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## Preliminaries

## Definition

Let $G$ be a group and $V$ a finite dimensional vector space over some field K. A K-representation of $G$ over $V$ is a homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$.

## Definition

$\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ is said to be equivalent to $\sigma: G \rightarrow G L(W)$ if there exists a $K$-isomorphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ such that:

$$
\varphi(\rho(g)(v))=\sigma(g)(\varphi(v)), \quad v \in V, g \in G
$$

## Preliminaries

## Sum of representations

Given $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ and $\sigma: G \rightarrow G L(W)$, then $G$ has a natural $K$-representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho \oplus \sigma: G & \rightarrow G L(V \oplus W) \\
g & \mapsto \rho(g) \oplus \sigma(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho(g) \oplus \sigma(g)(v, w):=(\rho(g) v, \sigma(g) w)$.

## Remark

If $W \leq V$ is $G$-invariant, then $\left.\rho\right|_{W}: G \rightarrow G L(W)$ is a $K$-representation of $G$ over $W$, which we call a subrepresentation of $\rho$. It is called irreducible (and say that $W$ is an irreducible subspace) if $W$ has no other proper $G$-invariant subspaces besides $\{0\}$.

## Preliminaries

## Definition

$\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ is completely reducible if every $G$-invariant subspace of $V$ has a $G$-invariant complement. In particular $V$ is a finite direct sum of irreducible subspaces.

## Maschke's Theorem

If $G$ is finite and car $K=0$, then any $K$-representation of $G$ is completely reducible.

## Theorem

If $G$ is finite, car $K=0$ and $K$ is algebraically closed, then:
$\#\{$ iso classes of irr K-representations of $G\}=\#\{$ conjugacy classes of $G\}$

## Preliminaries

## Remark

Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right)$ be a basis for $V$, and suppose $R(g)$ is the matrix of $\rho(g)$ relative to $\mathcal{B}$. Then $R: G \rightarrow G L_{n}(K), g \mapsto R(g)$ is a homomorphism, a matrix representation of $G$ of degree $\mathbf{n}$.

## Definition

Given matrix representations $T, T^{\prime}: G \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$, we say that $T$ is equivalent to $S$ whenever there exists some $S \in G L_{n}(K)$ such that:

$$
T^{\prime}(g)=S T(g) S^{-1}, g \in G
$$

## Preliminaries

## Definition

Suppose that a representation $\rho$ affords a matrix representation $R: G \rightarrow G L_{n}(K)$. The character afforded by $R$ is the function:

$$
\chi_{R}: G \rightarrow K, \quad g \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(R(g))
$$

## Remark

$\chi_{R}$ is a class function i.e. $\chi_{R}\left(h g h^{-1}\right)=\chi_{R}(g)$.

## Definition

We define the character afforded by $\rho$ as the character $\chi_{\rho}:=\chi_{R}$ for some matrix representation $R$ afforded by $\rho$. We refer to any of these as $K$-characters of $G$.

## Preliminaries

## Proposition

Isomorphic K-representations afford the same character. The converse holds if $G$ is finite and car $K=0$.

## Definition

- if $\rho$ irreducible, we say $\chi_{\rho}$ is irreducible. Also, $\operatorname{Irr}_{K}(G)$ denotes the set of irreducible characters of $G$.
- If $n=1, \chi_{\rho}: G \rightarrow K^{\times}$is a homomorphism. We call these linear characters of $G$, and denote the set of these by $\operatorname{Lin}_{K}(G)$.
- $\chi_{\rho}\left(1_{G}\right)=n$ is called the degree of $\chi_{\rho}$.


## Preliminaries

## Remarks

- $\rho \oplus \sigma \Rightarrow \chi_{\rho \oplus \sigma}=\chi_{\rho}+\chi_{\sigma}$.
- If $\rho$ is completely reducible, then $\chi_{\rho}$ is a sum of irreducible characters.
- If $G$ is finite, car $K=0$ and $K$ is algebraically closed, then:

$$
\# \mid \mathrm{rr}_{K}(G)=\#\{\text { conjugacy classes of } G\}
$$

- In the case above, let $\operatorname{Irr}_{K}(G)=\left\{\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{t}\right\}$. Then any $K$-character $\chi$ of $G$ is of the form:

$$
\chi=n_{1} \chi_{1}+\cdots+n_{t} \chi_{t}
$$

where $n_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ is called the multiplicity of $\chi_{i}$ in $\chi$. We say that $\chi_{i}$ is a component of $\chi$ if $n_{i} \neq 0$.

## Preliminaries

## Setting

$G$ a finite group, $K=\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathrm{cl}(G)$ be the vector space of $\mathbb{C}$-valued class functions of $G$. It can be shown that:

- $\operatorname{lrr}_{K}(G)$ is a basis for $\operatorname{cl}(G)$.

$$
\langle\chi, \psi\rangle_{G}:=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \overline{\psi(g)}
$$

defines an inner product in $\mathrm{cl}(G)$ for which $\operatorname{lrr}_{K}(G)$ is an o.n. basis such that $n_{i}=\left\langle\chi, \chi_{i}\right\rangle_{G}$.

## Introduction

- Representation theory of finite matrix groups $G$ as an interest of its own (e.g. description of conjugacy classes of $U(n, q)$ is known to be a wild problem).
- A possible strategy: construction of representations of $G$ which has almost every irreducible components in its decomposition, with multiplicity at most one ("models"(Gelfand-Bernstein,1974)).
- Typical approach: induction (to $G$ ) of certain linear characters from a given $p$-Sylow subgroup $U$ (Gelfand-Graev (1962) used this approach for $S L(n, q))$.
- Our case: $G=G L(n, q)$ and $U=U(n, q)$.


## Outline

(1) Construction of the Gelfand-Graev character of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{q})$
(2) Some more Representation Theory
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## (3) Multiplicity free Theorem

4 The greater framework: finite groups of Lie type

## Linear characters of $U$

## Remark

Let $H$ be a group. For any $\chi \in \operatorname{Lin}(H)$, we have $[H, H] \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \chi$.

$$
\operatorname{Lin}(H) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}(H /[H, H])
$$

## Linear characters of $U$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a & \cdots & * & * \\
0 & 1 & b & \cdots & * \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & c \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a^{\prime} & \cdots & * & * \\
0 & 1 & b^{\prime} & \cdots & * \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & c^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)= \\
\\
=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a+a^{\prime} & \cdots & * & * \\
0 & 1 & b+b^{\prime} & \cdots & * \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & c+c^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Linear characters of $U$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a & \cdots & * & * \\
0 & 1 & b & \cdots & * \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & c \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & -a & \cdots & * & * \\
0 & 1 & -b & \cdots & * \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & -c \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Linear characters of $U$

General form of a commutator in $U$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & * & * \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & * \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Linear characters of $U$

$$
U /[U, U] \simeq \underbrace{\mathbb{F}_{q}^{+} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{+}}_{n-1}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Lin}(U) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}(\underbrace{\mathbb{F}_{q}^{+} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{+}}_{n-1})
$$

## Linear characters of $U$

Fix a non trivial $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{+}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)}: U & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} \\
u & \longmapsto \theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} u_{i, i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Lin}(U)=\left\{\chi_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)} \mid \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$

## Action of $T$ on $\operatorname{Lin}(U)$

## Remark

Let $H$ be a group, $K \leq H$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr}(K)$. Then for each $h \in H$ :

- $\varphi^{h} \in \operatorname{lrr}\left(K^{h}\right)$ where $K^{h}:=h^{-1} K h$.
- $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{H} \varphi=\operatorname{Ind}_{K^{h}}^{H}\left(\varphi^{h}\right)$.


## Remark

$T$ normalizes $U$. Hence $T$ acts on $\operatorname{Lin}(U)$ by conjugation:

$$
\chi^{t}(u):=\chi\left(t u t^{-} 1\right), u \in U
$$

## Action of $T$ on $\operatorname{Lin}(U)$

$$
\chi_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)} \in \operatorname{Lin}(U), \quad t=\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right) \in T
$$

## Action of $T$ on $\operatorname{Lin}(U)$

$$
\chi_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)}^{t}=\chi_{\left(t_{1} \alpha_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)^{-1}, \cdots, t_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}\left(t_{n}\right)^{-1}\right)}
$$

## Action of $T$ on $\operatorname{Lin}(U)$

## Action of $T$ on $\operatorname{Lin}(U)$

$$
\chi_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)}^{t}=\chi_{\left(t_{1} \alpha_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)^{-1}, \cdots, t_{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}\left(t_{n}\right)^{-1}\right)}
$$

## Definition

We say $\chi_{\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)} \in \operatorname{Lin}(U)$ is nondegenerate if $\alpha_{i} \neq 0$ for every $i \in\{1, \cdots, n-1\}$

## Proposition

$T$ acts transitively on the set of nondegenerate linear characters of $U$.

## Definition

Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Lin}(U)$ nondegenerate. Then $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Ind} d_{U}^{G} \sigma$ is called the Gelfand-Graev character of $G=G L(n, q)$.
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## (3) Multiplicity free Theorem

4 The greater framework: finite groups of Lie type

## Representations and characters of f.d. $K$-algebras

## Recall

Let $A$ be a f.d. $K$-algebra and $V$ an $A$-module. Then $V$ affords a $K$-algebra homomorphism $\rho: A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{K}(V)$ i.e. a representation of $A$ over $V$. Fixing a $K$-basis $\mathcal{B}=\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right\}$ for $V$, we get a matrix representation $\rho: A \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{n}(K)$.

## Definition

Let $V$ be an $A$-module, and consider the representation

$$
\rho: A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{K}(A), \quad a \mapsto a_{L}
$$

where $a_{L}(v):=a \cdot v$. Suppose it affords some matrix representation $R: A \rightarrow M_{n}(K)$. The character afforded by $V$ is the $K$-linear map

$$
\chi_{M}: A \rightarrow K, \quad a \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(R(a))
$$

## Study of $K G$-modules

## Group algebra

Define the group algebra $K G$ of $G$ as the ring of formal $K$-linear combinations $\sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g) g, \alpha(g) \in K$. Then $K G$ is a $K$-algebra with basis $G$.

## Equivalent study of $K G$-modules

- Any representation of $G$ extends linearly to a representation of $K G$. Conversely, any representation of $K G$ restricts to a representation of $G$ (K-rep of $G \leftrightarrow K G$-modules).
- Subrepresentations $\leftrightarrow$ submodules.
- Completely reducible rep of $G \leftrightarrow$ semisimple $K G$-modules.
- Irr representations of $G \leftrightarrow$ simple $K G$-modules.
- Characters of $K G \leftrightarrow K$-characters of $G$.


## Semisimple rings

## Definition

A ring $R$ with unity is semisimple if $R$ is a finite direct sum of minimal left ideals i.e. if ${ }_{R} R$ is a semisimple module.

## Proposition

- $R$ is a semisimple ring iff every f.d. $R$-module is semisimple.
- If $R$ is semisimple, then every simple $R$-module is isomorphic to some minimal left ideal of $R$.
- If $R$ semisimple, then left ideals of $R$ are generated by idempotent elements.

May as well fix a finite set $\left\{M_{1}, \cdots, M_{t}\right\}$ of representatives of the iso classes of simple $R$-modules.

## Semisimple rings

$$
\begin{gathered}
R=\mathcal{L}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{t} \\
\mathcal{B}_{i}:=\sum_{\mathcal{L}_{j} \cong_{R} M_{i}} \mathcal{L}_{j}, \quad R=\mathcal{B}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{B}_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Wedderburn-Artin Structure Theorem

If $R$ is a semisimple ring, then there exist unique $n_{1}, \cdots, n_{t} \in \mathbb{N}$ and division rings $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{t}$ such that:

$$
R \cong M_{n_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times M_{n_{t}}\left(D_{t}\right)
$$

Each $n_{i}$ corresponds to the number of times $M_{i}$ "appears"in the decomposition of $K G$ i.e. number of minimal left ideals iso to $M_{i}$.

## Reformulation of Maschke's Theorem and its consequences

## Maschke's Theorem

If $G$ is finite and car $K=0$, then $K G$ is semisimple.

## Theorem

In the above conditions, if $K$ is also algebraically closed then:

$$
K G \cong M_{n_{1}}(K) \times \cdots \times M_{n_{t}}(K)
$$

## Remark

Since $K G$ is semisimple, irreducible $K$-representations of $G$ are afforded by minimal left ideals of $K G$, hence are related to certain idempotents of $K G$.

## Induction of characters

## Goal

Given $H \leq G$ and a representation $\rho$ of $H$ over $V$, want to build from it a new representation of $G$ over some new vector space.

## Definition

Let $H \leq G$ and suppose $V$ is a (left) $K H$-module. We define the induced module $V^{G}:=K G \otimes_{K H} V$ (module multiplication is given by $g \cdot(z \otimes v):=(g \cdot z) \otimes v)$. If $V$ affords the character $\chi$ of $H$, then we denote by $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\chi)$ the character of $G$ afforded by $V^{G}$, which is called the induced character of $\chi$ from $H$ to $G$.

## Hecke algebras and decomposition of induced characters

## Remarks

Let $H \leq G$. If $e \in K G$ is idempotent such that $K H e$ affords a character $\chi$ of $H$, then:

- $K G \otimes_{K H} K H e \cong_{K G} K G e$ affords $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\chi)$.
- eKGe is a ring which does not depend (up to iso) on the idempotent that affords $\chi$ (Hecke algebra of $\chi$ ).
- If $K G$ is semisimple, it can be shown that e $K G e$ is also semisimple.


## Hecke algebras and decomposition of induced characters

## Theorem

Assume $G$ finite, car $K=0$ and $K$ algebraically closed. Let $\operatorname{Irr}_{K}(G)=\left\{\chi_{1} \cdots \chi_{t}\right\}$. Furthermore, let $H \leq G, \psi$ a $K$-character of $H$ and $\mathcal{H}$ its Hecke algebra. Then:

- $\left.\chi_{i}\right|_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0$ if and only if $\left\langle\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{H}^{G}(\psi), \chi_{i}\right\rangle_{G} \neq 0$.
- The map $\left.\chi \mapsto \chi\right|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a bijection between irreducible characters of $G$ s.t. $\left\langle\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\psi), \chi_{i}\right\rangle_{G} \neq 0$ and irreducible characters of $\mathcal{H}$.


## Key remark

$$
\mathcal{H} \cong M_{n_{1}}(K) \times \cdots \times M_{n_{t}}(K)
$$

(1) Construction of the Gelfand-Graev character of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{q})$
(2) Some more Representation Theory
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## 4 The greater framework: finite groups of Lie type

Theorem
$\Gamma$ is multiplicity free i.e. its irreducible components appear with multiplicity at most one.

## Proof of the multiplicity free Theorem

## Setting

- Fix $\sigma \in \operatorname{Lin}(U)$ nondegenerate.
- Take an idempotent $e \in \mathbb{C} U$ such that $\mathbb{C} U e$ affords $\sigma$.
- $\mathbb{C} G e$ affords $\Gamma$.
- The Hecke algebra of $\sigma$ is $\mathcal{H}=e \mathbb{C} G e$.

$$
\mathcal{H} \cong M_{n_{1}}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times M_{n_{t}}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Hence just need to show that $H$ is commutative!

## Proof of the multiplicity free Theorem

## Lemma

Let $N \leq G:=G L(n, q)$ be the subgroup of monomial matrices, and $B \leq G L(n, q)$ the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. Then:

- $B=U \rtimes T$.
- $G=B N B=U N U$.

$$
\text { ege } \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow \text { ege }=\text { eunu'e }=\sigma(u) \sigma\left(u^{\prime}\right) \text { ene } \quad u, u^{\prime} \in U, n \in N
$$

## Conclusion

$$
\mathcal{H}=\langle\{\text { ene } \mid n \in N\}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

## Proof of the multiplicity free Theorem

## Lemma

$\mathcal{H}$ is commutative if there exists a linear isomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{C} G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} G$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{C} G, \psi(a b)=\psi(b) \psi(a)$.
2. $\psi(U)=U$.
3. $\forall u \in U, \sigma(\psi(u))=\sigma(u)$.
4. $\psi(n)=n$ for all $n \in N$ such that ene $\neq 0$.

## Proof of the multiplicity free Theorem

$$
\begin{gathered}
e=\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \sigma\left(u^{-1}\right) u \\
\psi(e)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \sigma\left(u^{-1}\right) u\right)=\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \sigma\left(u^{-1}\right) \psi(u)= \\
=\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \sigma\left(\psi^{-1}\left(u^{-1}\right)\right) u=\frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u \in U} \sigma\left(u^{-1}\right) u=e
\end{gathered}
$$

## Proof of the multiplicity free Theorem

$$
e n e \neq 0, \psi(e n e)=\psi(e) \psi(n) \psi(e)=e n e
$$

## Conclusion

$$
\left.\psi\right|_{\mathcal{H}}=i d_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

$$
a, b \in \mathcal{H}, a b=\psi(a b)=\psi(b) \psi(a)=b a
$$

## Proof of the multiplicity free Theorem

## Involution of $G$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma: G & \rightarrow G \\
& g \mapsto n_{0}\left(g^{-1}\right)^{T} n_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
n_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \cdots & 1 \\
\vdots & . & \vdots \\
1 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Proof of the multiplicity free Theorem

## Remark

The map:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\sigma}: U & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} \\
u & \mapsto \sigma\left(\gamma\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is also a nondegenerate linear character of $U$.

Since $T$ acts transitively on nondegenerate linear characters of $U$, choose $t \in T$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}=\sigma^{t}$.

## Proof of the multiplicity free Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\quad \begin{array}{l}
\sigma(\psi(u))=\sigma\left(t \gamma\left(u^{-1}\right)\right.
\end{array}\right) t^{-1}\right)= \\
& =\sigma^{t}\left(\gamma\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)=\tilde{\sigma}\left(\gamma\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)= \\
& \\
& \quad=\sigma\left(\gamma\left(\gamma\left(u^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)\right)=\sigma(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) Construction of the Gelfand-Graev character of GL( $n, q)$
(2) Some more Representation Theory
(3) Multiplicity free Theorem

4 The greater framework: finite groups of Lie type

## Connected reductive group "counterparts"

## Remarks

For our proof the following facts were essential:

1. $B=U \rtimes T$ and $G=B N B$.
2. Existence of $T$ and its transitive action on $\operatorname{Lin}(U)$.
3. Existence of a certain involution of $G L(n, q)$.
4. (although not seen) Facts on representation theory of $S_{n}$.
5. Existence of a $B N$-pair ( $B$ Borel subgroup, $N$ normalizer of a maximal torus $T \subset B, U$ the unipotent radical of $B$ ).
6. $Z(G)$ connected implies "good"action of $T$ over simple root subgroups of $G$.
7. Dynkin diagram automorphism and related opposition graph automorphism of $G$.
8. Representation theory of Weyl group $W=N / T$ and respective action on $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, K^{\times}\right)$.
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