# Rational and algebraic links and knots-quivers correspondence

Marko Stošić

<sup>1</sup>CAMGSD, Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico, Portugal

<sup>2</sup>Mathematical Institute SANU, Belgrade, Serbia

TQFT online seminar, 26.6.2020.

Colored HOMFLY-PT polynomials:

Symmetric  $(S^r)$ -colored HOMFLY–PT polynomials are 2-variable invariants of knots:

 $P_r(K)(a,q).$ 

For  $a = q^N$  they are  $(sl(N), S^r)$  quantum polynomial invariants:

$$P(a = q^N, q) = P^{sl(N), S^r}(q).$$

#### Colored HOMFLY-PT polynomials:

Symmetric  $(S^r)$ -colored HOMFLY–PT polynomials are 2-variable invariants of knots:

 $P_r(K)(a,q).$ 

For  $a = q^N$  they are  $(sl(N), S^r)$  quantum polynomial invariants:

$$P(a = q^N, q) = P^{sl(N), S^r}(q).$$

Already interesting is the "bottom row": the coefficient of the lowest nonzero power of *a* appearing in  $P_r(a, q)$ 

$$P_r^-(q) = \lim_{a\to 0} a^{\sharp} P_r(a,q)$$

Generating function of all symmetric-colored HOMFLY-PT polynomials of a given knot K is:

$$P(x, a, q) := \sum_{r \ge 0} P_r(a, q) x^r = \exp\left(\sum_{n, r \ge 1} \frac{1}{n} f_r(a^n, q^n) x^{rn}\right),$$

$$f_r(a,q) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{r,i,j}a}{q-q^{-1}}.$$

Generating function of all symmetric-colored HOMFLY-PT polynomials of a given knot K is:

$$P(x, a, q) := \sum_{r \ge 0} P_r(a, q) x^r = \exp\left(\sum_{n, r \ge 1} \frac{1}{n} f_r(a^n, q^n) x^{rn}\right),$$

$$f_r(a,q)=\sum_{i,j}rac{N_{r,i,j}a'q^j}{q-q^{-1}}.$$

One can easily get:  $N_{r,i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

/⊒ > < ∃ >

Generating function of all symmetric-colored HOMFLY-PT polynomials of a given knot K is:

$$P(x,a,q) := \sum_{r\geq 0} P_r(a,q) x^r = \exp\left(\sum_{n,r\geq 1} \frac{1}{n} f_r(a^n,q^n) x^{rn}\right),$$

$$f_r(a,q) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{N_{r,i,j}a^i q^j}{q-q^{-1}}$$

One can easily get:  $N_{r,i,i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ LMOV conjecture:  $N_{r,i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

I

Generating function of all symmetric-colored HOMFLY-PT polynomials of a given knot K is:

$$P(x,a,q) := \sum_{r\geq 0} P_r(a,q) x^r = \exp\left(\sum_{n,r\geq 1} \frac{1}{n} f_r(a^n,q^n) x^{rn}\right),$$

$$f_r(a,q) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{N_{r,i,j}a^i q^j}{q-q^{-1}}.$$

One can easily get:  $N_{r,i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

#### LMOV conjecture: $N_{r,i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ !

 $N_{r,i,j}$  are BPS numbers. They represent (super)-dimensions of certain homological groups. Physicaly, they "count" particles of certain type (therefore are integers).

Quivers are oriented graphs, possibly with loops and multiple edges.

- $Q_0 = \{1, \ldots, m\}$  set of vertices.
- $Q_1$  the set of edges  $\{\alpha : i \rightarrow j\}.$

Quivers are oriented graphs, possibly with loops and multiple edges.

$$Q_0 = \{1, \ldots, m\}$$
 – set of vertices.

 $Q_1$  the set of edges  $\{\alpha : i \rightarrow j\}.$ 

Let  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$  be a dimension vector. We are interested in moduli space of representations of Q with the dimension vector  $\mathbf{d}$ :

$$M_{\mathbf{d}} = \left\{ R(lpha) : \mathbb{C}^{d_i} 
ightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d_j} | \textit{for all } lpha : i 
ightarrow j \in Q_1 
ight\} / / G,$$

where  $G = \prod_i GL(d_i, \mathbb{C})$ .

#### Quivers and motivic generating functions

C is a matrix of a quiver with m vertices.

$$P_C(x_1,\ldots,x_m) := \sum_{d_1,\ldots,d_m} \frac{(-q)^{\sum_{i,j=1}^m C_{i,j}d_id_j}}{(q^2;q^2)_{d_1}\cdots(q^2;q^2)_{d_m}} x_1^{d_1}\cdots x_m^{d_m}.$$

q-Pochhamer symbol  $(q^2; q^2)_n := \prod_{i=1}^n (1-q^{2i}).$ 

#### Quivers and motivic generating functions

C is a matrix of a quiver with m vertices.

$$P_{C}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{m}):=\sum_{d_{1},\ldots,d_{m}}\frac{(-q)^{\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}C_{i,j}d_{i}d_{j}}}{(q^{2};q^{2})_{d_{1}}\cdots(q^{2};q^{2})_{d_{m}}}x_{1}^{d_{1}}\cdots x_{m}^{d_{m}}.$$

q-Pochhamer symbol  $(q^2; q^2)_n := \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{2i}).$ Motivic (quantum) Donaldson-Thomas invariants  $\Omega_{d_1,...,d_m;j}$  of a symmetric quiver Q:

$$P_{C} = \prod_{(d_{1},...,d_{m})\neq 0} \prod_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \prod_{k\geq 0} \left(1 - \left(x_{1}^{d_{1}}\cdots x_{m}^{d_{m}}\right)q^{j+2k+1}\right)^{(-1)^{j+1}\Omega_{d_{1},...,d_{m};j}}$$

#### Quivers and motivic generating functions

C is a matrix of a quiver with m vertices.

$$P_{C}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{m}):=\sum_{d_{1},\ldots,d_{m}}\frac{(-q)^{\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}C_{i,j}d_{i}d_{j}}}{(q^{2};q^{2})_{d_{1}}\cdots(q^{2};q^{2})_{d_{m}}}x_{1}^{d_{1}}\cdots x_{m}^{d_{m}}.$$

q-Pochhamer symbol  $(q^2; q^2)_n := \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{2i}).$ Motivic (quantum) Donaldson-Thomas invariants  $\Omega_{d_1,...,d_m;j}$  of a symmetric quiver Q:

$$P_{\mathcal{C}} = \prod_{(d_1,...,d_m) 
eq 0} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{k \geq 0} \left( 1 - \left( x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_m^{d_m} \right) q^{j+2k+1} \right)^{(-1)^{j+1} \Omega_{d_1,...,d_m;j}}$$

Theorem (Kontsevich-Soibelman, Efimov)

 $\Omega_{d_1,...,d_m;j}$  are nonnegative integers.

[P. Kucharski, M. Reineke, P. Sulkowski, M.S., Phys. Rev. D 2017]

New relationship between HOMFLY–PT / BPS invariants of knots and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants for quivers



Figure: Trefoil knot and the corresponding quiver.

The generating series of HOMFLY-PT invariants of a knot matches the motivic generating series of a quiver, after setting  $x_i \rightarrow x$ .

Knots	Quivers
Generators of HOMFLY homology	Number of vertices
Homological degrees, framing	Number of loops
Colored HOMFLY-PT	Motivic generating series
LMOV invariants	Motivic DT-invariants
Classical LMOV invariants	Numerical DT-invariants
Algebra of BPS states	Cohom. Hall Algebra

 $\mathsf{BPS}/\mathsf{LMOV}$  invariants of knots are refined through motivic DT invariants of a corresponding quiver, and so

#### Theorem

For all knots for which there exists a corresponding quiver, the LMOV conjecture holds.

- Find quivers for (large) classes of knots
- How to find a quiver for a given knot directly (geometrically, topologically...)? Other, better definition?
- The (non)uniqueness of a quiver:
  - What is the smallest possible size of the quiver?
  - Among the ones with the same size discrete group action?
- What is so special for quivers that correspond to knots? (Combinatorial identities for binomial coefficients, and extended integrality/divisibility hold precisely for them.)

#### Application 2 – Lattice paths counting



Figure: A lattice path under the line  $y = \frac{1}{4}x$ , and a shaded area between the path and the line.

$$y_P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi \in k \text{-paths}} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(1) x^k,$$
$$y_{qP}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi \in k \text{-paths}} q^{\text{area}(\pi)} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(q) x^k.$$
$$(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(q) x^k.$$
Marko Stošić Quivers for Algebraic links

Surprisingly, colored HOMFLY–PT polynomials are closely related to the purely combinatorial problem of counting lattice paths under lines with rational slope. Surprisingly, colored HOMFLY–PT polynomials are closely related to the purely combinatorial problem of counting lattice paths under lines with rational slope.

Proposition (M. Panfil, P. Sulkowski, M.S., 2018)

Let r and s be mutually prime.

Let  $K = T_{r,s}^{f=-rs}$  be the rs-framed (r, s)-torus knot.

Then the coefficients  $a_n$  of the series governing the growth of the generating series of colored HOMFLY-PT polynomial of K, are equal to the number of directed lattice path from (0,0) to (sn, rn) under the line y = (r/s)x.

Knots-quivers correspondence naturally suggests a particular refinement of the numbers  $a_n$ .

#### Knots and quivers - results

Knots-quivers correspondence naturally suggests a particular refinement of the numbers  $a_n$ .

For example, for 2/3 slope, after computing the relevant invariants (for the bottom row) of the  $T_{2,3}$  knot (trefoil), the corresponding quiver is:

$$\left[\begin{array}{rrr} 7 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 \end{array}\right].$$

#### Knots and quivers – results

Knots-quivers correspondence naturally suggests a particular refinement of the numbers  $a_n$ .

For example, for 2/3 slope, after computing the relevant invariants (for the bottom row) of the  $T_{2,3}$  knot (trefoil), the corresponding quiver is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{(2/3)} &= \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{1}{7i+5j+1} \binom{7i+5j+1}{i} \binom{5i+5j+1}{j} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{5n+i+1} \binom{5n+2i}{i} \binom{5n+1}{n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

(rediscovered Duchon formula)

All this also rediscovers some binomial identities, like e.g.

$$\binom{5n}{2n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{5n}{5n+2i} \binom{5n+2i}{i} \binom{5n}{n-i}$$

Comes from counting of paths under line with slope 2/3.

All this also rediscovers some binomial identities, like e.g.

$$\binom{5n}{2n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{5n}{5n+2i} \binom{5n+2i}{i} \binom{5n}{n-i}$$

Comes from counting of paths under line with slope 2/3.

One can obtain such identities precisely for the quivers that correspond to (torus) knots.

#### Proposition

The generating function  $y_{qP}(x)$  of lattice paths under the line of the slope r/s, weighted by the area between this line and a given path, is equal to

$$y_{qP}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi \in k \text{-paths}} q^{\text{area}(\pi)} x^k = \frac{P_C(q^2 x_1, \dots, q^2 x_m)}{P_C(x_1, \dots, x_m)} \Big|_{x_i = xq^{-1}}.$$

For the line of the slope r/s, the quiver in question is defined by the matrix C that encodes extremal invariants of left-handed (r, s)torus knot in framing rs.

#### Paths under the line with slope 3/4

$$C^{(3,4)} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ 7 & 9 & 8 & 9 & 9 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 10 \\ 7 & 9 & 9 & 11 & 11 \\ 7 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \# paths &= \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_5 = n} A_{(3,4)}^{(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5) \times} \\ &\times \frac{1}{7l_1 + 7l_2 + 7l_3 + 7l_4 + 7l_5 + 1} \binom{7l_1 + 7l_2 + 7l_3 + 7l_4 + 7l_5 + 1}{l_1} \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{7l_1 + 9l_2 + 8l_3 + 9l_4 + 9l_5 + 1} \binom{7l_1 + 9l_2 + 8l_3 + 9l_4 + 9l_5 + 1}{l_2} \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{7l_1 + 8l_2 + 9l_3 + 9l_4 + 10l_5 + 1} \binom{7l_1 + 8l_2 + 9l_3 + 9l_4 + 10l_5 + 1}{l_3} \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{7l_1 + 9l_2 + 9l_3 + 11l_4 + 11l_5 + 1} \binom{7l_1 + 9l_2 + 9l_3 + 11l_4 + 11l_5 + 1}{l_4} \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{7l_1 + 9l_2 + 10l_3 + 11l_4 + 13l_5 + 1} \binom{7l_1 + 9l_2 + 10l_3 + 11l_4 + 13l_5 + 1}{l_5} \end{aligned}$$

Marko Stošić Quivers for Algebraic links

æ

≪ ≣

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(3,4)}(l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4,l_5) &= 1 + 28 l_1 + 294 l_1^2 + 1372 l_1^3 + 2401 l_1^4 + 33 l_2 + 693 l_1 l_2 + 4851 l_1^2 l_2 + 11319 l_1^3 l_2 + 407 l_2^2 + 5698 l_1 l_2^2 + 119943 l_1^2 l_2^2 + 2223 l_2^3 + 15561 l_1 l_2^3 + 4536 l_2^4 + 34 l_3 + 714 l_1 l_3 + 4998 l_1^2 l_3 + 11662 l_1^3 l_3 + 838 l_2 l_3 + 11732 l_1 l_2 l_3 + 41062 l_1^2 l_2 l_3 + 6860 l_2^2 l_3 + 48020 l_1 l_2^2 l_3 + 18648 l_2^3 l_3 + 431 l_3^2 + 6034 l_1 l_3^2 + 21119 l_1^2 l_3^2 + 7051 l_2 l_3^2 + 49357 l_1 l_2 l_3^2 + 28728 l_2^2 l_3^2 + 2414 l_3^3 + 16898 l_1 l_3^3 + 19656 l_2 l_3^3 + 5040 l_3^4 + 36 l_4 + 756 l_1 l_4 + 5292 l_1^2 l_4 + 12348 l_1^3 l_4 + 887 l_2 l_4 + 12418 l_1 l_2 l_4 + 43463 l_1^2 l_2 l_4 + 7258 l_2^2 l_4 + 50806 l_1 l_2^2 l_4 + 19719 l_2^3 l_4 + 21294 l_3^3 l_4 + 482 l_4^2 + 6748 l_1 l_4^2 + 23618 l_1^2 l_4^2 + 912 l_3 l_4 + 14268 l_1^2 l_2 l_4 + 7258 l_2^2 l_4 + 104398 l_1 l_2 l_3 l_4 + 60732 l_2^2 l_3 l_4 + 7656 l_3^2 l_4 + 55592 l_1 l_3^2 l_4 + 62307 l_2 l_3^2 l_4 + 17879 l_2 l_4^2 + 55153 l_1 l_2 l_4^2 + 32067 l_2^2 l_4^2 + 8086 l_3 l_4^2 + 56602 l_1 l_3 l_4^2 + 23688 l_3 l_4^3 + 6237 l_4^4 + 65772 l_2 l_3 l_4^2 + 3715 + 7777 l_1 l_5 + 33705 l_3^2 l_4^2 + 2525 l_1 l_2^2 l_5^2 + 52255 l_1 l_2^2 l_5^2 + 52255 l_1 l_2^2 l_5^2 + 52652 l_1 l_2 l_5^2 + 55630 l_3^2 l_5^2 + 9010 l_4 l_5^2 + 63070 l_1 l_4 l_5^2 + 39501 l_4^2 l_5^2 + 52574 l_2 l_3^2 + 45962 l_1^2 l_3 l_5 + 107394 l_1 l_2 l_3 l_5 + 62482 l_2^2 l_3 l_5 + 7877 l_3^2 l_5 + 3083 l_3^2 + 21581 l_1 l_3^2 + 55139 l_1 l_3^2 l_5 + 64106 l_2 l_3^2 l_5 + 9911 l_4 l_5 + 11372 l_1 l_3 l_5 + 5926 l_2 l_3 l_4 l_5 + 13132 l_1 l_3 l_5 + 5962 l_1^2 l_3 l_5 + 5134 l_2 l_3 l_5 + 5134 l_2 l_3 l_5 + 5134 l_1 l_2 l_3 l_5 + 5134 l_1 l_3 l_4 l_5 + 13529 l_2 l_3 l_4 l_5 + 13529 l_2 l_4 l_5 + 75068 l_3 l_4 l_5^2 + 55088 l_3 l_4 l_5^2 + 113428 l_1 l_2 l_4 l_5 + 65961 l_2^2 l_4 l_5 + 15342 l_2 l_3 l_5 + 107394 l_1 l_2 l_3 l_5 + 62482 l_2^2 l_3 l_5 + 5777 l_3^2 l_5 + 5088 l_3 l_4 l_5^2 + 113428 l_1 l_2 l_4 l_5 + 56961 l_2^2 l_4 l_5 + 15664 l_3 l_4 l_5 + 115329 l_2 l_4 l_5 + 15688 l_3$$

#### Schröder paths



Figure: An example of a Schröder path of length 6.

Quiver corresponding to the full colored HOMFLY-PT invariants of knots in framing f = 1

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This corresponds to counting paths under the diagonal line y = x.

Quiver corresponding to the full colored HOMFLY-PT invariants of knots in framing f = 1

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This corresponds to counting paths under the diagonal line y = x. In this case we take specializations:

$$x_1 \longrightarrow x, \quad x_2 \longrightarrow ax$$

Quiver corresponding to the full colored HOMFLY-PT invariants of knots in framing f = 1

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This corresponds to counting paths under the diagonal line y = x. In this case we take specializations:

$$x_1 \longrightarrow x, \quad x_2 \longrightarrow ax$$

Then from the quiver generating function of C we get

$$y(x, a, q) = 1 + (q + a)x + (q^2 + q^4 + (2q + q^3)a + a^2)x^2 + \dots$$

with the height of a path measured by the power of x and the number of diagonal steps measured by the power of a.



Figure: All 6 Schröder paths of height 2 represented by the quadratic term  $q^2 + q^4 + (2q + q^3)a + a^2$  of the generating function.

If p is prime, then:

$$p \mid \binom{3p-1}{p-1} - 1.$$

< ∃ >

If *p* is prime, then:

$$p \mid \binom{3p-1}{p-1} - 1.$$
$$p^2 \mid \binom{3p-1}{p-1} - 1.$$

< ∃ >

If p is prime, then:

$$p \mid {3p-1 \choose p-1} - 1.$$

$$p^2 \mid {3p-1 \choose p-1} - 1.$$

$$p^3 \mid 2 \left( {3p-1 \choose p-1} - 1 \right).$$

< ∃ >

If p is prime, then:

$$p \mid {3p-1 \choose p-1} - 1.$$

$$p^2 \mid {3p-1 \choose p-1} - 1.$$

$$p^3 \mid 2\left({3p-1 \choose p-1} - 1\right).$$

If 
$$r \in \mathbb{N}$$
, then  $r^2 \mid \sum_{d \mid r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \binom{3d-1}{d-1}$ .  
$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k, & n = p_1 p_2 \cdots \\ 0, & p^2 \mid n \end{cases}$$

 $\cdot p_k$ ,

聞 と く き と く き と

э

If p is prime, then:

$$p \mid {\binom{3p-1}{p-1}} - 1.$$

$$p^2 \mid {\binom{3p-1}{p-1}} - 1.$$

$$p^3 \mid 2\left({\binom{3p-1}{p-1}} - 1\right)$$

If 
$$r \in \mathbb{N}$$
, then  $r^2 \mid \sum_{d \mid r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{d}\right) \binom{3d-1}{d-1}$ .  
$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k, & n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k \\ 0, & p^2 \mid n \end{cases}$$

Corresponds to the fact that DT invariants are non-negative integers (in this case of the quiver of the framed unknot — one vertex, *m*-loop quiver)

,

#### Quivers for rational knots

[M.S., P. Wedrich, IMRN 2019].

$$p/q = [a_1, \ldots, a_r] = a_r + \frac{1}{a_{r-1} + \frac{1}{a_{r-2} + \ldots}}$$

Rational tangle encoded by [2,3,1]





▲聞▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

æ



|▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ | 臣 | のへで



□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □</p>

#### Basic webs and twist rules

$$UP[j,k] = \int_{j}^{j} \left( k \right)_{j}^{k} \left( k \right)_{j}^{j}, \quad OP[j,k] = \int_{j}^{j} \left( k \right)_{j}^{k} \left( k \right)_{j}^{j}, \quad RI[j,k] = \int_{j}^{j} \left( k \right)_{j}^{k} \left( k \right)_{j}^{j} \left( k \right)_{j}^{k} \left( k \right)_{j$$

**TUP**[j, k] = 
$$\sum_{h=k}^{j} (-q)^{h-j} q^{k^2} {h \brack k} UP[j, h]$$
**RUP**[j, k] =  $\sum_{h=0}^{k} (-q)^{h-j} a^{h-j} q^{-2kh+k^2+j^2} {j-h \brack k-h} OP[j, h]$ 
**TOP**[j, k] =  $\sum_{h=k}^{j} (-q)^h a^k q^{k^2-2jk} {h \brack k} RI[j, h]$ 
**ROP**[j, k] =  $\sum_{h=0}^{k} (-q)^{h-j} a^{k-j} q^{2h(j-k)+(k-j)^2} {j-h \atop k-h} UP[j, h]$ 
**TRI**[j, k] =  $\sum_{h=k}^{j} (-q)^h a^h q^{k^2-2jh} {h \brack k} OP[j, h]$ 
**RRI**[j, k] =  $\sum_{h=0}^{k} (-q)^h q^{h(2j-2k)+k^2-j^2} {j-h \atop k-h} RI[j, h]$ 

æ

#### Theorem

Let K be a rational knot and let  $Q_K$  be the corresponding quiver. Then, the vertices of  $Q_K$  are in bijection with generators of the reduced HOMFLY-PT homology of K, such that the (a, q, t)-trigrading of the *i*<sup>th</sup> generator is given by  $(a_i, -Q_{i,i} - q_i, -Q_{i,i})$  where  $Q_{i,i}$  denotes the number of loops at the *i*<sup>th</sup> vertex of  $Q_K$ .

#### Rational knots: quiver size and continued fraction

 $K_{p/q}$  rational knot can be presented as the closure of

$$T^{a_r}R^{a_{r-1}}T^{a_{r-2}}\cdots T^{a_3}R^{a_2}T^{a_1}K_0$$





 $K_{p/q}$  rational knot can be presented as the closure of

$$T^{a_r}R^{a_{r-1}}T^{a_{r-2}}\cdots T^{a_3}R^{a_2}T^{a_1}K_0$$

 $R^{a_2}T^{a_1}K_0$ 



 $K_{p/q}$  rational knot can be presented as the closure of

$$T^{a_r}R^{a_{r-1}}T^{a_{r-2}}\cdots T^{a_3}R^{a_2}T^{a_1}K_0$$

 $T^{a_3}R^{a_2}T^{a_1}K_0$ 



Extend the correspondence to 4-ended tangles:

Ask that knots-quivers correspondence hold for any link obtained by closing off the four ends of a tangle. Extend the correspondence to 4-ended tangles:

Ask that knots-quivers correspondence hold for any link obtained by closing off the four ends of a tangle.

The rational tangles satisfy tangle-quiver correspondence.

For p/q rational tangle we can write the generating series of the colored HOMFLY-PT invariants as a summation with p + q variables (p "active", q "inactive").

For rational tangles, we had Top and Right twists.

Tangle addition operation:

$$+ : \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

/⊒ > < ∃ >

-

For rational tangles, we had Top and Right twists.

Tangle addition operation:

$$+ \colon \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ T_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Addition of rational tangles give algebraic tangles. Closures of such tangles are called arborescent links, or algebraic links (in the sense of Conway). For rational tangles, we had Top and Right twists.

Tangle addition operation:

$$+ \colon \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{T_2}$$

Addition of rational tangles give algebraic tangles. Closures of such tangles are called arborescent links, or algebraic links (in the sense of Conway).

```
IN MEMORIAM: John H. Conway (1937-2020)
```

#### Tangle-quivers correspondence

4-ended tangle family  $\mathrm{QT}_4$ 

#### Theorem (M.S., P. Wedrich, 2020)

There exists a family  $QT_4$  of 4-ended framed oriented tangles with the following properties:

- QT<sub>4</sub> contains the trivial 2-strand tangle.
- $QT_4$  is closed under diffeomorphisms of  $(B^3, \partial B^3, \{4 \text{ pts}\})$ .
- QT<sub>4</sub> is closed under Conway's tangle addition, the binary operation of gluing two 4-ended tangles at pairs of boundary points as follows:



• The appropriate analogue of the knots-quivers correspondence holds for any link obtained by closing off a tangle in QT<sub>4</sub>.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

We will consider six refined types of tangles  $\tau \in {}_{\bullet}T_{4}$ , which encode boundary types and connectivity between boundary points:



For example, an  $UP_{par}$  tangle has one strand directed from the SW to the NW boundary point and the other strand directed from the SE to the NE boundary point, and possibly additional closed components.

Tangle addition: binary addition operation on 4-ended tangles, which is given by gluing along pairs of adjacent boundary points, provided the orientations are compatible there.

$$+ : \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

Main result is the following theorem:

#### Theorem

Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in QT_4$  with orientations such that  $\tau_1 + \tau_2$  is defined. Then  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 \in QT_4$ . Due to different types of tangles and orientations, there are five cases to check. In each of them the gluing formula for the HOMFLY-PT skein generating functions is established.



Conclusion: Algebraic links satisfy knots-quivers correspondence. This includes Montesinos links, pretzel knots, etc..

Conclusion: Algebraic links satisfy knots-quivers correspondence. This includes Montesinos links, pretzel knots, etc..

What is the minimal size of the corresponding quiver?

Conclusion: Algebraic links satisfy knots-quivers correspondence. This includes Montesinos links, pretzel knots, etc..

What is the minimal size of the corresponding quiver?

The size of the quiver produced by algorithm has an upper bound (for addition of two tangles the bound is bilinear in the sizes of the quivers of individual tangles), but in particular cases it seems that it can be lowered even further. Thank you for your attention !

A ►

э