

2-representation theory of Soergel bimodules

(joint work with Mackaay, Mazorchuk, Tubbenhauer and Zhang)

Vanessa Miemietz
(University of East Anglia)

Why 2-representation theory?

- ▶ representation theory: used for over a century to understand algebraic structures
- ▶ monoidal categories and 2-categories becoming more and more important \Rightarrow study them using 2-representation theory

Why Soergel bimodules?

significant advances in representation theory:

- ▶ categorify Hecke algebras
- ▶ intimately connected to representation theory of symmetric groups, algebraic groups, Lie algebras, etc.
- ▶ algebraic proof of Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures for all Coxeter types
- ▶ counterexamples to James' conjectures for symmetric groups

Hecke algebras

(W, S) Coxeter group

$W = \langle s_i \mid s_i \in S, s_i^2 = 1, (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1 \rangle$ for some $m_{ij} \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$

The **Hecke algebra** $H(W)$ associated to W is a quantisation of $\mathbb{Z}W$, and has an associated **cell theory** (Kazhdan–Lusztig cells). To a **two-sided cell** J and each intersection H of a **left cell** with its associated **right cell**, Lusztig associates an **asymptotic Hecke algebra**, and there is a bijection

{simple representations of the asymptotic algebras}



{simple representations of the Hecke algebra}

Idea: Asymptotic algebras are easier to understand.

Note: All categories in this talk are assumed to be locally small (or small if necessary). Further, \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field.

Definition. A **2-category** \mathcal{C} is a category enriched over the monoidal category **Cat** of small categories, i.e. it consists of

- ▶ a class (or set) \mathcal{C} of objects;
- ▶ for every $i, j \in \mathcal{C}$ a small category $\mathcal{C}(i, j)$ of morphisms from i to j (objects in $\mathcal{C}(i, j)$ are called **1-morphisms** of \mathcal{C} and morphisms in $\mathcal{C}(i, j)$ are called **2-morphisms** of \mathcal{C});
- ▶ functorial composition $\mathcal{C}(j, k) \times \mathcal{C}(i, j) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(i, k)$;
- ▶ identity 1-morphisms $\mathbb{1}_i$ for every $i \in \mathcal{C}$;
- ▶ natural (strict) axioms.

General examples of 2-categories

Examples.

- ▶ A (strict) monoidal category \mathcal{C} is a 2-category with one object, which has the objects of \mathcal{C} as 1-morphisms, and the morphisms of \mathcal{C} as 2-morphisms.
- ▶ the 2-category **Cat** of small categories (1-morphisms are functors and 2-morphisms are natural transformations);
- ▶ the 2-category $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^f$ whose
 - ▶ objects are small idempotent complete \mathbb{k} -linear categories with finitely many indecomposable objects up to isomorphism and finite-dimensional morphism spaces
(that is, equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective modules over a finite-dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra);
 - ▶ 1-morphisms are additive \mathbb{k} -linear functors;
 - ▶ 2-morphisms are natural transformations.

Finitary 2-categories

Definition. A 2-category \mathcal{C} is **finitary** over \mathbb{k} if

- ▶ \mathcal{C} has finitely many objects;
- ▶ each $\mathcal{C}(i, j)$ is in $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^f$ (i.e. equivalent to A -proj for some algebra A);
- ▶ composition is biadditive and \mathbb{k} -bilinear;
- ▶ identity 1-morphisms are indecomposable.

Moral: Finitary 2-categories are 2-analogues of finite dimensional algebras.

Definition. A 2-category \mathcal{C} is **fiat** (finitary - involution - adjunction - two category) if

- ▶ it is finitary;
- ▶ there is a weak involutive equivalence $(-)^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op,op}}$ such that there exist adjunction morphisms $F \circ F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_i$ and $\mathbb{1}_j \rightarrow F^* \circ F$.

Examples

- ▶ tensor categories (only weakly fiat)
- ▶ fusion categories (semi-simple tensor categories)
- ▶ projective endofunctors of $A\text{-mod}$
- ▶ finitary quotients of Kac–Moody 2-categories (aka KLR 2-categories)
- ▶ Soergel bimodules (aka Hecke 2-categories)

What are Soergel bimodules?

(W, S, V) finite Coxeter system, V reflection representation

$R = \mathbb{C}[V]/(\mathbb{C}[V]^W)_+$ coinvariant algebra

$R_i := R \otimes_{R^{s_i}} R$ for $s_i \in S$

The 2-category $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{W,S,V}$ of **Soergel bimodules** or **Hecke 2-category** has

- ▶ one object \emptyset (identified with R -proj);
- ▶ 1-morphisms are endofunctors of \emptyset isomorphic to direct summands of direct sums of finite tensor products (over R) of the R_i ;
- ▶ 2-morphisms are all natural transformations (bimodule morphisms).

Fact: Indecomposable 1-morphisms are labelled by elements in W , and \mathcal{S} categorifies the Hecke algebra. In particular, indecomposable 1-morphism descend to a cellular basis (the KL-basis) .

2-representations

From now on, \mathcal{C} denotes a finitary 2-category.

Definition. A **finitary 2-representation** \mathbf{M} of \mathcal{C} is a (strict) 2-functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^f$, i.e.

- ▶ $\mathbf{M}(i) \approx B_i\text{-proj}$ for some algebra B_i ;
- ▶ for $F \in \mathcal{C}(i, j)$, $\mathbf{M}(F): \mathbf{M}(i) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(j)$ is an additive functor;
- ▶ for $\alpha: F \rightarrow G$, $\mathbf{M}(\alpha): \mathbf{M}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(G)$ is a natural transformation.

Examples.

- ▶ For each object i in \mathcal{C} , we have the **principal** 2-representation $\mathbf{P}_i = \mathcal{C}(i, -)$.
- ▶ The 2-category \mathcal{S} was defined via its **defining** 2-representation.

Simple transitive 2-representations

Definition. \mathbf{M} is **simple transitive** if $\coprod_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{M}(i)$ has no proper \mathcal{C} -stable ideals.

Theorem. [Mackaay–Mazorchuk–M–Tubbenhauer]

For \mathcal{C} fiat, \mathbf{M} simple transitive, there exists a coalgebra 1-morphism \mathbf{C} in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\mathbf{M} \approx \mathbf{inj}_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}} \mathbf{C}$.

Theorem. [Mackaay–Mazorchuk–M–Zhang]

There is a bijection

$$\frac{\{\text{simple trans. 2-representations}\}}{\approx} \leftrightarrow \frac{\{\text{cosimple coalg. 1-morphisms in } \underline{\mathcal{C}}\}}{\text{MT-equiv}}$$

$\Sigma(\mathcal{C})$, the set of isoclasses of indecomposable 1-morphisms in \mathcal{C} , has several partial preorders.

left preorder: $F \geq_L G$ if $\exists H$ such that F is a direct summand of HG

left cells: equivalence classes w.r.t. \geq_L

Similarly:

right preorder: $F \geq_R G$ if $\exists H$ such that F is a direct summand of GH

right cells: equivalence classes w.r.t. \geq_R

two-sided preorder: $F \geq_J G$ if $\exists H_1, H_2$ such that F is a direct summand of H_1GH_2

two-sided cells: equivalence classes w.r.t. \geq_J

Cell combinatorics

Example. Cells for the 2-category \mathcal{S} are Kazhdan–Lusztig cells.

E.g. let $W = \langle s, t \mid s^2 = 1 = t^2, stst = tstst \rangle$ of type B_2 . Cells are given by

1	
s, sts	st
ts	t, tst
$stst$	

An \mathcal{H} -**cell** is the intersection of a left and a right cell.

A two-sided cell is **strongly regular**, if every \mathcal{H} -cell in it has precisely one element.

Cell 2-representations

To any left cell \mathcal{L} , we can associate the **cell 2-representation** $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$, which is simple transitive.

In many cases (e.g. (finitary quotients of) Kac–Moody 2-categories, \mathcal{S} in type A), cell 2-representations exhaust simple transitive 2-representations.

Not for \mathcal{S} in other types! Why?

Lemma. [Chan–Mazorchuk] Every simple transitive 2-representation \mathbf{M} has an **apex**, which is the unique maximal two-sided cell \mathcal{J} such that $\mathbf{M}(\mathcal{J}) \neq 0$.

Theorem. [Mazorchuk–M.] If the apex of a simple transitive 2-representation \mathbf{M} is strongly regular, \mathbf{M} is equivalent to a cell 2-representation.

\mathcal{H} -cell reduction

Assume from now on \mathcal{C} is fiat.

Let $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ be a left cell in \mathcal{C} and set $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{L}^*$.

Construct $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$ in several steps:

- ▶ take quotients by all two-sided cells $\mathcal{J}' \not\subseteq \mathcal{J}$;
- ▶ inside quotient, take additive closure of $\mathbb{1}_{i(\mathcal{H})}$ and 1-morphisms in \mathcal{H} ;
- ▶ factor out the maximal ideal not containing id_F for $F \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem. [Mackaay–Mazorchuk–M–Zhang] There is a bijection

$$\begin{array}{c} \{\text{simple transitive 2-representations of } \mathcal{C} \text{ with apex } \mathcal{J}\} \\ \updownarrow \\ \{\text{simple transitive 2-representations of } \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ with apex } \mathcal{H}\} \end{array}$$

Upshot: concentrate on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}} \rightsquigarrow$ smaller!

Classification of simple transitive 2-representations?

To $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$, associate the **asymptotic bicategory** $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$. This categorifies Lusztig's asymptotic Hecke algebra. [*Lusztig, Elias-Williamson*]

$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a fusion category and for almost all \mathcal{H} -cells, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is well-understood and its simple transitive 2-representations have been classified. [*Ostrik et al.*]

Goal. Relate 2-representations of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ to those of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Classification of simple transitive 2-representations?

Fact. There exists an oplax bifunctor $\Theta: \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence

A coalgebra 1-morphism in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}} \Rightarrow \Theta(\mathbb{A})$ coalgebra 1-morphism in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$

Proposition. Θ preserves cosimplicity and MT equivalence.

\Rightarrow There exists an injection

$$\begin{array}{c} \{\text{simple transitive 2-representations of } \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}\} \\ \downarrow \hat{\Theta} \\ \{\text{simple transitive 2-representations of } \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ with apex } \mathcal{H}\} \end{array}$$

Conjecture. $\hat{\Theta}$ is a bijection.

Upshot. Reduces classification problem to a well-studied one.

Classification of simple transitive 2-representations?

Conjecture. $\hat{\Theta}$ is a bijection.

Proved for

- ▶ each apex which contains the longest element of a parabolic subgroup (on arxiv);
- ▶ probably in general - written in draft form but needs rechecking/restructuring.

If true, obtain classification of simple transitive 2-representations of \mathcal{S} with the exception of those with apex one \mathcal{J} -cell in type H_3 and three \mathcal{J} -cells in type H_4 .

Thank you for your attention!