .

**Goal of this talk:** Study the remnants of this partial order on the Lie algebra of  $Cont(M, \xi)$  modulo the adjoint action.

# Setup

•  $(M^{2n-1}, \xi = \ker \alpha)$  contact manifold (not necessarily

•  $(M^{2n-1}, \xi = \ker \alpha)$  contact manifold (not necessarily compact) with contact 1-form  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^{n-1} > 0$ ) and Reeb vector field R ( $\alpha(R) = 1$  and  $i_R d\alpha = 0$ )

- G := Cont<sub>0</sub>(M, ξ) identity component of the group of compactly supported contactomorphisms g (i.e. g<sup>\*</sup>ξ = ξ)
- g its Lie algebra, consisting of compactly supported contact vector fields Y (i.e.  $L_Y \xi = 0$ )

《曰》 《聞》 《理》 《理》 三世

We will use the canonical identification

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong C_0^{\infty}(M)$$
$$Y \mapsto K_Y := \alpha(Y)$$
$$Y_K := KR + Z_K \leftrightarrow K,$$
where  $\mathfrak{F}_K \in \xi$  is defined by  $(dK + i_{Z_K} d\alpha)|_{\xi} = 0.$ 

### Setup

The convex cone

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0} := \{ K \in \mathfrak{g} \mid K(x) \geq 0 \ \forall x \in M \}$$

defines a natural partial order on g by

$$H \leq K : \iff K - H \in \mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0} \iff H(x) \leq K(x) \ \forall x \in M$$

From here 2 ways to proceed:

1 se v 1) The partial order on g gives rise to a preorder on G by  $g \leq h : \iff \exists$  smooth path  $(g_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  in G with  $g_0 = g$ ,  $g_1 = h$ , and  $g_t^{-1}\dot{g}_t \in \mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0} \ \forall t$ . When is this preorder nondegenerate (i.e.,  $g \leq h$  and  $h \leq g$  implies g = h) and thus defines a partial order on G (or on its univeral cover G?  $\rightarrow$  not today

2)  $g \in G$  acts on  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  by the adjoint action

$$\operatorname{Ad}_g Y = g_* Y.$$

On  $K \in C_0^\infty(M)$  such that  $Y = Y_K$  this becomes

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{g} \mathcal{K} = \alpha(g_* Y_{\mathcal{K}})$$
$$= \underbrace{(g^* \alpha)(Y_{\mathcal{K}}) \circ g^{-1}}_{=c_g \alpha}$$
$$= (c_g \underbrace{\alpha(Y_{\mathcal{K}})}_{=\mathcal{K}}) \circ g^{-1}$$

with a smooth function  $c_g: M 
ightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , so

$$\mathrm{Ad}_g K = (\underline{c_g} K) \circ g^{-1}$$

The multiplication by the positive function  $c_g$  (which is not present in the symplectic case) might change the order on  $\mathfrak{g}$  a lot.

## Setup

**Question.** What is left of the partial order on  $\mathfrak{g}$  up to the adjoint action? More precisely:

 $\bullet\,$  The adjoint action does not change signs of  ${\cal K},$  so it preserves  $\mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0}$  and

$$H \leq K \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ad}_g H \leq \mathrm{Ad}_g K \; \forall g \in G$$

• If  $K \in \mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0}$  and H(x) < 0 for some  $x \in M$ , then  $\operatorname{Ad}_g K \not\leq H$  for any  $g \in G$ . So we will restrict to  $K, H \in \mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0}$ .

More precise question. Given  $K, H \in \mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0} \setminus \{0\}$ , does there exist  $g \in G$  such that  $\operatorname{Ad}_g K \geq H$ ?

**Remark.** The motivation for this came from Borman–Eliashberg– Murphy's existence proof for contact structures in higher dimensions, which could be considerably simplified if this question had a positive answer for suitable  $(M, \xi)$  (which it does not).

## Example 1

$$\begin{pmatrix} M = \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}, \ \alpha = dz - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i dx_i \end{pmatrix}$$
  

$$\psi_s(x, y, z) := (sx, sy, s^2 z), \quad s > 0, \qquad \psi_s^* \alpha = s^2 \alpha$$
  

$$K \in \mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0} \setminus \{0\} \rightsquigarrow K(p) > 0 \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}, \text{ w.g.o.g. } p = 0$$
  

$$\rightsquigarrow K \geq \varepsilon > 0 \text{ on ball } B_\varepsilon \text{ around } 0 \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$
  
Then  $K_s := \operatorname{Ad}_{\psi_s} K = s^2 K \circ \psi_s^{-1} \text{ satisfies}$   

$$K_s \geq s^2 \varepsilon \text{ on } \psi_s(B_\varepsilon) \underset{s \geq 1}{\supset} B_{s\varepsilon}$$
  

$$\Longrightarrow \forall H \in \mathfrak{g}^{\geq 0} \exists s > 1 : \operatorname{Ad}_{\psi_s} K > H.$$

So the partial order becomes a totally degenerate preorder  $(a \le b \forall a, b)$  modulo the adjoint action (flexibility)!

# Example 2

$$(M^{2n-1}, \xi = \ker \alpha) \text{ with } M \text{ closed}$$
$$\mathfrak{g}^{>0} := \{ K \in C^{\infty}(M) \mid K(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in M \}$$

Consider  $K, H \in \mathfrak{g}^{>0}$  with  $K \leq H \leq \operatorname{Ad}_g K$  for some  $g \in G$ . Then:

So the partial order remains nondegenerate modulo the adjoint action (rigidity)!

#### Main class of examples

• 
$$\mathbb{C}^n$$
,  $\lambda := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j dy_j - y_j dx_j)$   
•  $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  unit sphere,  $\alpha := \lambda|_{S^{2n-1}}$  contact form  
•  $\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n}$  standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$   
•  $\Pi_k < \mathbb{C}^n$  spanned by last k basis vectors,  $1 \le k \le 2n - 1$   
•  $\Pi_k^\perp < \mathbb{C}^n$  spanned by first  $2n - k$  basis vectors  
•  $(M_k := S^{2n-1} \setminus \Pi_k, \xi = \ker \alpha)$  contact manifold (noncompact but convex at infinity)  
•  $\mathfrak{g}_k^+ := \{K \in \mathfrak{g}^{\ge 0} \mid K|_{\mathfrak{g}(\Sigma_k^\perp)} > 0 \text{ for some } \mathfrak{g} \in G\}$  Ad-invariant cone  
 $\Sigma_k^\perp = \Pi_k^\perp \cap S^{n-1}$ 

2-1

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

**Note.**  $\Pi_k$  is isotropic for  $1 \le k \le n$ , and coisotropic for  $n+1 \le k \le 2n-1$ .

**Main Theorem.** (a) For  $k \ge n$  the partial order on  $\mathfrak{g}_k^+$  becomes totally degenerate modulo the adjoint action, i.e.

 $\forall H, K \in \mathfrak{g}_k^+ \exists g \in G : \mathrm{Ad}_g H \leq K.$  (flexibility)

(b) For k < n the partial order on  $\mathfrak{g}_k^+$  does **not** become totally degenerate modulo the adjoint action, i.e.

 $\exists H, K \in \mathfrak{g}_k^+ : \nexists g \in G : \operatorname{Ad}_g H \leq K.$  (rigidity)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

### Special cases

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{n=2, k=3:} & M_3=S^3 \setminus \Pi_3 \cong \mathbb{R}^3 \amalg \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ is flexible by Example 1.} \\ \underline{n=2, k=2:} & M_3=S^3 \setminus \Pi_2 \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \cong J^1 S^1 \text{ (1-jet space)} \\ \underline{n=2, k=2:} & M_3=S^3 \setminus \Pi_2 \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \cong J^1 S^1 \text{ (1-jet space of } S^1) \\ \underline{is \text{ flexible}} \end{array}$ 

general  $n \leq k$ :  $M_k = S^{2n-1} \setminus \prod_k \cong J^1(S^{2n-k-1}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2k-2n}$  is flexible

n = 2, k = 1:  $M_1 = S^3 \setminus \Pi_1 \cong S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  is rigid

The last case appears in Borman–Eliashberg–Murphy's existence proof for contact structures in dimension 3.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

For  $k \geq n$  consider the vector field on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 

$$\widehat{Y} := \sum_{j=1}^{2n-k} (x_j \partial_{x_j} - y_j \partial_{y_j})$$



Explicit computations show:

- $L_{\widehat{Y}}\lambda = 0$  and  $[\widehat{Y}, Z] = 0$  for the Liouville vector field  $Z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j \partial_{x_j} + y_j \partial_{y_j});$
- $\widehat{Y}$  induces a contact vector field  $Y = \widehat{Y} fZ$  on  $M_k$ ;
- the flow gt of Y contracts Mk into arbitrarily small neighbourhoods of Σk as t → ∞;
- for H, K ∈ g<sup>+</sup><sub>k</sub>, Ad<sub>gt</sub> H becomes arbitrarily small (both its support and its values) as t → ∞, so eventually Ad<sub>gt</sub> H ≤ K.

Idea (following Eliashberg–Kim–Polterovich): Translate the question into symplectic non-squeezing for certain unbounded domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

We will identify

$$(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, \lambda) \cong (\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^{2n-1}_x, r\alpha)$$
 symplectization of  $(S^{2n-1}, \xi)$ .

**Main construction:** To  $H \in \mathfrak{g}_k^+$  we associate the unbounded domain

$$V(H) := \{0\} \cup \{(r,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^{2n-1} \mid rH(x) < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ 三三 のへで

# Proof of (b)

#### **Properties of** V(H)

0  $g \in G$  gives rise to  $\widehat{g} \in \operatorname{Symp}(\mathbb{R}_+ imes S^{2n-1}, rlpha)$  via

$$\widehat{g}(r,x) := \left(\frac{r}{c_g(x)}, g(x)\right).$$

Proof: 
$$\hat{g}^*(r\alpha) = \frac{r}{c_g(x)}g^*\alpha = r\alpha.$$

$$V(\operatorname{Ad}_{g}H) = \{(r,x) \mid r \cdot (c_{g}H) \circ g^{-1}(x) < 1\}$$
$$= \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{c_{g}(x)}, g(x)\right) \right) \mid \underbrace{\frac{r}{c_{g}(x)} \cdot (c_{g}H)(x)}_{=rH(x)} < 1 \right\}$$
$$= \widehat{g}(V(H))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{0} & H \leq K \iff rH(x) \leq rK(x) \forall (r,x) \iff V(K) \subset V(H) \\ \textcircled{0} & V(sH) = \frac{1}{s}V(H) \end{array}$ 

# Proof of (b)

Properties (iii) and (iv) translate the relation  $\operatorname{Ad}_g H \leq K$  into the symplectic embedding  $V(K) \subset \widehat{g}(V(H))$ . An obstruction to such an embedding is provided by

Proposition. There exists a "symplectic capacity"

 $c: \mathcal{C}_k \to [0,\infty],$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

defined on a suitable class of unbounded open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the domains V(H) for  $H \in \mathfrak{g}_k^+$ , with the following properties:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad U \subset V \Longrightarrow c(U) \leq c(V); \\ \bullet \quad c(\widehat{g}(U)) = c(U) \; \forall g \in G; \\ \bullet \quad c(sU) = s^2 c(U) \; \forall s > 0; \\ \bullet \quad 0 < c(V(H)) < \infty \; \forall H \in \mathfrak{g}_k^+ \end{array}$$

**Proof of the Main Theorem assuming the Proposition.** This follows directly with K := sH from the following Claim: For  $H \in \mathfrak{g}_k^+$  and  $0 < s < 1 \not\exists g \in G : \operatorname{Ad}_g H \leq sH$ . Proof of Claim:

$$\begin{aligned} Ad_{g}H &\leq sH \qquad \bigvee(\cdot) \\ & \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{s}V(H) = V(sH) \subset V(\mathrm{Ad}_{g}H) = \widehat{g}(V(H)) \qquad \mathcal{L}(\cdot) \\ & \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{s^{2}}c(V(H)) = c\left(\frac{1}{s}V(H)\right) \leq c\left(\widehat{g}(V(H))\right) = c(V(H)) \\ & \Longrightarrow s \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

This proves the Claim and thus the Main Theorem

# Proof of (b)

#### Construction of the symplectic capacity *c*

$$u^{2} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} y_{i}^{2}, \qquad v^{2} := \sum_{i=n-k+1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}$$

(Recall k < n). For a, b > 0 consider the hyperboloids

$$V_k^{a,b} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \frac{u^2}{a^2} - \frac{v^2}{b^2} < 1 \}$$

Their **symplectic homology** in Conley-Zehnder index n - k is

$$SH_{n-k}^{(0,c)}(V_k^{a,b}) = egin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2 & c > \pi a^2, \ 0 & c \leq \pi a^2. \end{cases}$$

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

For an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $V^{a,b}_k \subset U$  for some a,b set

$$c(U) := \inf\{c > 0 \mid SH_{n-k}^{(0,c)}(U) \xrightarrow{surj} SH_{n-k}^{(0,c)}(V_k^{a,b}) = \mathbb{Z}_2\},$$

where a > is chosen very small. This definition does not depend on a, b and defines a symplectic capacity satisfying

$$0 < \pi a^2 = c(V_k^{a,b}) \leq c(V(H)) \leq c(V_k^{a',b'}) = \pi a'^2 < \infty,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

where a, b, a', b' are chosen such that  $V_k^{a,b} \subset V(H) \subset V_k^{a',b'}$ .